arXiv:math/0604348v2 [math.OA] 14 Nov 2006

CLASSIFICATION OF MINIMAL ACTIONS OF A COMPACT KAC ALGEBRA WITH AMENABLE DUAL

TOSHIHIKO MASUDA AND REIJI TOMATSU

Abstract. We show the uniqueness of minimal actions of a compact Kac algebra with amenable dual on the AFD factor of type II_1. This particularly implies the uniqueness of minimal actions of a compact group. Our main tools are a Rohlin type theorem, the 2-cohomology vanishing theorem, and the Evans-Kishimoto type intertwining argument.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Preliminaries 3
- 2.1. Notations 3
- 2.2. Quick review of theory of Kac algebras 4
- 2.3. Amenability of a Kac algebra 8
- 2.4. Actions and cocycle actions 8
- 2.5. Crossed products and dual functionals 14

3. Ultraproduct von Neumann algebras 17
- 3.1. Liftable and semiliftable homomorphisms 17
- 3.2. Strongly free cocycle actions 23

4. Cohomology vanishing I 24
- 4.1. 2-cohomology vanishing in ultraproduct von Neumann algebras 24
- 4.2. Cocycle actions on central sequence algebras 28
- 4.3. Intertwining cocycles 30

5. Rohlin type theorem 34
- 5.1. Local quantization principle 34
- 5.2. Tower bases and diagonal elements 40
- 5.3. Rohlin type theorem 44

6. Cohomology vanishing II 52
- 6.1. 2-cohomology vanishing in McDuff factors of type II_1 52
- 6.2. Shapiro unitary 56

7. Main theorem 60
- 7.1. Intertwining argument 60
- 7.2. Classification of minimal actions 63

8. Appendix 64
References 67

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L10; Secondary 46L40.

1. Introduction

This paper presents the uniqueness of minimal actions of a compact Kac algebra with amenable dual. More precisely, any two minimal actions of a compact Kac algebra with amenable dual on the approximately finite dimensional (AFD) factor of type II_1 are conjugate. Note that every compact group action is a particular example of an action of such a compact Kac algebra. Here we say that an action is minimal if it has the full spectrum and the relative commutant of its fixed point algebra is trivial [ILP].

After the completion of classification of discrete amenable group actions, it is natural to focus our attention to actions of continuous groups. Although it is very difficult to analyze actions of continuous groups in general, compact group actions have been extensively studied among them since the dual of a compact group is discrete. Indeed as emphasized in [W1], it gives us important insight about compact group actions to consider actions of compact group duals, namely, coactions of groups [NT], or Roberts actions [Ro]. Actions of compact abelian groups have been completely classified in [JT] and [KwT] by combining results in [Oc1], [ST] and [KwST] with the Takesaki duality [T]. For compact non-abelian groups, classification of all actions is still very far from completion, but a few kinds of actions, such as ergodic actions and minimal actions, have been studied. For example, ergodic actions have been studied in [OPT] for the abelian case, and A. Wassermann has dealt with general ergodic actions in [W2], [W3] and [W4]. In particular, he has finished the classification of ergodic actions of $SU(2)$.

It is a central theme to classify all minimal actions in the study of compact group actions. The notion of minimality corresponds to outerness of actions in discrete case. So far several attempts have been made for this classification problem. In an unpublished work [Oc2], Ocneanu has announced the uniqueness of minimal actions of a compact group by developing the method used in [Oc1]. A different approach has been proposed by S. Popa and A. Wassermann in [PW], which is based on the classification of subfactors by Popa [P1]. They have applied the main theorem of [P1] to Wassermann's subfactors [W1], and concluded the uniqueness of minimal actions of a compact Lie group. Unfortunately, the details of the both adorable theories have not been available to the authors.

We explain our approach to this problem. Most part of this paper is devoted to classification of centrally free actions of amenable discrete Kac algebras. We can utilize the framework of amenable discrete Kac algebras for treating the duals of compact groups. The uniqueness of minimal actions follows through the duality between compact Kac algebras and discrete Kac algebras. Throughout this paper, the most fundamental tools are the ultraproducts and central sequence technique. Here one notes that actions of Kac algebras never preserve central sequences. To overcome this difficulty, we mainly treat approximately inner actions. Then we can use the central sequence technique as demonstrated in [M2].

The first half of our arguments is similar to those in [C1], [Oc1], that is, we formulate a Rohlin type theorem for actions of discrete amenable Kac algebras, and show approximate 1-cohomology vanishing and 2-cohomology vanishing by the Shapiro type argument. Combining these results with the stability of minimal actions (cf. [W2]), we show that every minimal action is dual.

The traditional method for classification theory of actions on von Neumann algebras is the model action splitting argument, that is, we construct the model action as an infinite tensor product type action at first, and pull out pieces of the model action from a given action by means of the Rohlin type theorem and cohomology vanishing. It is not so difficult to construct the infinite tensor product type model action for cyclic groups or finite groups as in [C1], [C3] and [J], but it is necessary to apply the paving theorem of D. Ornstein and B. Weiss [OW1], [OW2] to construct the model action for a general discrete amenable group. Because of use of paving theorem, Ocneanu's Rohlin type theorem [Oc1] takes a complicated form, and it seems difficult to generalize it to a discrete amenable Kac algebra case. Of course, it is easy to construct the model action for coactions of finite groups, and the classification given in [M2] is based on the model action splitting argument.

So we do not take the model action splitting argument in the final stage of classification. Instead of that, we use the intertwining argument, which was initiated by D. E. Evans and A. Kishimoto in [EK], and has been further developed in [N] and [I2] for group actions on C^*-algebras. It also works for von Neumann algebras as is shown in [M1], enables us to avoid using a paving theorem, and makes our arguments simpler than those in [Oc1].

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to Masaki Izumi and Yasuyuki Kawahigashi for permanent encouragement and fruitful discussions. The second named author was supported in part by Research Fellowship for Young Scientists of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Notations

We treat only separable von Neumann algebras and Kac algebras except for ultraproduct von Neumann algebras. We denote by $\mathcal{R}_0$ the AFD factor of type II_1. Let M be a von Neumann algebra. For a subset $S \subset M$, we denote by $W^*(S)$ the von Neumann subalgebra of M generated by S. We denote the sets of unitaries, projections, positive elements, the unit ball and the center of M by $U(M)$, $\mathrm{Proj}(M)$, M_+, M_1 and $Z(M)$, respectively. For a weight ϕ on M and $x \in M$, we set $|x|_\phi = \phi(|x|)$, $\|x\|_\phi = \phi(x^*x)^{1/2}$ and $\|x\|_\phi^\sharp = 2^{-1/2}(\phi(x^*x) + \phi(xx^*))^{1/2}$. For each $a \in M$ and $\phi \in M_*$, $a\phi, \phi a \in M_*$ are defined by $a\phi(y) = \phi(ya)$ and $\phi a(y) = \phi(ay)$ for $y \in M$. Set $[\phi, x] = \phi x - x\phi$. For $x \in M_+$, $s(x)$ denotes its support projection. For von Neumann algebras M and N, we denote by $\mathrm{Mor}(M, N)$ the set of unital faithful normal $*$-homomorphisms from M to N. For von Neumann algebras M and N, $M \otimes N$ is the tensor product von Neumann algebra. We denote by $\Re z$ the real part of a complex number z. We write $A \Subset B$ when A is a finite subset of B. Let X be a linear space and consider the n-fold tensor product $X^{\otimes n}$. Then the symmetric group S_n acts on $X^{\otimes n}$ canonically.

The action is written by σ. Note that we also use the symbol σ for an irreducible representation of a compact Kac algebra.

2.2. **Quick review of theory of Kac algebras**

Our basic references on theory of Kac algebras are [BS] and [ES]. A *compact Kac algebra* is a triple $\mathbb{G} = (A, \delta, h)$ where A is a von Neumann algebra, $\delta \in \mathrm{Mor}(A, A \otimes A)$ is a coproduct and h is a faithful invariant tracial state, that is, they satisfy

$$(\delta \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \delta = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \delta) \circ \delta,$$

$$(\theta \otimes h)(\delta(a)) = \theta(1)h(a) = (h \otimes \theta)(\delta(a)) \quad \text{for all } \theta \in A_*,\ a \in A.$$

Let $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(A \otimes A)$ be the flip automorphism. Then the map $\sigma \circ \delta$ is denoted by δ^{opp}. Let $\{\pi_h, \hat{1}_h, H_h\}$ be the GNS representation of A with respect to the state h, which means H_h is a Hilbert space, $\pi_h \in \mathrm{Mor}(A, B(H_h))$ and $\hat{1}_h$ is the GNS cyclic vector. We always regard A as a subalgebra of $B(H_h)$ via the map π_h. The canonical tracial weight on $B(H_h)$ is denoted by Tr. We define a unitary $V \in B(H_h \otimes H_h)$ by

$$V^*(x\hat{1}_h \otimes y\hat{1}_h) = \delta(y)(x\hat{1}_h \otimes \hat{1}_h) \quad \text{for } x,\ y \in A.$$

Then V satisfies the following pentagonal equality, and is called a *multiplicative unitary.*

$$V_{12}V_{13}V_{23} = V_{23}V_{12}.$$

By definition, $V \in A \otimes B(H_h)$. There exists the *antipode* I on A which is an antiautomorphism on A satisfying $(I \otimes \mathrm{id})(V) = V^*$. Define $\hat{A}$ by the σ-weak closure of the linear space $\{(\theta \otimes \mathrm{id})(V) \mid \theta \in A_*\}$ and a map $\Delta(x) = V(x \otimes 1)V^*$ for $x \in \hat{A}$. Then $\hat{A}$ is actually a von Neumann algebra and $\Delta \in \mathrm{Mor}(\hat{A}, \hat{A} \otimes \hat{A})$. Let φ be the Planchrel weight on $\hat{A}$ induced by a compact Kac algebra $\mathbb{G}$. In fact, $\varphi = \mathrm{Tr}$ holds on $\hat{A}$. Then the triple $\widehat{\mathbb{G}} = (\hat{A}, \Delta, \varphi)$ is a *discrete Kac algebra.* The tracial weight φ is invariant for Δ, that is,

$$(\theta \otimes \varphi)(\Delta(x)) = \theta(1)\varphi(x) = (\varphi \otimes \theta)(\Delta(x)) \quad \text{for all } \theta \in \hat{A}_*,\ x \in \hat{A}_+.$$

For a more convenient description of von Neumann algebras A and $\hat{A}$, we make use of unitary representations of $\mathbb{G}$. Let K be a Hilbert space and $v \in U(A \otimes B(K))$. The pair $\pi = (v, K)$ is called a *unitary representation* of $\mathbb{G}$ if $(\delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(v) = v_{13}v_{23}$. The unitary representation $\mathbf{1} = (1, \mathbb{C})$ is called the *trivial representation.* For two unitary representations $\pi = (v, K)$ and $\sigma = (v', K')$, an element $S \in B(K, K')$ is called an *intertwiner* from π to σ if $(1 \otimes S)v = v'(1 \otimes S)$. The set of intertwiners from π to σ is a linear space and denoted by (π, σ). If (π, σ) contains an isometry, then we write $\pi \prec \sigma$. If (π, σ) contains a unitary, π and σ are said to be equivalent and we write $\pi \sim \sigma$. Of course $\pi \prec \sigma$ and $\sigma \prec \pi$ implies $\pi \sim \sigma$. We define the tensor product representation by $\pi \cdot \sigma = (v_{12}v'_{13}, K \otimes K')$.

For a unitary representation $\pi = (v, K)$, we define the *conjugate unitary representation* $\pi^c = (v^c, \overline{K})$ as follows. Let $\overline{K}$ be the conjugate Hilbert space of K with the conjugation map $j\colon K \to \overline{K}$. Define the transpose map $t\colon B(K) \to B(\overline{K})$

by $t(x) = jx^*j^{-1}$ for all $x \in B(K)$. Then set $v^c = (I \otimes t)(v)$. The relation $\delta \circ I = (I \otimes I) \circ \delta^{\mathrm{opp}}$ implies that π^c is a unitary representation.

For $\pi = (v, K)$, the set (π, π) is a C^*-subalgebra of $B(K)$. We say that π is *irreducible* if $(\pi, \pi) = \mathbb{C}$. The irreducibility of $\pi = (v, K)$ implies finite dimensionality of K. We write d_π for $\dim K$. Let σ be another unitary representation. Then the intertwiner space (π, σ) is a Hilbert space with the inner product $(S, T)1_\pi = T^*S$ for all $S, T \in (\pi, \sigma)$, where 1_π denotes the unit of $B(K)$. When we fix an orthonormal basis of (π, σ), we denote it by $\mathrm{ONB}(\pi, \sigma)$.

We denote by $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ the set of equivalence classes of all irreducible unitary representations. We denote by $[\pi]$ the equivalence class of a unitary representation π. The set $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ has the conjugation operation defined by $\overline{[\pi]} = [\pi^c]$ for all $[\pi] \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$. We fix a representative $\pi = (v_\pi, H_\pi)$ for each $[\pi] \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ as follows. If $[\pi] \neq \overline{[\pi]}$, we take representatives π and π^c for $[\pi]$ and $\overline{[\pi]}$, respectively. In this case, we often write $\overline{\pi} = (v_{\overline{\pi}}, H_{\overline{\pi}})$ for $\pi^c = (v_\pi^c, \overline{H_\pi})$ with the conjugation $j_\pi \colon H_\pi \to \overline{H_\pi}$. If $[\pi]$ is self-conjugate, that is, $[\pi] = \overline{[\pi]}$, then we take a representative π for $[\pi]$.

Take a self-conjugate $[\pi] \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$. Then there exists a unitary $\nu_\pi \in B(\overline{H_\pi}, H_\pi)$ such that $v_\pi = (1 \otimes \nu_\pi)v_\pi^c(1 \otimes \nu_\pi^*)$. Taking the conjugation of the both sides, we have $v_\pi^c = (1 \otimes \overline{\nu_\pi})v_\pi(1 \otimes \overline{\nu_\pi}^*)$, where $\overline{\nu_\pi} = j_\pi \nu_\pi j_\pi \in B(H_\pi, \overline{H_\pi})$. Hence $\nu_\pi \overline{\nu_\pi}$ is in (π, π) and we have $\nu_\pi \overline{\nu_\pi} = \epsilon_\pi 1_\pi$ or equivalently $\overline{\nu_\pi} \nu_\pi = \epsilon_\pi \overline{1_\pi}$ for some $\epsilon_\pi \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\epsilon_\pi| = 1$. Taking the conjugation of $\overline{\nu_\pi}\nu_\pi = \epsilon_\pi \overline{1_\pi}$, we have $\nu_\pi \overline{\nu_\pi} = \overline{\epsilon_\pi} 1_\pi$, and hence $\epsilon_\pi = \overline{\epsilon_\pi}$, that is, $\epsilon_\pi = \pm 1$. We assign $\epsilon_\pi = 1$ for a nonself-conjugate $[\pi] \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$.

Now for each $[\pi] \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$, fix a finite index set I_π with $|I_\pi| = d_\pi$ and an orthonormal basis $\{\varepsilon_{\pi_i}\}_{i \in I_\pi}$ of H_π. Then we introduce another orthonormal basis of $H_{\overline{\pi}}$ $\{\varepsilon_{\overline{\pi}_{\overline{i}}}\}_{i \in I_\pi}$ as follows. If $[\pi] \neq \overline{[\pi]}$, set $\varepsilon_{\overline{\pi}_{\overline{i}}} = j_\pi \varepsilon_{\pi_i} \in \overline{H_\pi}$. If $[\pi] = \overline{[\pi]}$, then set $\varepsilon_{\overline{\pi}_{\overline{i}}} = \nu_\pi j_\pi \varepsilon_{\pi_i} \in H_\pi$. For each $[\pi] \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$, we define an isometric intertwiner $T_{\overline{\pi}, \pi} \in (\mathbf{1}, \overline{\pi} \cdot \pi)$ by

$$T_{\overline{\pi}, \pi} = \sum_{i \in I_\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_\pi}} \varepsilon_{\overline{\pi}_{\overline{i}}} \otimes \varepsilon_{\pi_i}.$$

We claim the following equality

$$T_{\overline{\pi}, \pi} = \epsilon_\pi \sum_{i \in I_{\overline{\pi}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_\pi}} \varepsilon_{\overline{\pi}_i} \otimes \varepsilon_{\pi_{\overline{i}}}.$$

For a nonself-conjugate $[\pi]$, it is trivial. We verify the equality for a self-conjugate $[\pi]$. By invariance of the summation in changing bases, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\overline{\pi}, \pi} &= \sum_{i \in I_\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_\pi}} \varepsilon_{\overline{\pi}_{\overline{i}}} \otimes \varepsilon_{\pi_i} \\
&= (\nu_\pi \otimes 1) \sum_{i \in I_\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_\pi}} j_\pi \varepsilon_{\pi_i} \otimes \varepsilon_{\pi_i} \\
&= (\nu_\pi \otimes 1) \sum_{i \in I_\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_\pi}} j_\pi \nu_\pi j_\pi \varepsilon_{\pi_i} \otimes \nu_\pi j_\pi \varepsilon_{\pi_i}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= (\nu_\pi \overline{\nu_\pi} \otimes 1) \sum_{i \in I_\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_\pi}} \varepsilon_{\pi_i} \otimes \varepsilon_{\pi_{\bar{i}}}$$

$$= \epsilon_\pi \sum_{i \in I_\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_\pi}} \varepsilon_{\pi_i} \otimes \varepsilon_{\overline{\pi}_{\bar{i}}}.$$

On $T_{\overline{\pi},\pi}$ we have the following equalities

$$(1_\pi \otimes T^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi})(T_{\pi,\overline{\pi}} \otimes 1_\pi) = \frac{\epsilon_\pi}{d_\pi} 1_\pi = (T^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}} \otimes 1_\pi)(1_\pi \otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi}).$$

From now on, we simply write π for $[\pi]$. Hence π means an element of $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ and an irreducible unitary representation.

We take the systems of matrix units $\{e_{\pi_{i,j}}\}_{i,j \in I_\pi}$ and $\{e_{\overline{\pi}_{\bar{i},\bar{j}}}\}_{i,j \in I_\pi}$ for $B(H_\pi)$ and $B(H_{\overline{\pi}})$ coming from the bases $\{\varepsilon_{\pi_i}\}_{i \in I_\pi}$ and $\{\varepsilon_{\overline{\pi}_{\bar{i}}}\}_{i \in I_\pi}$, respectively. We decompose v_π as

$$v_\pi = \sum_{i,j \in I_\pi} v_{\pi_{i,j}} \otimes e_{\pi_{i,j}}.$$

Then the elements $\{v_{\pi_{i,j}} \mid i,j \in I_\pi,\ \pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})\}$ are linearly independent and the linear span of them is σ-weakly dense in A. In fact the following orthogonal relations of them hold. For all $\pi, \rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$, $i,j \in I_\pi$ and $k, \ell \in I_\rho$,

$$h(v^*_{\pi_{i,j}} v_{\rho_{k,\ell}}) = d_\pi^{-1} \delta_{\pi,\rho} \delta_{i,k} \delta_{j,\ell}.$$

For each $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ and $i,j \in I_\pi$, set $\varepsilon_{\pi_{i,j}} = d_\pi h v^*_{\pi_{i,j}} \in A_*$ and put $f_{\pi_{i,j}} = (\varepsilon_{\pi_{i,j}} \otimes \mathrm{id})(V)$. Then $f_{\pi_{i,j}} f_{\rho_{k,\ell}} = \delta_{\pi,\rho}\delta_{j,k} f_{\pi_{i,\ell}}$ and $f^*_{\pi_{i,j}} = f_{\pi_{j,i}}$ hold. Since $\{f_{\pi_{i,j}}\}_{i,j \in I_\pi, \pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})}$ generates $\hat{A}$, we have identification by putting $f_{\pi_{i,j}} = e_{\pi_{i,j}}$,

$$\hat{A} = \bigoplus_{\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} B(H_\pi).$$

By this identification, we have

$$\varphi = \bigoplus_{\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} d_\pi \, \mathrm{Tr}_\pi$$

and

$$V = \bigoplus_{\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} \sum_{i,j \in I_\pi} v_{\pi_{i,j}} \otimes e_{\pi_{i,j}},$$

where Tr_π is the non-normalized trace on $B(H_\pi)$. We also use the tracial state τ_π on $B(H_\pi)$. For $x \in \hat{A}$, we often use the notation $x_\pi = x(1 \otimes 1_\pi)$. The *support* of $x \in \hat{A}$ is the subset of $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ which consists of $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ such that $x_\pi \neq 0$. The support of $x \in \hat{A}$ is denoted by $\mathrm{supp}(x)$. We write $\hat{A}_0$ for the set of finitely supported elements of $\hat{A}$. We denote by $\mathrm{Projf}(\hat{A})$ the set of projections in $\hat{A}_0$.

The representation $\hat{A} \subset B(H_h)$ is standard as is seen below. Let $(\pi_\varphi, \Lambda_\varphi, H_\varphi)$ be the GNS representation of $\hat{A}$ with respect to φ. We define a unitary $H_\varphi \to H_h$ which maps $\Lambda_\varphi(e_{\pi_{i,j}})$ to $d_\pi v_{\pi_{i,j}} \hat{1}_h$ for all $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ and $i,j \in I_\pi$. Then this unitary intertwines π_φ and the identity representation on H_h. Thus we always identify H_h with H_φ. Then we obtain

$$V(\Lambda_\varphi(x) \otimes \Lambda_\varphi(y)) = \Lambda_{\varphi\otimes\varphi}(\Delta(x)(1 \otimes y)) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \hat{A}_0.$$

We also use a unitary W defined by

$$W^*(\Lambda_\varphi(x) \otimes \Lambda_\varphi(y)) = \Lambda_{\varphi\otimes\varphi}(\Delta(y)(x \otimes 1)) \quad \text{for } \ x, y \in \hat{A}_0.$$

The unitary W also satisfies the pentagonal equality. We call V and W the *right regular representation* and the *left regular representation* of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$, respectively. Then $V \in A \otimes \hat{A}$ and $W \in \hat{A} \otimes A'$. By definition of V and W, we have $V(x \otimes 1)V^* = \Delta(x) = W^*(1 \otimes x)W$ for all $x \in \hat{A}$.

We give a description of Δ in terms of intertwiners. We write ${}_\pi\Delta_\rho(x)$ for $\Delta(x)(1_\pi \otimes 1_\rho)$ for each $\pi, \rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$. Let $\pi, \rho, \sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ and then

$${}_\pi\Delta_\rho(x)S = Sx_\sigma \quad \text{for all } x \in \hat{A}, \ S \in (\sigma, \pi \cdot \rho),$$

in particular,

$${}_{\overline{\pi}}\Delta_\pi(x)T_{\overline{\pi},\pi} = x_{\mathbf{1}}T_{\overline{\pi},\pi} \quad \text{for all } x \in \hat{A}.$$

By complete decomposability of $\pi \cdot \rho$,

$$1_\pi \otimes 1_\rho = \sum_{\sigma \prec \pi\cdot\rho} \sum_{S \in \mathrm{ONB}(\sigma, \pi\cdot\rho)} SS^*,$$

where the index σ runs in $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$. This equality implies that

$${}_\pi\Delta_\rho(x) = \sum_{\sigma \prec \pi\cdot\rho} \sum_{S \in \mathrm{ONB}(\sigma, \pi\cdot\rho)} Sx_\sigma S^*.$$

Putting $x = e_{\mathbf{1}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{\overline{\pi}}\Delta_\pi(e_{\mathbf{1}}) &= T_{\overline{\pi},\pi}T^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi} \\ &= \sum_{i,j \in I_\pi} \frac{1}{d_\pi} e_{\overline{\pi}_{\overline{i},\overline{j}}} \otimes e_{\pi_{i,j}} \\ &= \sum_{i,j \in I_{\overline{\pi}}} \frac{1}{d_\pi} e_{\overline{\pi}_{i,j}} \otimes e_{\pi_{\overline{i},\overline{j}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let π, ρ and σ be elements in $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$. Then there exists a conjugate unitary map $\widetilde{\cdot}$ from $(\overline{\sigma}, \overline{\rho} \cdot \overline{\pi})$ to $(\sigma, \pi \cdot \rho)$ as is defined below. For $S \in (\overline{\sigma}, \overline{\rho} \cdot \overline{\pi})$,

$$\widetilde{S}^* = \sqrt{d_\sigma d_\pi d_\rho}(1_\sigma \otimes T^*_{\overline{\rho},\rho})(1_\sigma \otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}} \otimes T^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi} \otimes 1_\rho)(1_\sigma \otimes S \otimes 1_\pi \otimes 1_\rho)(T_{\sigma,\overline{\sigma}} \otimes 1_\pi \otimes 1_\rho).$$

The modular conjugations J_h and J_φ are defined by

$$J_h x \hat{1}_h = x^* \hat{1}_h, \quad J_\varphi \Lambda_\varphi(y) = \Lambda_\varphi(y^*) \quad \text{for } x \in A, \ y \in \hat{A}.$$

Set a unitary $U = J_h J_\varphi = J_\varphi J_h$. For $x \in \hat{A}$, define $\hat{I}(x) = J_h x^* J_h$. Then $\hat{I}$ is an antiautomorphism of $\hat{A}$, and called the antipode of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$. The equality $(I \otimes \hat{I})(V) = V$ yields $\hat{I}(1_\pi) = 1_{\overline{\pi}}$. We often write $\overline{x}$ for $\hat{I}(x)$ for $x \in Z(\hat{A})$.

Since we want to illustrate Kac algebras as function algebras on noncommutative spaces, we prepare the new notations

$$L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) = A, \quad L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = \hat{A}, \quad L^2(\mathbb{G}) = H_h = H_\varphi = L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}).$$

Define the coproduct $\Delta^{\mathrm{opp}} = \sigma \circ \Delta$, where $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A})$ is the flip automorphism and then the triple $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}} = (\hat{A}, \Delta^{\mathrm{opp}}, \varphi)$ is called the *opposite discrete Kac algebra* of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$. We define a discrete Kac algebra $\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}} = (L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}), \Delta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}}, \varphi_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}})$ as follows. The von Neumann algebra $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}})$ is $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. The coproduct $\Delta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}}$ is given by $\Delta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}}(x \otimes y) = \Delta(x)_{13}\Delta^{\mathrm{opp}}(y)_{24}$. The invariant tracial weight $\varphi_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}}$ is equal to $\varphi \otimes \varphi$.

The map $(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$ is often denoted by $\Delta^{(2)}$. For subsets $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$, the subset $\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{K} \subset \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ is defined as $\{\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G}) \mid \pi \prec \rho \cdot \sigma \text{ for some } \rho \in \mathcal{F}, \sigma \in \mathcal{K}\}$.

2.3. **Amenability of a Kac algebra**

The amenability of Kac algebras has been studied by many authors. We refer readers to [Ru] and the references therein. A discrete Kac algebra $\widehat{\mathbb{G}} = (L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}), \Delta, \varphi)$ is called *amenable* if there exists a left invariant state $m \in L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^*$, here the left invariance means $m((\theta \otimes \mathrm{id})(\Delta(x))) = \theta(1)m(x)$ for all $\theta \in L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})_*$ and $x \in L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. When $\mathbb{G}$ comes from a compact group, then $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is amenable by [Ru, Theorem 4.5], which is directly proved by using the Kakutani-Markov fixed point theorem due to the cocommutativity of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$. The amenability is also characterized by existence of projections with approximate invariance. We follow [Oc2] for a notion of approximate invariance of a projection.

Definition 2.1. Let $F \in \mathrm{Projf}(L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. A projection $S \in \mathrm{Projf}(L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$ is said to be (F, ε)*-invariant* if we have

$$|(F \otimes 1)\Delta(S) - F \otimes S|_{\varphi\otimes\varphi} < \varepsilon |F|_\varphi |S|_\varphi.$$

We say that a Kac algebra $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ satisfies the *Følner condition* if for any $F \in \mathrm{Projf}(Z(L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})))$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an (F, ε)-invariant $K \in \mathrm{Projf}(Z(L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})))$. Thanks to [Ru, Theorem 4.5], a Kac algebra $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is amenable if and only if it satisfies the Følner condition. Moreover for any F, $\varepsilon > 0$ as above, we can take an (F, ε)-invariant $K \in \mathrm{Projf}(Z(L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})))$ such that $K \geq e_{\mathbf{1}}$. We sketch the proof of this fact as follows. Take an (F, ε)-invariant $\widetilde{K} \in \mathrm{Projf}(Z(L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})))$. Take $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ such that $\widetilde{K}1_\pi \neq 0$. Set $x = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \tau_\pi)(\Delta(\widetilde{K})) \leq 1$. Actually x is in $Z(L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$ and it has sufficient invariance for F. Then applying the Day-Namioka-Connes trick [C2, Theorem1.2.2], we obtain a central projection K which is sufficiently invariant for F. Moreover since $xe_{\mathbf{1}} = e_{\mathbf{1}}$, $e_{\mathbf{1}}$ still remains after the trick, that is, $K \geq e_{\mathbf{1}}$.

2.4. **Actions and cocycle actions**

Let M be a von Neumann algebra and $\widehat{\mathbb{G}} = (L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}), \Delta, \varphi)$ a discrete Kac algebra. Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Mor}(M, M \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$ and u a unitary in $M \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$.

The pair (α, u) is called a *cocycle action* of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on M if we have the following three conditions

(1) $(\alpha \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \alpha = \mathrm{Ad}\, u \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \alpha$,
(2) $(u \otimes 1)(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(u) = (\alpha \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id})(u)(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(u)$,
(3) $u_{\mathbf{1},\pi} = 1 \otimes e_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes 1_\pi$, $u_{\pi,\mathbf{1}} = 1 \otimes 1_\pi \otimes e_{\mathbf{1}}$ for all $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$.

By definition, $\alpha_{\mathbf{1}} = \mathrm{id}$. The unitary u is called a *2-cocycle*. If $u = 1$, we say that α is an *action*. A *perturbation* $\widetilde{u}$ of u by $v \in U(M \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$ is defined by

$$\widetilde{u} = (v \otimes 1)(\alpha \otimes \mathrm{id})(v)u(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(v^*).$$

Then the pair $(\mathrm{Ad}\, v \circ \alpha, \widetilde{u})$ is a cocycle action perturbed by v. If $\widetilde{u} = 1$, we say that u is a *2-coboundary*. For an action α, $v \in U(M \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$ is called a *1-cocycle* or *α-cocycle* if we have $(v \otimes 1)(\alpha \otimes \mathrm{id})(v) = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(v)$. Note that if v perturbs an action $(\alpha, 1)$ to the action $(\mathrm{Ad}\, v \circ \alpha, 1)$, v is an α-cocycle. A perturbation of an α-cocycle v by $w \in U(M)$ is $\widetilde{v} = (w \otimes 1)v\alpha(w^*)$. If $\widetilde{v} = 1$, v is a *1-coboundary*. We say that a cocycle is small if it is close to 1.

To simplify notations, we often omit the symbol $\otimes \mathrm{id}$ after symbols of cocycle actions such as α, β, γ and so on. For example, we write $\alpha(u)$ for $(\alpha \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id})(u)$.

Decompose u as

$$u = \sum_{\pi,\rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} \sum_{i,j \in I_\pi} \sum_{k,\ell \in I_\rho} u_{\pi_{i,j},\rho_{k,\ell}} \otimes e_{\pi_{i,j}} \otimes e_{\rho_{k,\ell}}.$$

Then each element $u_{\pi_{i,j},\rho_{k,\ell}}$ is called the entry of u. We simply say that an element $x \in M$ commutes with u if it does with all entries of u.

Definition 2.2. Let M be a von Neumann algebra and K a finite dimensional Hilbert space. Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Mor}(M, M \otimes B(K))$. A faithful normal unital completely positive map $\Phi\colon M \otimes B(K) \to M$ is called a *left inverse* of α if it satisfies $\Phi \circ \alpha = \mathrm{id}$.

We denote by $\mathrm{Mor}_0(M, M \otimes B(K))$ the subset consisting of an element in $\mathrm{Mor}(M, M \otimes B(K))$ with a left inverse. Note that if Φ is a left inverse of α, $\alpha \circ \Phi$ is a conditional expectation from $M \otimes B(K)$ to $\alpha(M)$. Although a left inverse is not uniquely determined in general, we always treat the following left inverses for cocycle actions.

Definition 2.3. For a cocycle action (α, u) of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on M and $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$, we define the map $\Phi_\pi^\alpha\colon M \otimes B(H_\pi) \to M$ by

$$\Phi_\pi^\alpha(x) = (1 \otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi}^*)u_{\overline{\pi},\pi}^*(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id})(x)u_{\overline{\pi},\pi}(1 \otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi}) \quad \text{for all } x \in M \otimes B(H_\pi).$$

We simply write Φ_π for Φ_π^α if no confusion arises. The next lemma shows that Φ_π is actually a left inverse of α_π.

Lemma 2.4. *Let (α, u) be a cocycle action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on M and $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$.*

(1) The map Φ_π is faithful, normal, unital, and completely positive.
(2) $\Phi_\pi(\alpha_\pi(a)b\alpha_\pi(c)) = a\Phi_\pi(b)c$ for all $a, c \in M$ and $b \in M \otimes B(H_\pi)$.
(3) For any $x \in M$, the following equality holds.

$$(\Phi_\pi \otimes \mathrm{id})(u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}(x \otimes T_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}T_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}^*)u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}^*) = d_\pi^{-2}\alpha_{\overline{\pi}}(x).$$

Proof. (1). It is clear that Φ_π is a normal unital completely positive map. Assume $\Phi_\pi(x^*x) = 0$, and then we have $(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id})(x)u_{\overline{\pi},\pi}(1 \otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi}) = 0$. Applying α_π to the first leg, we have

$$\big((\alpha_\pi \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \alpha_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id}\,\big)(x)(\alpha_\pi \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id})(u_{\overline{\pi},\pi})(1 \otimes 1_\pi \otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi}) = 0.$$

The equality implies

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= (1 \otimes T^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}} \otimes 1_\pi)(u^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}} \otimes 1_\pi)\big((\alpha_\pi \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \alpha_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id}\,\big)(x) \\
&\qquad \cdot (\alpha_\pi \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id})(u_{\overline{\pi},\pi})(1 \otimes 1_\pi \otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi}) \\
&= (1 \otimes T^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}} \otimes 1_\pi)(\mathrm{id} \otimes_\pi \Delta_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id})\big((\alpha \otimes \mathrm{id})(x)\big) \\
&\qquad \cdot (u^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}} \otimes 1_\pi)(\alpha_\pi \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id})(u_{\overline{\pi},\pi})(1 \otimes 1_\pi \otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi}) \\
&= x(1 \otimes T^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}} \otimes 1_\pi)(u^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}} \otimes 1_\pi)(\alpha_\pi \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id})(u_{\overline{\pi},\pi})(1 \otimes 1_\pi \otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi}) \\
&= x(1 \otimes T^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}} \otimes 1_\pi)(\mathrm{id} \otimes_\pi \Delta_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id})(u)(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes_{\overline{\pi}} \Delta_\pi)(u^*)(1 \otimes 1_\pi \otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi}) \\
&= x u_{\mathbf{1},\pi}(1 \otimes T^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}} \otimes 1_\pi)(1 \otimes 1_\pi \otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi})u_{\pi,\mathbf{1}} \\
&= d_\pi^{-1}\epsilon_\pi x.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence Φ_π is faithful.

(2). It follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_\pi(\alpha_\pi(a)b) &= (1 \otimes T^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi})u^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id})(\alpha_\pi(a)b)u_{\overline{\pi},\pi}(1 \otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi}) \\
&= (1 \otimes T^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi})(\mathrm{id} \otimes_{\overline{\pi}} \Delta_\pi)(\alpha(a))u^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id})(b)u_{\overline{\pi},\pi}(1 \otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi}) \\
&= a(1 \otimes T^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi})u^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id})(b)u_{\overline{\pi},\pi}(1 \otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi}) \\
&= a\Phi_\pi(b).
\end{aligned}$$

(3). For $x = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\Phi_\pi \otimes \mathrm{id})(u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}(1 \otimes T_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}T^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}))u^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}) \\
&= (\Phi_\pi \otimes \mathrm{id})(u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}(1 \otimes {}_\pi\Delta_{\overline{\pi}}(e_{\mathbf{1}}))u^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}) \\
&= (1 \otimes T^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi} \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}})(u^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi} \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}})(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id})(u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}) \\
&\qquad \cdot (1 \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes {}_\pi\Delta_{\overline{\pi}}(e_{\mathbf{1}}))(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id})(u^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}})(u_{\overline{\pi},\pi} \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}})(1 \otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi} \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}}) \\
&= (1 \otimes T^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi} \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}})(\mathrm{id} \otimes_{\overline{\pi}} \Delta_\pi \otimes \mathrm{id})(u)(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes_\pi \Delta_{\overline{\pi}})(u^*) \\
&\qquad \cdot (1 \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes {}_\pi\Delta_{\overline{\pi}}(e_{\mathbf{1}}))(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes_\pi \Delta_{\overline{\pi}})(u)(\mathrm{id} \otimes_{\overline{\pi}} \Delta_\pi \otimes \mathrm{id})(u^*)(1 \otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi} \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}}) \\
&= (1 \otimes T^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi} \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}})(1 \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes {}_\pi\Delta_{\overline{\pi}}(e_{\mathbf{1}}))(1 \otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi} \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}}) \\
&= d_\pi^{-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the desired equality follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Phi_\pi \otimes \mathrm{id})&(u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}(x \otimes T_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}T^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}))u^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}) \\
&= (\Phi_\pi \otimes \mathrm{id})(u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}(1 \otimes {}_\pi\Delta_{\overline{\pi}}(e_{\mathbf{1}}))(\mathrm{id} \otimes_\pi \Delta_{\overline{\pi}})(\alpha(x))u^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}) \\
&= (\Phi_\pi \otimes \mathrm{id})(u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}(1 \otimes {}_\pi\Delta_{\overline{\pi}}(e_{\mathbf{1}}))u^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}(\alpha_\pi \otimes \mathrm{id})(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}}(x))) \\
&= (\Phi_\pi \otimes \mathrm{id})(u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}(1 \otimes {}_\pi\Delta_{\overline{\pi}}(e_{\mathbf{1}}))u^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}})\alpha_{\overline{\pi}}(x) \\
&= d_\pi^{-2}\alpha_{\overline{\pi}}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

□

On a composition of left inverses, the next lemma holds.

Lemma 2.5. *Let* $\{\Phi_\pi\}_{\pi\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})}$ *be the left inverses of a cocycle action* (α, u) *as before. Then for all* $x \in M \otimes B(H_\pi) \otimes B(H_\rho)$*, one has*

$$\Phi_\rho\big((\Phi_\pi \otimes \mathrm{id})(u_{\pi,\rho} x u_{\pi,\rho}^*)\big) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma\prec\pi\cdot\rho\\ \sigma\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})}} \sum_{T\in\mathrm{ONB}(\sigma,\pi\cdot\rho)} \frac{d_\sigma}{d_\pi d_\rho}\Phi_\sigma((1\otimes T^*)x(1\otimes T)).$$

Remark 2.6. This summation does not depend on the choice of an orthonormal basis of $(\sigma, \pi\cdot\rho)$.

Proof. We use the leg notations indexed by irreducible representations to represent positions in tensor products. Set $T_{\pi\cdot\rho} = T_{\overline{\pi},\pi}T_{\overline{\rho},\rho} = T_{\overline{\rho},\rho}T_{\overline{\pi},\pi} \in (\mathbf{1}, \overline{\rho}\cdot\overline{\pi}\cdot\pi\cdot\rho)$. We verify the desired equality as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi_\rho\big((\Phi_\pi \otimes \mathrm{id})(uxu^*)\big)\\
&= (1\otimes T_{\pi\cdot\rho}^*)u_{\overline{\rho},\rho}^*\alpha_{\overline{\rho}}(u_{\overline{\pi},\pi}^*)\alpha_{\overline{\rho}}(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}}(uxu^*))\alpha_{\overline{\rho}}(u_{\overline{\pi},\pi})u_{\overline{\rho},\rho}(1\otimes T_{\pi\cdot\rho})\\
&= (1\otimes T_{\pi\cdot\rho}^*)u_{\overline{\rho},\rho}^*\alpha_{\overline{\rho}}(u_{\overline{\pi},\pi}^*)u_{\overline{\rho},\overline{\pi}}((\mathrm{id}\otimes{}_{\overline{\rho}}\Delta_{\overline{\pi}})\circ\alpha(uxu^*))u_{\overline{\rho},\overline{\pi}}^*\alpha_{\overline{\rho}}(u_{\overline{\pi},\pi})u_{\overline{\rho},\rho}(1\otimes T_{\pi\cdot\rho})\\
&= (1\otimes T_{\pi\cdot\rho}^*)u_{\overline{\rho},\rho}^*(\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\overline{\rho}}\otimes{}_{\overline{\pi}}\Delta_\pi)(u)(\mathrm{id}\otimes{}_{\overline{\rho}}\Delta_{\overline{\pi}}\otimes\mathrm{id}_\pi)(u^*)((\mathrm{id}\otimes{}_{\overline{\rho}}\Delta_{\overline{\pi}})\circ\alpha(uxu^*))\\
&\qquad\cdot(\mathrm{id}\otimes{}_{\overline{\rho}}\Delta_{\overline{\pi}}\otimes\mathrm{id}_\pi)(u)(\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\overline{\rho}}\otimes{}_{\overline{\pi}}\Delta_\pi)(u^*)u_{\overline{\rho},\rho}(1\otimes T_{\pi\cdot\rho})\\
&= (1\otimes T_{\pi\cdot\rho}^*)u_{\overline{\rho},\rho}^*(\mathrm{id}\otimes{}_{\overline{\rho}}\Delta_{\overline{\pi}}\otimes\mathrm{id}_\pi)(u^*)((\mathrm{id}\otimes{}_{\overline{\rho}}\Delta_{\overline{\pi}})\circ\alpha(uxu^*))\\
&\qquad\cdot(\mathrm{id}\otimes{}_{\overline{\rho}}\Delta_{\overline{\pi}}\otimes\mathrm{id}_\pi)(u)u_{\overline{\rho},\rho}(1\otimes T_{\pi\cdot\rho})\\
&= (1\otimes T_{\pi\cdot\rho}^*)u_{\overline{\rho},\rho}^*(\mathrm{id}\otimes{}_{\overline{\rho}}\Delta_{\overline{\pi}}\otimes\mathrm{id}_\pi\otimes\mathrm{id}_\rho)\big((u^*\otimes 1_\rho)\alpha(uxu^*)(u\otimes 1_\rho)\big)u_{\overline{\rho},\rho}(1\otimes T_{\pi\cdot\rho})\\
&= (1\otimes T_{\pi\cdot\rho}^*)u_{\overline{\rho},\rho}^*(\mathrm{id}\otimes{}_{\overline{\rho}}\Delta_{\overline{\pi}}\otimes\mathrm{id}_\pi\otimes\mathrm{id}_\rho)\big((\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta\otimes\mathrm{id})(u)(\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)(u^*)\alpha(x)\\
&\qquad\cdot(\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)(u)(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta\otimes\mathrm{id})(u^*)\big)u_{\overline{\rho},\rho}(1\otimes T_{\pi\cdot\rho})\\
&= (1\otimes T_{\pi\cdot\rho}^*)u_{\overline{\rho},\rho}^*(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta^{(2)}\otimes\mathrm{id}_\rho)(u)(\mathrm{id}\otimes{}_{\overline{\rho}}\Delta_{\overline{\pi}}\otimes{}_\pi\Delta_\rho)(u^*)(\mathrm{id}\otimes{}_{\overline{\rho}}\Delta_{\overline{\pi}})(\alpha(x))\\
&\qquad\cdot(\mathrm{id}\otimes{}_{\overline{\rho}}\Delta_{\overline{\pi}}\otimes{}_\pi\Delta_\rho)(u)(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta^{(2)}\otimes\mathrm{id}_\rho)(u^*)u_{\overline{\rho},\rho}(1\otimes T_{\pi\cdot\rho})\\
&= (1\otimes T_{\pi\cdot\rho}^*)u_{\overline{\rho},\rho}^*u_{\overline{\rho},\rho}(\mathrm{id}\otimes{}_{\overline{\rho}}\Delta_{\overline{\pi}}\otimes{}_\pi\Delta_\rho)(u^*)(\mathrm{id}\otimes{}_{\overline{\rho}}\Delta_{\overline{\pi}})(\alpha(x))\\
&\qquad\cdot(\mathrm{id}\otimes{}_{\overline{\rho}}\Delta_{\overline{\pi}}\otimes{}_\pi\Delta_\rho)(u)u_{\overline{\rho},\rho}^*u_{\overline{\rho},\rho}(1\otimes T_{\pi\cdot\rho})\\
&= (1\otimes T_{\pi\cdot\rho}^*)(\mathrm{id}\otimes{}_{\overline{\rho}}\Delta_{\overline{\pi}}\otimes{}_\pi\Delta_\rho)(u^*)(\mathrm{id}\otimes{}_{\overline{\rho}}\Delta_{\overline{\pi}})(\alpha(x))(\mathrm{id}\otimes{}_{\overline{\rho}}\Delta_{\overline{\pi}}\otimes{}_\pi\Delta_\rho)(u)(1\otimes T_{\pi\cdot\rho})\\
&= (1\otimes T_{\pi\cdot\rho}^*)(\mathrm{id}\otimes{}_{\overline{\rho}}\Delta_{\overline{\pi}}\otimes\mathrm{id}_\pi\otimes\mathrm{id}_\rho)\big((\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{id}\otimes{}_\pi\Delta_\rho)(u^*)\alpha(x)(\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{id}\otimes{}_\pi\Delta_\rho)(u)\big)\\
&\qquad\cdot(1\otimes T_{\pi\cdot\rho})\\
&= \sum_{\sigma\prec\pi\cdot\rho}\sum_{S\in\mathrm{ONB}(\overline{\sigma},\overline{\rho}\cdot\overline{\pi})}(1\otimes T_{\pi\cdot\rho}^*)(1\otimes S\otimes 1_\pi\otimes 1_\rho)(\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\overline{\sigma}}\otimes{}_\pi\Delta_\rho)(u^*)\alpha_{\overline{\sigma}}(x)\\
&\qquad\qquad\cdot(\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\overline{\sigma}}\otimes{}_\pi\Delta_\rho)(u)(1\otimes S^*\otimes 1_\pi\otimes 1_\rho)(1\otimes T_{\pi\cdot\rho})\\
&= \sum_{\sigma\prec\pi\cdot\rho}\sum_{S\in\mathrm{ONB}(\overline{\sigma},\overline{\rho}\cdot\overline{\pi})}\frac{d_\sigma}{d_\pi d_\rho}(1\otimes T_{\overline{\sigma},\sigma}^*)(1\otimes 1_{\overline{\sigma}}\otimes\widetilde{S}^*)(\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\overline{\sigma}}\otimes{}_\pi\Delta_\rho)(u^*)\alpha_{\overline{\sigma}}(x)\\
&\qquad\qquad\cdot(\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\overline{\sigma}}\otimes{}_\pi\Delta_\rho)(u)(1\otimes 1_{\overline{\sigma}}\otimes\widetilde{S})(1\otimes T_{\overline{\sigma},\sigma})
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\sigma \prec \pi\cdot\rho} \sum_{S \in \mathrm{ONB}(\overline{\sigma}, \overline{\rho}\cdot\overline{\pi})} \frac{d_\sigma}{d_\pi d_\rho} (1 \otimes T^*_{\overline{\sigma},\sigma}) u^*_{\overline{\sigma},\sigma} (1 \otimes 1_{\overline{\sigma}} \otimes \widetilde{S}^*) \alpha_{\overline{\sigma}}(x) (1 \otimes 1_{\overline{\sigma}} \otimes \widetilde{S}) \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \cdot u_{\overline{\sigma},\sigma} (1 \otimes T_{\overline{\sigma},\sigma}) \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \prec \pi\cdot\rho} \sum_{S \in \mathrm{ONB}(\overline{\sigma}, \overline{\rho}\cdot\overline{\pi})} \frac{d_\sigma}{d_\pi d_\rho} \Phi_\sigma((1 \otimes \widetilde{S}^*) x (1 \otimes \widetilde{S})) \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \prec \pi\cdot\rho} \sum_{T \in \mathrm{ONB}(\sigma, \pi\cdot\rho)} \frac{d_\sigma}{d_\pi d_\rho} \Phi_\sigma((1 \otimes T^*) x (1 \otimes T)).
\end{aligned}
$$

□

Next we recall the notion of freeness for a cocycle action.

Definition 2.7. Let (α, u) be a cocycle action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on a von Neumann algebra M. Then it is said to be *free* if for any $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G}) \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\}$, there exists no nonzero element $a \in M \otimes B(H_\pi)$ with $a(x \otimes 1_\pi) = \alpha_\pi(x)a$ for all $x \in M$.

Note that freeness is stable under perturbation, that is, a perturbed cocycle action of a free cocycle action is also free. The following lemma is essentially proved in [I1, Lemma 5.1].

Lemma 2.8. *Let (α, u) be a free cocycle action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on a von Neumann algebra M. Then $\alpha_\pi(M)' \cap (M \otimes B(H_\pi)) = \alpha_\pi(Z(M))$ holds for any $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$.*

Proof. Take an element a in $\alpha_\pi(M)' \cap (M \otimes B(H_\pi))$. Then for any $x \in M$, the equality $a\alpha_\pi(x) = \alpha_\pi(x)a$ holds. Applying $\alpha_{\overline{\pi}}$ to the first leg, we have

$$(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_\pi)(a)(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_\pi)(\alpha_\pi(x)) = (\alpha_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_\pi)(\alpha_\pi(x))(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_\pi)(a).$$

By definition of a cocycle action,

$$u^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_\pi)(a) u_{\overline{\pi},\pi} (\mathrm{id} \otimes_{\overline{\pi}} \Delta_\pi)(\alpha(x)) = (\mathrm{id} \otimes_{\overline{\pi}} \Delta_\pi)(\alpha(x)) u^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_\pi)(a) u_{\overline{\pi},\pi}.$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(1 \otimes T^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}) u^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_\pi)(a) u_{\overline{\pi},\pi} (\mathrm{id} \otimes_{\overline{\pi}} \Delta_\pi)(\alpha(x)) \\
&= (1 \otimes T^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}) (\mathrm{id} \otimes_{\overline{\pi}} \Delta_\pi)(\alpha(x)) u^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_\pi)(a) u_{\overline{\pi},\pi} \\
&= x (1 \otimes T^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}) u^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_\pi)(a) u_{\overline{\pi},\pi}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying $S \in (\sigma, \overline{\pi} \cdot \pi)$ from the right, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(1 \otimes T^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}) u^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_\pi)(a) u_{\overline{\pi},\pi} (1 \otimes S) \alpha_\sigma(x) \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad = x (1 \otimes T^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}) u^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_\pi)(a) u_{\overline{\pi},\pi} (1 \otimes S).
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $(1 \otimes T^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}) u^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_\pi)(a) u_{\overline{\pi},\pi} (1 \otimes S) \in M \otimes B(H_\sigma, H_{\mathbf{1}})$, this is equal to 0 for $\sigma \neq \mathbf{1}$ by freeness of α. Using the equality

$$1_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes 1_\pi = \sum_{\sigma \prec \overline{\pi}\cdot\pi} \sum_{S \in \mathrm{ONB}(\sigma, \overline{\pi}\cdot\pi)} SS^*,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(1 \otimes T^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi})u^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_\pi)(a)u_{\overline{\pi},\pi} &= (1 \otimes T^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi})u^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_\pi)(a)u_{\overline{\pi},\pi}(1 \otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi}T^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}) \\ &= \Phi_\pi(a)(1 \otimes T^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}).\end{aligned}$$

This equality implies that $\Phi_\pi(ab) = \Phi_\pi(a)\Phi_\pi(b)$ for all $a, b \in \alpha_\pi(M)' \cap (M \otimes B(H_\pi))$. Note that $\Phi_\pi(a) \in Z(M)$. Hence on $\alpha_\pi(M)' \cap (M \otimes B(H_\pi))$, the map Φ_π is a faithful $*$-homomorphism to $Z(M)$. Since Φ_π maps $\alpha_\pi(Z(M))$ onto $Z(M)$, we have $\alpha_\pi(M)' \cap (M \otimes B(H_\pi)) = \alpha_\pi(Z(M))$ by the faithfulness of Φ_π. □

As an application of the previous lemma, we can show that a free cocycle action of a discrete Kac algebra preserves a center, although this result is unnecessary for our study. Let (α, u) be a free cocycle action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on M. By Lemma 2.8, we have $\alpha_\pi(M)' \cap (M \otimes B(H_\pi)) = \alpha_\pi(Z(M))$. Clearly $Z(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}1_\pi \subset \alpha_\pi(M)' \cap (M \otimes B(H_\pi))$ holds. Hence $Z(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}1_\pi \subset \alpha_\pi(Z(M))$. In fact, they are equal as is shown below. For any $z \in Z(M)$, there exists $\theta_{\overline{\pi}}(z) \in Z(M)$ such that $\alpha_\pi(\theta_{\overline{\pi}}(z)) = z \otimes 1_\pi$. Applying Φ_π to the both sides, we have $\theta_{\overline{\pi}}(z) = \Phi_\pi(z \otimes 1_\pi)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{\overline{\pi}}(\theta_\pi(z)) &= \Phi_\pi(\theta_\pi(z) \otimes 1_\pi) \\ &= (1 \otimes T^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi})u^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}}(\theta_\pi(z)) \otimes 1_\pi)u_{\overline{\pi},\pi}(1 \otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi}) \\ &= (1 \otimes T^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi})u^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}(z \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes 1_\pi)u_{\overline{\pi},\pi}(1 \otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi}) \\ &= z.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\theta_\pi \in \mathrm{Aut}(Z(M))$ and $\alpha_\pi(z) = \theta_\pi(z) \otimes 1_\pi$ for all $z \in Z(M)$ and $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$. Moreover by definition of a cocycle action, for $z \in Z(M)$ and $\pi, \sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_\pi(\theta_\sigma(z)) \otimes 1_\pi \otimes 1_\sigma &= \alpha_\pi(\theta_\sigma(z)) \otimes 1_\sigma \\ &= (\alpha_\pi \otimes \mathrm{id}_\sigma)(\alpha_\sigma(z)) \\ &= u_{\pi,\sigma}(\mathrm{id} \otimes {}_\pi\Delta_\sigma)(\alpha(z))u^*_{\pi,\sigma} \\ &= \sum_{\rho \prec \pi\cdot\sigma} u_{\pi,\sigma}(\mathrm{id} \otimes {}_\pi\Delta_\sigma)(\alpha_\rho(z))u^*_{\pi,\sigma} \\ &= \sum_{\rho \prec \pi\cdot\sigma} u_{\pi,\sigma}\theta_\rho(z) \otimes {}_\pi\Delta_\sigma(1_\rho)u^*_{\pi,\sigma}.\end{aligned}$$

Applying $\mathrm{Ad}\, u_{\pi,\sigma}$ to the above equality, we obtain

$$\theta_\pi(\theta_\sigma(z)) \otimes 1_\pi \otimes 1_\sigma = \sum_{\rho \prec \pi\cdot\sigma} \theta_\rho(z) \otimes {}_\pi\Delta_\sigma(1_\rho).$$

Hence if $\rho, \rho' \prec \pi \cdot \sigma$, then $\theta_\rho = \theta_{\rho'}$. Summarizing these arguments, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.9. *Let (α, u) be a free cocycle action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on M. Then there exists a map $\theta\colon \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G}) \to \mathrm{Aut}(Z(M))$ such that*

(1) $\alpha_\pi(z) = \theta_\pi(z) \otimes 1_\pi$ for all $z \in Z(M)$ and $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$.
(2) $\theta_\pi \circ \theta_{\overline{\pi}} = \mathrm{id}$ for all $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$.

(3) If $\rho \prec \pi \cdot \sigma$, then $\theta_\pi \circ \theta_\sigma = \theta_\rho$.

In particular, α preserves the center $Z(M)$.

We close this subsection with a criterion on the invariance of a trace.

Proposition 2.10. *Let (α, u) be a free cocycle action on a finite von Neumann algebra M with a normalized trace τ.*

(1) The equality $(\tau \otimes \tau_\pi) \circ \alpha_\pi \circ \Phi_\pi = \tau \otimes \tau_\pi$ holds for all $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$.

(2) If $u = 1$ and $(\tau \otimes \tau_\pi) \circ \alpha_\pi = \tau$ for all $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$, then the action α preserves τ, i.e. $(\tau \otimes \mathrm{id})(\alpha(x)) = \tau(x)1$ holds for all $x \in M$.

Proof. (1). Since $\alpha_\pi(M)' \cap (M \otimes B(H_\pi)) = \alpha_\pi(Z(M))$, $\alpha_\pi \circ \Phi_\pi$ is the unique conditional expectation from $M \otimes B(H_\pi)$ to $\alpha_\pi(M)$. Hence the map preserves the tracial state $\tau \otimes \tau_\pi$, that is, $(\tau \otimes \tau_\pi) \circ \alpha_\pi \circ \Phi_\pi = \tau \otimes \tau_\pi$.

(2). By (1), the equality $\tau \circ \Phi_\pi = \tau \otimes \tau_\pi$ holds. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_\pi(x \otimes e_{\pi_{i,j}}) &= (1 \otimes T^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi})(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}}(x) \otimes e_{\pi_{i,j}})(1 \otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi}) \\ &= (1 \otimes T^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi})(1 \otimes e_{\overline{\pi}_{\overline{i},\overline{i}}} \otimes 1_\pi)(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}}(x) \otimes 1_\pi)(1 \otimes e_{\overline{\pi}_{\overline{j},\overline{j}}} \otimes 1_\pi)(1 \otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi}) \\ &= d_\pi^{-1} \alpha_{\overline{\pi}_{\overline{i},\overline{j}}}(x),\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$d_\pi^{-1} \tau(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}_{\overline{i},\overline{j}}}(x)) = (\tau \otimes \tau_\pi)(x \otimes e_{\pi_{i,j}}) = d_\pi^{-1} \delta_{i,j} \tau(x).$$

□

2.5. Crossed products and dual functionals

We collect well-known results on crossed product von Neumann algebras. Let M be a von Neumann algebra and α an action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on M. The *crossed product* is the von Neumann subalgebra $M \rtimes_\alpha \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ in $M \otimes B(L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$ defined by

$$M \rtimes_\alpha \widehat{\mathbb{G}} = \alpha(M) \vee (\mathbb{C} \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G})).$$

Set an element $\lambda_\pi = 1 \otimes V_\pi \in (M \rtimes_\alpha \widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. Then in fact the crossed product $M \rtimes_\alpha \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is the weak closure of the linear space spanned by $\{\alpha(x)\lambda_{\pi_{i,j}} \mid x \in M,\ \pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G}),\ i, j \in I_\pi\}$. Now define a unitary $\widetilde{V}$ by

$$\widetilde{V} = \Sigma(1 \otimes U)V(1 \otimes U)\Sigma,$$

where Σ is the flip unitary on $L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \otimes L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. Then $\widetilde{V}$ is a multiplicative unitary in $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})' \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ and satisfies

$$\widetilde{V}_{13} V_{12} \widetilde{V}^*_{13} = V_{12} V_{32}.$$

Consider the action $\mathrm{Ad}(1 \otimes \widetilde{V})(\cdot \otimes 1)$ on $M \otimes B(L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$. It actually preserves $M \rtimes_\alpha \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$, and the restriction gives an action of $\mathbb{G}$ on $M \rtimes_\alpha \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$. It is called the *dual action* of α and denoted by $\hat{\alpha}$. By definition, we have

$$\hat{\alpha}(\alpha(x)) = \alpha(x) \otimes 1, \quad \hat{\alpha}(\lambda_{\pi_{i,j}}) = \sum_{k \in I_\pi} \lambda_{\pi_{i,k}} \otimes v_{\pi_{k,j}}$$

for all $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$, $i, j \in I_\pi$.

Recall a normal functional $\varepsilon_{\pi_{i,j}} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{G})_*$ defined in §2.2. Set linear maps $P_{\pi_{ij}}$ and P_π on $M \rtimes_\alpha \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ by $P_{\pi_{i,j}} = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \varepsilon_{\pi_{i,j}}) \circ \hat{\alpha}$ and $P_\pi = \sum_{i \in I_\pi} P_{\pi_{i,i}}$, respectively. In particular, $P_{\mathbf{1}} = (\mathrm{id} \otimes h) \circ \hat{\alpha}$ is a faithful normal conditional expectation from $M \rtimes_\alpha \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ onto the fixed point algebra $(M \rtimes_\alpha \widehat{\mathbb{G}})^{\hat{\alpha}}$. We write $E_{\hat{\alpha}}$ for $P_{\mathbf{1}}$. The following equalities are directly verified by easy calculation. Let $\pi, \rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$, $i, j \in I_\pi$ and $k, \ell \in I_\rho$. Then we have

(i) $P_{\pi_{i,j}}(\alpha(a)\lambda_{\rho_{k,\ell}}\alpha(b)) = \delta_{\pi,\rho}\delta_{j,\ell}\alpha(a)\lambda_{\pi_{k,i}}\alpha(b)$,
(ii) $P_\pi(\alpha(a)\lambda_{\rho_{k,\ell}}\alpha(b)) = \delta_{\pi,\rho}\alpha(a)\lambda_{\pi_{k,\ell}}\alpha(b)$,
(iii) $P_\pi^2 = P_\pi$.

In particular, the map $E_{\hat{\alpha}}$ is a conditional expectation from $M \rtimes_\alpha \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ onto $\alpha(M)$. The above equalities yield

(i) $E_{\hat{\alpha}}\big((\alpha(b)\lambda_{\rho_{k,\ell}})^*\alpha(a)\lambda_{\pi_{i,j}}\big) = \delta_{\pi,\rho}\delta_{j,\ell}\alpha(\Phi_\pi(b^*a \otimes e_{\pi_{k,i}}))$,
(ii) $E_{\hat{\alpha}}\big(\alpha(a)\lambda_{\pi_{i,j}}(\alpha(b)\lambda_{\rho_{k,\ell}})^*\big) = \delta_{\pi,\rho}\delta_{i,k}\delta_{j,\ell}d_\pi^{-1}\alpha(ab^*)$.

The first equality implies $E_{\hat{\alpha}}(P_\pi(x)^*y) = E_{\hat{\alpha}}(x^*P_\pi(y))$ for all $x, y \in M \rtimes_\alpha \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$.

For a normal functional $\theta \in M_*$, put $\hat{\theta} = \theta \circ \alpha^{-1} \circ E_{\hat{\alpha}}$. It is called a *dual functional* of θ. When θ is a state, $\hat{\theta}$ is called a dual state. From the equalities on $E_{\hat{\alpha}}$, we have

(i) $\hat{\theta}\big((\alpha(b)\lambda_{\rho_{k,\ell}})^*\alpha(a)\lambda_{\pi_{i,j}}\big) = \delta_{\pi,\rho}\delta_{j,\ell}\theta(\Phi_\pi(b^*a \otimes e_{\pi_{k,i}}))$,
(ii) $\hat{\theta}\big(\alpha(a)\lambda_{\pi_{i,j}}(\alpha(b)\lambda_{\rho_{k,\ell}}))^*\big) = \delta_{\pi,\rho}\delta_{i,k}\delta_{j,\ell}d_\pi^{-1}\theta(ab^*)$.

In constructing a tower base in §5, we need these equalities for a dual state of a trace. The next proposition characterizes when a dual state of a trace is also a trace.

Proposition 2.11. *Let M be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ. Then the dual state $\hat{\tau}$ is tracial if and only if all the left inverses $\{\Phi_\pi\}_{\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})}$ preserve the trace τ.*

If α is a free action on a finite factor, then the left inverse Φ_π preserves the trace for all $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ by Proposition 2.10. Hence a dual state of the unique tracial state is also tracial.

Finally in this subsection, we study the relative commutant $\alpha(A)' \cap (M \rtimes_\alpha \widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ for a free cocycle action (α, u) and a von Neumann subalgebra $A \subset M$. In order to avoid ambiguous arguments on formal expansion of elements in a crossed product, we introduce the map $\mathcal{F}$, which picks up coefficients of arbitrary elements of $M \rtimes_\alpha \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$.

Lemma 2.12. *Set $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})_\pi = \mathrm{span}\{v_{\pi_{i,j}} \mid i, j \in I_\pi\}$ for each $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$.*

(1) For each $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$, the elements $\{\lambda_{\pi_{i,j}}\}_{i,j \in I_\pi}$ is a basis for the M-left module $\alpha(M)(\mathbb{C} \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G})_\pi)$.
(2) For each $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$, the linear space $\alpha(M)(\mathbb{C} \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G})_\pi)$ is σ-weakly closed. In particular, $P_\pi(M \rtimes_\alpha \widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = \alpha(M)(\mathbb{C} \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G})_\pi)$.

(3) *For any element $x \in M \rtimes_\alpha \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$, consider the element $\mathcal{F}(x) = (P_\pi(x))_\pi$ in the linear space $\prod_{\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} \alpha(M)(\mathbb{C} \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G})_\pi)$. Then the map $\mathcal{F} \colon M \rtimes_\alpha \widehat{\mathbb{G}} \to \prod_{\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} \alpha(M)(\mathbb{C} \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G})_\pi)$ is an injective linear map.*

Proof. (1), (2). We know the equality $E_{\hat\alpha}\big(\alpha(a)\lambda_{\pi_{i,j}}\lambda^*_{\sigma_{k,\ell}}\big) = d_\pi^{-1}\delta_{\pi,\sigma}\delta_{i,k}\delta_{j,\ell}\alpha(a)$. For $x \in M \rtimes_\alpha \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$, define a element $Q_{\pi_{i,j}}(x) \in M$ by $\alpha(Q_{\pi_{i,j}}(x)) = d_\pi E_{\hat\alpha}(x\lambda^*_{\pi_{i,j}})$. Hence the map $Q_{\pi_{i,j}}$ catches the coefficient of $\lambda_{\pi_{i,j}}$, so that they give a basis over M. Indeed, the σ-weak continuity of this map shows the σ-weak closedness of $\alpha(M)(\mathbb{C} \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G})_\pi)$.

(3). Assume $P_\pi(x) = 0$ for all $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$. Since $E_{\hat\alpha}(P_\pi(x)^* y) = E_{\hat\alpha}(x^* P_\pi(y))$ for all $y \in M \rtimes_\alpha \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$, $E_{\hat\alpha}(x^* P_\pi(y)) = 0$ for all $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ and $y \in M \rtimes_\alpha \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$. Since the linear space generated by $\{P_\pi(M \rtimes_\alpha \widehat{\mathbb{G}})\}_{\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})}$ is dense in $M \rtimes_\alpha \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ and $E_{\hat\alpha}$ is faithful, we have $x = 0$. ☐

Let A be a von Neumann subalgebra of M. Its global invariance for α is not assumed here. Set $R = \alpha(A)' \cap (M \rtimes_\alpha \widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. Since $\mathbb{G}$ trivially acts on $\alpha(A)$, it preserves R. Hence the von Neumann algebra R is the weak closure of the linear space generated by $\{P_\pi(R)\}_{\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})}$. Assume that for some $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$, $P_\pi(R)$ is not zero. Take a nonzero $a = \sum_{i,j \in I_\pi} \alpha(a^*_{i,j})\lambda_{\pi_{i,j}}$ in $P_\pi(R)$. For $x \in A$, $a\alpha(x) = \alpha(x)a$ holds. Using $\lambda_{\pi_{i,j}}(\alpha(x)) = \sum_{k \in I_\pi} \alpha(\alpha_{\pi_{i,k}}(x))\lambda_{\pi_{k,j}}$, we have

$$\sum_{i \in I_\pi} a^*_{i,j}\alpha_{\pi_{i,k}}(x) = x a^*_{j,k}.$$

Then $a = \sum_{i,j \in I_\pi} a_{i,j} \otimes e_{\pi_{i,j}}$ satisfies $a(x \otimes 1_\pi) = \alpha_\pi(x)a$ for all $x \in A$. Summarizing these arguments, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.13. *Let A be a von Neumann subalgebra of M. Then the relative commutant $\alpha(A)' \cap (M \rtimes_\alpha \widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ is not equal to $\alpha(A' \cap M)$ if and only if there exists $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G}) \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\}$ and a nonzero $a \in M \otimes B(H_\pi)$ such that*

$$a(x \otimes 1_\pi) = \alpha_\pi(x)a \quad \textit{for all } x \in A.$$

Applying it to the case that α is free and $A = M$, we can derive the following well-known results.

Theorem 2.14. *Let α be an action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on a von Neumann algebra M. Then it is free if and only if the relative commutant $\alpha(M)' \cap (M \rtimes_\alpha \widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ is equal to $\alpha(Z(M))$.*

Corollary 2.15. *Let α be a free action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on a factor M of type II_1 with the tracial state τ. Then the relative commutant $\alpha(M)' \cap (M \rtimes_\alpha \widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ is trivial. In fact, $M \rtimes_\alpha \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is a factor of type II_1 whose tracial state is given by $\hat\tau$.*

3. ULTRAPRODUCT VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

After Connes's classification of cyclic group actions on the AFD factor of type II_1, we have enjoyed benefits of ultraproduct techniques in studying actions of various groups. In those circumstances, it is a key that an automorphism on a von Neumann algebra induces an automorphism on its central sequence algebra. Although general Kac algebra actions do not have such a property, the ultraproduct technique plays an essential and important role for approximately inner actions. We begin with the notion of a convergence of homomorphisms.

3.1. **Liftable and semiliftable homomorphisms**

Fix a free ultrafilter ω on $\mathbb{N}$. For a separable von Neumann algebra M, we recall the definition of the ultraproduct von Neumann algebras M^ω and M_ω. Let $\mathcal{T}_\omega$ be the set of bounded sequences converging to 0 strongly* in the ultralimit. We denote by $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T}_\omega)$ the C^*-subalgebra of $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M)$ normalizing $\mathcal{T}_\omega$. An element $(x_n)_n$ in $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M)$ is called *ω-centralizing* if

$$\lim_{n\to\omega} \|[\phi, x_n]\| = 0 \quad \text{for all } \phi \in M_*.$$

The C^*-algebra of ω-centralizing sequences is denoted by $\mathcal{C}_\omega$ which is a C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T}_\omega)$. Set the quotient C^*-algebras $M^\omega = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T}_\omega)/\mathcal{T}_\omega$ and $M_\omega = \mathcal{C}_\omega/\mathcal{T}_\omega$. The quotient map is denoted by q. Then they also have the preduals and hence are von Neumann algebras. We say that $(x_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M)$ is a representing sequence of $x \in M^\omega$ if $x = q((x_n)_n)$. For $u \in U(M^\omega)$, we always take a representing sequence $(u_n)_n$ of u such that $u_n \in U(M)$ for all n. Define a map $\tau^\omega\colon M^\omega \to M$ by $\tau^\omega(x) = \lim_{n\to\omega} x_n$ for a representing sequence $(x_n)_n$ of $x \in M^\omega$. The convergence is taken in the σ-weak topology of M. Then it is a faithful normal conditional expectation from M^ω onto M. Note that τ^ω is tracial on M_ω and $\tau^\omega(M_\omega) = Z(M)$. The restriction of τ^ω on M_ω is denoted by τ_ω.

Now we define the notion of convergence of homomorphisms and their left inverses.

Definition 3.1. Let M be a von Neumann algebra and K a finite dimensional Hilbert space. Let $\alpha_n, \beta \in \mathrm{Mor}_0(M, M \otimes B(K))$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with left inverses Φ_n and Φ, respectively. We say that the sequence of the pairs $\{(\alpha_n, \Phi_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *converges to* (β, Φ) if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\phi \circ \Phi_n - \phi \circ \Phi\| = 0 \quad \text{for all } \phi \in M_*.$$

For a finite dimensional Hilbert space K, we always identify $(M \otimes B(K))^\omega$ with $M^\omega \otimes B(K)$ in a natural way. Let $\alpha_n, \beta \in \mathrm{Mor}_0(M, M \otimes B(K))$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with left inverses Φ_n, Φ^β, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, respectively. Assume that (α_n, Φ_n) converges to (β, Φ^β). Define the maps $\overline{\alpha}\colon \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M) \to \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M) \otimes B(K)$ and $\overline{\Phi}\colon \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M) \otimes B(K) \to \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M)$ by

$$\overline{\alpha}((x_n)_n) = (\alpha_n(x_n))_n, \quad \overline{\Phi}((x_n)_n) = (\Phi_n(x_n))_n.$$

Lemma 3.2. *In the above situation, the following conditions hold.*

(1) $\overline{\alpha}(\mathcal{T}_\omega) \subset \mathcal{T}_\omega \otimes B(K)$, $\overline{\Phi}(\mathcal{T}_\omega \otimes B(K)) \subset \mathcal{T}_\omega$.

(2) $\overline{\alpha}(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T}_\omega)) \subset \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T}_\omega) \otimes B(K)$, $\overline{\Phi}(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T}_\omega) \otimes B(K)) \subset \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T}_\omega)$.

Proof. (1). Let $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{T}_\omega$ with $\sup_n \|x_n\| \le 1$ and $\phi \in M_*$ a faithful normal state. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
2\|\alpha_n(x_n)\|^{\sharp 2}_{\phi\circ\Phi^\beta} &= \phi\circ\Phi^\beta(\alpha_n(x_n^* x_n + x_n x_n^*))\\
&= (\phi\circ\Phi^\beta - \phi\circ\Phi_n)(\alpha_n(x_n^* x_n + x_n x_n^*)) + (\phi\circ\Phi_n)(\alpha_n(x_n^* x_n + x_n x_n^*))\\
&\le \|\phi\circ\Phi^\beta - \phi\circ\Phi_n\|\cdot 2\|x_n\|^2 + \phi(x_n^* x_n + x_n x_n^*)\\
&\le 2\|\phi\circ\Phi^\beta - \phi\circ\Phi_n\| + 2\|x_n\|^{\sharp 2}_\phi\\
&\to 0
\end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \omega$. It shows that $\overline{\alpha}$ preserves $\mathcal{T}_\omega$.

We next show that $\overline{\Phi}$ preserves $\mathcal{T}_\omega$. Let $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{T}_\omega \otimes B(K)$ with $\sup_n \|x_n\| \le 1$ and ϕ be a faithful normal state on M. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
2\|\Phi_n(x_n)\|^{\sharp 2}_\phi &= \phi(\Phi_n(x_n^*)\Phi_n(x_n) + \Phi_n(x_n)\Phi_n(x_n^*))\\
&\le \phi(\Phi_n(x_n^* x_n + x_n x_n^*))\\
&= (\phi\circ\Phi_n - \phi\circ\Phi^\beta)(x_n^* x_n + x_n x_n^*) + \phi\circ\Phi^\beta(x_n^* x_n + x_n x_n^*)\\
&\le 2\|\phi\circ\Phi_n - \phi\circ\Phi^\beta\| + 2\|x_n\|^{\sharp 2}_{\phi\circ\Phi^\beta}\\
&\to 0
\end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \omega$. Hence $\overline{\Phi}(\mathcal{T}_\omega \otimes B(K)) \subset \mathcal{T}_\omega$.

(2) Let $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T}_\omega)$ with $\sup_n \|x_n\| \le 1$ and $(y_n)_n \in \mathcal{T}_\omega \otimes B(K)$ with $\sup_n \|y_n\| \le 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|y_n\alpha_n(x_n)\|^2_{\phi\circ\Phi^\beta} &= \phi\circ\Phi^\beta(\alpha_n(x_n^*)y_n^* y_n\alpha_n(x_n))\\
&= (\phi\circ\Phi^\beta - \phi\circ\Phi_n)(\alpha_n(x_n^*)y_n^* y_n\alpha_n(x_n))\\
&\qquad + \phi\circ\Phi_n(\alpha_n(x_n^*)y_n^* y_n\alpha_n(x_n))\\
&\le \|\phi\circ\Phi^\beta - \phi\circ\Phi_n\| + \phi(x_n^*\Phi_n(y_n^* y_n)x_n).
\end{aligned}$$

By (1), $(\Phi_n(y_n^* y_n))_n \in \mathcal{T}_\omega$. Hence the right hand side converges to 0 as $n \to \omega$. Similarly we can show that $y_n^*\alpha(x_n^*)$ converges to 0 strongly as $n \to \omega$. Hence $\overline{\alpha}$ preserves $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T}_\omega)$.

Next we show that $\overline{\Phi}(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T}_\omega) \otimes B(K)) \subset \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T}_\omega)$. Let $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T}_\omega) \otimes B(K)$ with $\sup_n \|x_n\| \le 1$ and $(y_n)_n \in \mathcal{T}_\omega$ with $\sup_n \|y_n\| \le 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|y_n\Phi_n(x_n)\|^2_\phi &= \phi(\Phi_n(x_n^*)y_n^* y_n\Phi_n(x_n))\\
&= \phi(\Phi_n(x_n^*\alpha_n(y_n^*))\Phi_n(\alpha_n(y_n)x_n))\\
&\le \phi\circ\Phi_n(x_n^*\alpha_n(y_n^* y_n)x_n)\\
&= (\phi\circ\Phi_n - \phi\circ\Phi^\beta)(x_n^*\alpha_n(y_n^* y_n)x_n) + \phi\circ\Phi^\beta(x_n^*\alpha_n(y_n^* y_n)x_n)\\
&\le \|\phi\circ\Phi_n - \phi\circ\Phi^\beta\| + \|\alpha_n(y_n)x_n\|^2_{\phi\circ\Phi^\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $(\alpha_n(y_n))_n \in \mathcal{T}_\omega \otimes B(K)$, the right hand side converges to 0 as $n \to \omega$. Similarly we can show that $(y_n^* \Phi_n(x_n^*))_n$ strongly converges to 0 as $n \to \omega$. Hence $\overline{\Phi}(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T}_\omega) \otimes B(K)) \subset \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T}_\omega)$. $\square$

By the previous lemma, we can induce the maps $\alpha\colon M^\omega \to M^\omega \otimes B(K)$ and $\Phi\colon M^\omega \otimes B(K) \to M^\omega$ defined by

$$\alpha(x) = (q \otimes \mathrm{id})((\alpha_n(x_n))_n), \quad \Phi(x \otimes y) = q((\Phi_n(x_n \otimes y))_n)$$

for all $x = q((x_n)_n) \in M^\omega$ and $y \in B(K)$. Note that Φ preserves M_ω, that is, $\Phi(M_\omega \otimes \mathbb{C}) \subset M_\omega$. Indeed, for any $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{C}_\omega$, $\phi \in M_*$ and $y \in M$ we have $[\phi, \Phi_n(x_n \otimes 1)](y) = [\phi \circ \Phi_n, x_n \otimes 1](\alpha_n(y))$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\|[\phi, \Phi_n(x_n \otimes 1)]\| &\leq \|[\phi \circ \Phi_n, x_n \otimes 1]\| \\
&\leq \|[\phi \circ \Phi_n - \phi \circ \Phi^\beta, x_n \otimes 1]\| + \|[\phi \circ \Phi^\beta, x_n \otimes 1]\| \\
&\leq 2\|\phi \circ \Phi_n - \phi \circ \Phi^\beta\| \|x_n\| + \|[\phi \circ \Phi^\beta, x_n \otimes 1]\| \\
&\to 0
\end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \omega$. We verify $\alpha \in \mathrm{Mor}(M^\omega, M^\omega \otimes B(K))$ and Φ is a left inverse of α. The nontrivial points are faithfulness and normality of them. Since $\Phi \circ \alpha = \mathrm{id}$, α is faithful. For Φ, we claim that the following equality holds.

$$\tau^\omega \circ \Phi = \Phi^\beta \circ (\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id}).$$

Once we prove this equality, the faithfulness and the normality of Φ immediately follow. Moreover with the equality $\Phi \circ \alpha = \mathrm{id}$, it also derives the normality of α. Now we prove the claim as follows. Let $\phi \in M_*$ and $x \in M^\omega \otimes B(K)$ with a representing sequence $(x_n)_n$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(\tau^\omega \circ \Phi(x)) &= \lim_{n\to\omega} \phi(\Phi_n(x_n)) \\
&= \lim_{n\to\omega} (\phi \circ \Phi_n - \phi \circ \Phi^\beta)(x_n) + \phi \circ \Phi^\beta(x_n) \\
&= \phi(\Phi^\beta((\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(x))),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\phi \circ \Phi_n - \phi \circ \Phi^\beta\| = 0$ and the normality of Φ^β. We summarize these arguments in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Let M be a von Neumann algebra. Consider $\alpha_n, \beta \in \mathrm{Mor}_0(M, M \otimes B(K))$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with left inverses Φ_n, Φ^β, respectively. Assume that (α_n, Φ_n) converges to (β, Φ^β) and define the maps α and Φ as before. Then $\alpha \in \mathrm{Mor}_0(M^\omega, M^\omega \otimes B(K))$ and Φ is a left inverse of α. Moreover we have*

$$\tau^\omega \circ \Phi = \Phi^\beta \circ (\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id}).$$

Definition 3.4. Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Mor}_0(M^\omega, M^\omega \otimes B(K))$ with a left inverse Φ.

(1) We say that the pair (α, Φ) is *semiliftable* if there exists (α_n, Φ_n) and (β, Φ^β) which induce (α, Φ) as in the previous lemma.

(2) We say that the pair (α, Φ) is *liftable* if the pair is semiliftable and we can take $\alpha_n = \beta$ and $\Phi_n = \Phi^\beta$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case we write β^ω for α.

(3) For a cocycle action (β, w) of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on M^ω, we say that it is *semiliftable* or *liftable* if the pairs $(\beta_\pi, \Phi_\pi^\beta)$, $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$, are semiliftable or liftable, respectively.

Definition 3.5. Let (α, Φ) be a pair of $\alpha \in \mathrm{Mor}_0(M, M \otimes B(K))$ and a left inverse Φ of α.

(1) We say that the pair is *approximately inner* if there exists a sequence of unitaris $\{u_n\}_n \subset M \otimes B(K)$ such that the pair $(\mathrm{Ad}\, u_n(\cdot \otimes 1), (\mathrm{id} \otimes \tau_K) \circ \mathrm{Ad}\, u_n^*)$ converges to (α, Φ).

(2) For a cocycle action (α, u) of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on M, we say that it is an *approximately inner cocycle action* if for each $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$, the pair (α_π, Φ_π) is approximately inner.

In the above situation, the pairs $\{(\mathrm{Ad}\, u_n, (\mathrm{id} \otimes \tau_K) \circ \mathrm{Ad}\, u_n^*)\}_n$ induce the semiliftable pair $(\mathrm{Ad}\, U, (\mathrm{id} \otimes \tau_K) \circ \mathrm{Ad}\, U)$. By the previous lemma, we have

$$(\tau^\omega \otimes \tau_K) \circ \mathrm{Ad}\, U^* = \Phi \circ (\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id}).$$

If we apply this equality to α^ω, we have the following equality by using $(\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \alpha^\omega = \alpha \circ \tau^\omega$,

$$(\tau^\omega \otimes \tau_K) \circ \mathrm{Ad}\, U^* \circ \alpha^\omega = \tau^\omega.$$

Next we prepare a useful lemma which characterizes elements in $\mathcal{C}_\omega \otimes B(K)$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let M be a von Neumann algebra, K a finite dimensional Hilbert space and τ_K a normalized tracial state on $B(K)$. Then an element $(a_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M) \otimes B(K)$ is in $\mathcal{C}_\omega \otimes B(K)$ if and only if $\lim_{n \to \omega} \|[\psi \otimes \tau_K, a_n]\| = 0$, $\psi \in M_*$.*

Proof. The following equality is easily verified.

$$[\psi \otimes \tau_K, a_n] = \sum_{i,j} [\psi, (a_n)_{i,j}] \otimes (\tau_K) e_{i,j},$$

where $\{e_{i,j}\}_{i,j}$ is a matrix unit of $B(K)$. Hence if $(a_n)_n \in \mathcal{C}_\omega \otimes B(K)$, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|[\psi \otimes \tau_K, a_n]\| = 0$. Suppose $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|[\psi \otimes \tau_K, a_n]\| = 0$. Since $[\psi, (a_n)_{i,j}](y) = [\psi \otimes \tau_K, a_n](y \otimes e_{j,i})$, we have $\|[\psi, (a_n)_{i,j}]\| \leq \|[\psi \otimes \tau_K, a_n]\|$. Hence $(a_n)_n \in \mathcal{C}_\omega \otimes B(K)$. ☐

If (α, Φ) is approximately inner, there exists a unitary $U \in M^\omega \otimes B(K)$ as before. Then consider the map $\gamma = \mathrm{Ad}\, U^* \circ \alpha^\omega$. By definition of the approximate innerness, $(\gamma, \Phi \circ \mathrm{Ad}\, U)$ is semiliftable. Indeed, the pairs $(\mathrm{Ad}\, u_n^* \circ \alpha, \Phi \circ \mathrm{Ad}\, u_n)$ converges to $(\cdot \otimes 1, \mathrm{id} \otimes \tau_K)$. Since $\alpha = \mathrm{Ad}\, U(\cdot \otimes 1)$ on M, γ fixes M and hence preserves $M' \cap M^\omega$. In fact, γ preserves M_ω as is shown in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Mor}_0(M, M \otimes B(K))$ be a $*$-homomorphism with a left inverse Φ. Assume that the pair (α, Φ) is approximately inner. Take a sequence of unitaries $\{u_n\}_n \subset M \otimes B(K)$ with*

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|(\phi \otimes \tau_K) \circ \mathrm{Ad}\, u_n^* - \phi \circ \Phi\| = 0 \quad \textit{for all } \phi \in M_*.$$

Set $U := (u_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M \otimes B(K))$ and then the following statements hold.

(1) $U \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T}_\omega) \otimes B(K)$.

(2) The $*$*-homomorphisms* $\operatorname{Ad} U^* \circ \overline{\alpha}$ *and* $\operatorname{Ad} U^*(\cdot \otimes 1)$ *from* $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M)$ *to* $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M) \otimes B(K)$ *preserve* $\mathcal{T}_\omega$, $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T}_\omega)$ *and* $\mathcal{C}_\omega$.

Proof. (1). Let $(x_n)_n \in \mathcal{T}_\omega \otimes B(K)$. Fix a faithful normal state ϕ on M. We show $\lim_{n\to\omega} \|u_n x_n\|^\sharp_{\phi\circ\Phi} = \lim_{n\to\omega} \|x_n u_n\|^\sharp_{\phi\otimes\tau_K} = 0$. It is trivial that $\lim_{n\to\omega} \|u_n x_n\|_{\phi\otimes\tau_K} = 0 = \lim_{n\to\omega} \|u_n^* x_n^*\|_{\phi\otimes\tau_K}$. On $\|x_n u_n\|_{\phi\otimes\tau_K}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_n u_n\|^2_{\phi\otimes\tau_K} &= (\phi\otimes\tau_K)(u_n^* x_n^* x_n u_n)\\ &= (\phi\otimes\tau_K)\circ \operatorname{Ad} u_n^*(x_n^* x_n)\\ &= ((\phi\otimes\tau_K)\circ\operatorname{Ad} u_n^* - \phi\circ\Phi)(x_n^* x_n) + \phi\circ\Phi(x_n^* x_n)\\ &\le \|(\phi\otimes\tau_K)\circ\operatorname{Ad} u_n^* - \phi\circ\Phi\|\|x_n\|^2 + \|x_n\|^2_{\phi\circ\Phi}\\ &\to 0\end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \omega$. Next we show that $\{x_n^* u_n^*\}$ converges to 0 σ-strongly as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_n^* u_n^*\|^2_{\phi\circ\Phi} &= \phi(\Phi(u_n x_n x_n^* u_n^*))\\ &= (\phi\circ\Phi - (\phi\otimes\tau_K)\circ\operatorname{Ad} u_n^*)(u_n x_n x_n^* u_n^*) + (\phi\otimes\tau_K)(x_n x_n^*)\\ &\le \|\phi\circ\Phi - (\phi\otimes\tau_K)\circ\operatorname{Ad} u_n^*\|\|x_n\|^2 + \|x_n^*\|^2_{\phi\otimes\tau_K}\\ &\to 0\end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \omega$. Hence $U = (u_n)_n \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{T}_\omega) \otimes B(K)$.

(2). Set $\gamma_n^1 := \operatorname{Ad} u_n^* \circ \alpha$ and $\Phi_n(x) := \Phi(u_n x u_n^*)$. Note that Φ_n is a left inverse of γ_n^1. By assumption, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\psi\circ\Phi_n - \psi\otimes\tau_K\| = 0$. Let $(a_n)_n \in \mathcal{C}_\omega$ be a centralizing sequence. By the previous lemma, it suffices to show $\lim_{n\to\omega} \|[\gamma_n^1(a_n), \psi\otimes\tau_K]\| = 0$. We may assume $\|a_n\| \le 1$. Then for $x \in M \otimes B(K)$,

$$\begin{aligned}|[\gamma_n^1(a_n), \psi\otimes\tau_K](x)| &= |[\gamma_n^1(a_n), \psi\circ\Phi_n](x)| + |[\gamma_n^1(a_n), \psi\otimes\tau_K - \psi\circ\Phi_n](x)|\\ &\le \|[a_n, \psi]\|\|\Phi_n(x)\| + 2\|\psi\otimes\tau_K - \psi\circ\Phi_n\|\|x\|\\ &\le \|[a_n, \psi]\|\|x\| + 2\|\psi\otimes\tau_K - \psi\circ\Phi_n\|\|x\|.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $\|[\gamma_n^1(a_n), \psi\otimes\tau_K]\| \le \|[a_n, \psi]\| + 2\|\psi\otimes\tau_K - \psi\circ\Phi_n\|$, and it follows that $\lim_{n\to\omega} \|[\gamma_n^1(a_n), \psi\otimes\tau_K]\| = 0$ because $(a_n)_n \in \mathcal{C}_\omega$.

Next set $\gamma_n^2 = \operatorname{Ad} u_n^*(\cdot \otimes 1) \in \operatorname{Mor}(M, M \otimes B(K))$. Let $(a_n)_n \in \mathcal{C}_\omega$ with $\sup_n \|a_n\| \le 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|[\phi\otimes\tau_K, \gamma_n^2(a_n)]\| &\le \|[\phi\otimes\tau_K - \phi\circ\Phi_n, \gamma_n^2(a_n)]\| + \|[\phi\circ\Phi_n, \gamma_n^2(a_n)]\|\\ &\le 2\|\phi\otimes\tau_K - \phi\circ\Phi_n\| + \|[\phi\circ\Phi, a_n\otimes 1]\circ\operatorname{Ad} u_n\|\\ &= 2\|\phi\otimes\tau_K - \phi\circ\Phi_n\| + \|[\phi\circ\Phi, a_n\otimes 1]\|\\ &\to 0\end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \omega$. □

In the end of this subsection, we state a simple criterion of approximate innerness of homomorphisms of the AFD factor $\mathcal{R}_0$ of type II_1. For the sake of this, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.8. *Let α, $\alpha_n \in \mathrm{Mor}_0(M, M \otimes B(K))$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with left inverses Φ and Φ_n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, respectively. Fix a faithful normal state $\phi \in M_*$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\psi \circ \Phi_n - \psi \circ \Phi\| = 0$ *for all* $\psi \in M_*$.

(2) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\phi \circ \Phi_n - \phi \circ \Phi\| = 0$ *and* $\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n(a) = \alpha(a)$ *strongly for all* $a \in M$.

Proof. First note that $\|\psi a\| \leq \|\psi\|\|a\|$, $\|a\psi\| \leq \|\psi\|\|a\|$, $\|\phi a\| \leq \sqrt{\|\phi\|}\|a^*\|_\phi$ and $\|a\|_\phi^2 \leq \|a\phi\|\|a\|$ for $\psi \in M_*$ and a positive $\phi \in M_*$.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). We will show $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\alpha_n(a) - \alpha(a)\|_{\phi\circ\Phi} = 0$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\|\alpha_n(a) - \alpha(a)\|_{\phi\circ\Phi}^2 &\leq \|(\alpha_n(a) - \alpha(a))\,(\phi \circ \Phi)\,\|\|\alpha_n(a) - \alpha(a)\| \\ &\leq 2\|a\|\|\alpha_n(a) \cdot (\phi \circ \Phi - \phi \circ \Phi_n)\,\| \\ &\qquad + 2\|a\|\|\alpha_n(a) \cdot (\phi \circ \Phi_n) - \alpha(a) \cdot (\phi \circ \Phi)\,\| \\ &\leq 2\|a\|^2\|\phi \circ \Phi - \phi \circ \Phi_n\| + 2\|a\|\|(a\phi) \circ \Phi_n - (a\phi) \circ \Phi\| \\ &\to 0\end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). At first we verify $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|(\phi a) \circ \Phi - (\phi a) \circ \Phi_n\| = 0$. This is shown as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\phi a) \circ \Phi - (\phi a) \circ \Phi_n\| &= \|\,(\phi \circ \Phi) \cdot \alpha(a) - (\phi \circ \Phi_n) \cdot \alpha_n(a)\| \\ &\leq \|\,(\phi \circ \Phi) \cdot \alpha(a) - (\phi \circ \Phi) \cdot \alpha_n(a)\| \\ &\qquad + \|\,(\phi \circ \Phi) \cdot \alpha_n(a) - (\phi \circ \Phi_n) \cdot \alpha_n(a)\| \\ &\leq \|\alpha(a^*) - \alpha_n(a^*)\|_{\phi\circ\Phi} + \|\phi \circ \Phi - \phi \circ \Phi_n\|\|a\| \\ &\to 0\end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$. Since $\{\phi a\}_{a\in M}$ is dense in M_*, we are done. $\square$

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\mathcal{R}_0$ be the AFD factor of type II_1 with the tracial state τ. Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{R}_0, \mathcal{R}_0 \otimes B(K))$ with a left inverse Φ, where K is a finite dimensional Hilbert space. Assume that Φ preserves the trace, i.e. $\tau \circ \Phi = \tau \otimes \tau_K$. Then the pair (α, Φ) is approximately inner.*

Proof. Let $M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \dots$ be an ascending sequence of finite dimensional subfactors of $\mathcal{R}_0$ whose union is dense in $\mathcal{R}_0$. Let $\{e_{i,j}^n\}_{i,j}$ be a matrix unit for M_n. By the uniqueness of the trace on $\mathcal{R}_0$, $(\tau \otimes \tau_K) \circ \alpha = \tau$ holds. Hence the projections $\alpha(e_{i,i}^n)$ and $e_{i,i}^n \otimes 1$ are equivalent in $\mathcal{R}_0 \otimes B(K)$ for all i. Take an element i_0 and a partial isometry $\overline{u}_n$ in $\mathcal{R}_0 \otimes B(K)$ such that $\overline{u}_n\overline{u}_n^* = \alpha(e_{i_0,i_0}^n)$ and $\overline{u}_n^*\overline{u}_n = e_{i_0,i_0}^n \otimes 1$. Set

$$u_n = \sum_i \alpha(e_{i,i_0}^n)\overline{u}_n(e_{i_0,i}^n \otimes 1).$$

Then it is a unitary in $\mathcal{R}_0 \otimes B(K)$ and $\alpha(x) = \operatorname{Ad} u_n(x \otimes 1)$ holds for all $x \in M_n$. Set $\Phi_n = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \tau_K) \circ \operatorname{Ad} u_n^*$. We show that the pair $(\operatorname{Ad} u_n(\cdot \otimes 1), \Phi_n)$ converges to (α, Φ). It is easy to see that $\operatorname{Ad} u_n(x \otimes 1)$ strongly converges to $\alpha(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}_0$. The condition $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\tau \circ \Phi_n - \tau \circ \Phi\| = 0$ is trivial because the maps Φ_n and Φ preserve the trace τ. Hence by the previous lemma, (α, Φ) is approximately inner. □

3.2. **Strongly free cocycle actions**

In this paper, we frequently make use of the next two results. Since they are proved in a similar way to proofs of [Oc1, Lemma 5.3, Lemma 5.5] with a little modification, we omit proofs.

Lemma 3.10 (Fast Reindexation Trick)**.** *Let M be a von Neumann algebra. Let N and S be countably generated von Neumann subalgebras of M^ω, and $\mathcal{B}$ a countable family of liftable homomorphisms whose elements are of the form β^ω with $\beta \in \operatorname{Mor}_0(M, M \otimes B(K_\beta))$, where K_β is a finite dimensional Hilbert space. Take a countably generated von Neumann subalgebra $\widetilde{N} \subset M^\omega$ satisfying $\beta^\omega(N) \subset \widetilde{N} \otimes B(K_\beta)$ for all $\beta^\omega \in \mathcal{B}$ and $N \subset \widetilde{N}$. Then there exists a map $\Psi \in \operatorname{Mor}(\widetilde{N}, M^\omega)$ such that*

(1) Ψ is identity on $\widetilde{N} \cap M$,
(2) $\Psi(\widetilde{N} \cap M_\omega) \subset S' \cap M_\omega$,
(3) $\tau^\omega(a\Psi(x)) = \tau^\omega(a)\tau^\omega(x)$ for all $x \in \widetilde{N}, a \in S$,
(4) $\beta^\omega(\Psi(x)) = (\Psi \otimes \mathrm{id})(\beta^\omega(x))$ for all $x \in N$, $\beta^\omega \in \mathcal{B}$.

Lemma 3.11 (Index Selection Trick)**.** *Let M be a von Neumann algebra. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a separable C^*-subalgebra of $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M^\omega)$, and $\mathcal{B}$ a countable family of semiliftable homomorphisms, which is of the form $\beta \in \operatorname{Mor}(M^\omega, M^\omega \otimes B(K_\beta))$ with a finite dimensional Hilbert space K_β and also acts term by term on $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M^\omega)$. Take a C^*-algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$ containing $\mathcal{C}$ and preserved by each $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$. Then there exists a $*$-homomorphism $\Psi\colon \widetilde{\mathcal{C}} \to M^\omega$ such that for any $\widetilde{x} = (x_n)_n \in \mathcal{C}$*

(1) $\tau^\omega(\Psi(\widetilde{x})) = \lim_{n\to\omega} \tau^\omega(x_n)$ weakly,
(2) $\Psi(\widetilde{x}) = x$ if $x_n = x$ for all n,
(3) $\Psi(\widetilde{x}) \in M_\omega$ if $x_n \in M_\omega$ for all n,
(4) $(\Psi \otimes \mathrm{id})(\widetilde{y}) = \beta(\Psi(\widetilde{x}))$ for $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\widetilde{y} = (\beta(x_n))_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M^\omega \otimes B(K_\beta))$.

We define the notion of strong outerness of homomorphisms, which plays a central role in making Rohlin projections.

Definition 3.12. Let M be a von Neumann algebra and $\gamma \in \operatorname{Mor}(M^\omega, M^\omega \otimes B(K))$. Then we say that γ is *strongly outer on M_ω* (or simply *strongly outer*) if for any countably generated von Neumann subalgebra $S \subset M^\omega$, there exists no nonzero element $a \in M^\omega \otimes B(K)$ with $\gamma(y)a = a(y \otimes 1)$ for all $y \in S' \cap M_\omega$.

For $\beta \in \operatorname{Mor}_0(M, M \otimes B(K))$, β is said to be strongly outer if β^ω is strongly outer on M_ω. Actually the strong outerness does not depend on $\omega \in \beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 3.13. Let M be a von Neumann algebra and (α, u) a cocycle action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on M. We say that (α, u) is *strongly free* if α_π is strongly outer for any nontrivial $\pi \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$.

It is easy to see that strong freeness implies freeness, but the converse does not hold in general. Note that if M is the AFD factor of type II_1, then the strong freeness and freeness of a cocycle action are equivalent, which is obtained by a similar argument to [C3, Lemma 3.4] (see Corollary 8.6 in Appendix).

4. COHOMOLOGY VANISHING I

Let M be a von Neumann algebra and $\widehat{\mathbb{G}} = (L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}), \Delta, \varphi)$ an amenable discrete Kac algebra. Consider a cocycle action (α, u) of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on M. On $M \otimes B(L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$, we set the map $\gamma = \sigma_{23} \circ (\alpha \otimes \mathrm{id})$ and the unitary u_{134}. Then (γ, u_{134}) is a cocycle action on $M \otimes B(L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$. It is well-known that (γ, u_{134}) is stabilized to an action. However if $\operatorname{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ is infinite, the stabilization is not appropriate for our work on finite von Neumann algebras. The amenability gives us a prescription for the problem. Indeed, by the amenability of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$, we can take a sufficiently large finitely supported projection in $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. We cut $B(L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$ by the projection and stabilize a 2-cocycle approximately.

4.1. 2-cohomology vanishing in ultraproduct von Neumann algebras

By making use of the relation $\varphi = \mathrm{Tr}$ on $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$, for an (F, δ)-invariant projection K we can conclude the approximate commutativity of $F \otimes K$ and the multiplicative unitary W.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $F, K \in \operatorname{Projf}(Z(L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})))$. If K is (F, δ)-invariant, then*

(1) $\|W(F\otimes K) - (F\otimes K)W\|_{\varphi\otimes\mathrm{Tr}} < \delta^{1/2}\|F\|_\varphi \|K\|_\varphi$,

(2) $\|(F\otimes K)W(F\otimes K) - (F\otimes K)W\|_{\varphi\otimes\mathrm{Tr}} < \delta^{1/2}\|F\|_\varphi \|K\|_\varphi$,

(3) $\|(F\otimes K)W(F\otimes K) - W(F\otimes K)\|_{\varphi\otimes\mathrm{Tr}} < \delta^{1/2}\|F\|_\varphi \|K\|_\varphi$,

(4) $\|(F\otimes K)W^*(F\otimes K)\cdot(F\otimes K)W(F\otimes K) - F\otimes K\|_{\varphi\otimes\mathrm{Tr}} < \delta^{1/2}\|F\|_\varphi \|K\|_\varphi$.

Proof. (1). Use Powers-Størmer inequality ([PS]) to the left hand side of $|W(F\otimes K)W^* - (F\otimes K)|_{\varphi\otimes\mathrm{Tr}} < \delta|F|_\varphi|K|_\varphi$. This inequality immediately implies the conditions (2) and (3).

(4). This is shown as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(F\otimes K)W^*(F\otimes K)\cdot(F\otimes K)W(F\otimes K) - F\otimes K\|_{\varphi\otimes\mathrm{Tr}}\\
&= \|(F\otimes K)\Delta(K)(F\otimes K) - F\otimes K\|_{\varphi\otimes\varphi}\\
&\le \|F\otimes K\|\|(F\otimes 1)\Delta(K) - F\otimes K\|_{\varphi\otimes\varphi}\\
&< \delta^{1/2}\|F\|_\varphi\|K\|_\varphi.
\end{aligned}$$

□

The next lemma shows that we can perturb a given 2-cocycle to a smaller 2-cocycle. The outline is as follows. Let F, K be projections as in the previous lemma. By [J, Lemma 3.2.1], we can take a unitary $v \in L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})F \otimes KB(L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))K$ with

$$\|v - (F \otimes K)W(F \otimes K)\|_{\varphi\otimes\mathrm{Tr}} < 4\delta^{1/2}\|F\|_\varphi \|K\|_\varphi.$$

If $F \geq e_{\mathbf{1}}$, v is taken as $v(e_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes K) = e_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes K$. The unitary v plays a role of finite dimensional cut of the left regular representation W. The error coming from the cut is controlled by the trace norm, that is, strong operator topology. By making use of the unitary v, we can approximately stabilize a 2-cocycle for a cocycle action on a von Neumann algebra of type II_1.

Lemma 4.2. *Let M be a von Neumann algebra of type II_1 with a faithful tracial state τ. Let (α, u) be a cocycle action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on M. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $F \in \mathrm{Projf}(Z(L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})))$, there exists a unitary $w \in M \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ satisfying $w_{\mathbf{1}} = 1 \otimes e_{\mathbf{1}}$ and*

$$\big\|\big((w \otimes 1)\alpha(w)u(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(w^*) - 1\big)(1 \otimes F \otimes F)\big\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. **Step A**. We construct a unitary close to a cut of W which acts on sufficiently large finite dimensional subspace of $L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the support of F and $\widetilde{F}$ the central projection whose support is $\mathcal{F}\cdot\mathcal{F}$. We may assume $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{F}$, and $F \leq \widetilde{F}$ holds. Let $\delta > 0$ with $21\delta^{1/2}\|F\|_\varphi\|\widetilde{F}\|_\varphi < \varepsilon$ and K a finitely supported central $(\widetilde{F}, \delta)$-invariant projection. Set $\mathcal{H} = KL^2(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. Let $\tau_{\mathcal{H}}$ be the normalized trace on $B(\mathcal{H})$. From now in this proof, we use $\tau_{\mathcal{H}}$ on $B(\mathcal{H})$ for measurements of norms. Then by [J, Lemma 3.2.1], we can take a unitary v in $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})\widetilde{F} \otimes B(\mathcal{H})$ satisfying

$$\big\|v - (\widetilde{F} \otimes K)W(\widetilde{F} \otimes K)\big\|_{\varphi\otimes\tau_{\mathcal{H}}} < 4\delta^{1/2}\big\|\widetilde{F}\big\|_\varphi.$$

By (2) and (3) in Lemma 4.1, we also have the inequalities

$$\|v - (\widetilde{F} \otimes K)W\|_{\varphi\otimes\tau_{\mathcal{H}}} < 5\delta^{1/2}\|\widetilde{F}\|_\varphi,$$
$$\|v - W(\widetilde{F} \otimes K)\|_{\varphi\otimes\tau_{\mathcal{H}}} < 5\delta^{1/2}\|\widetilde{F}\|_\varphi.$$

Step B. We regard $B(\mathcal{H}) \subset M$ and perturb (α, u) to $(\widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{u})$ which fixes $B(\mathcal{H}) \subset M$.

Since M is of type II_1, we can take a unital embedding $B(\mathcal{H})$ into M. Let $\{e_{i,j}\}_{i,j}$ be a system of matrix units generating $B(\mathcal{H})$. For all $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$, $\{\alpha_\pi(e_{i,j})\}_{i,j}$ is also a system of matrix units in $M \otimes B(H_\pi)$. Hence projections $\alpha_\pi(e_{i,i})$ and $e_{j,j} \otimes 1_\pi$ are equivalent. Then there exists a unitary $\overline{w}_\pi$ in $M \otimes B(H_\pi)$ such that $\alpha_\pi = \mathrm{Ad}\,\overline{w}_\pi^*(\cdot \otimes 1_\pi)$ on $B(\mathcal{H})$. Set $\overline{w} = (\overline{w}_\pi)_{\pi\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})}$ and we have $\alpha = \mathrm{Ad}\,\overline{w}^*(\cdot \otimes 1)$ on $B(\mathcal{H})$. Then perturb (α, u) by the unitary $\overline{w}$ and we obtain a cocycle action $(\widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{u})$ on M which fixes $B(\mathcal{H})$.

Step C. We utilize $B(\mathcal{H})$ like $B(L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$ in order to approximately stabilize the 2-cocycle $\widetilde{u}$.

Let $M = N \otimes B(\mathcal{H})$ be the tensor product decomposition. Since $\widetilde{\alpha}$ fixes $B(\mathcal{H})$, $\widetilde{u} \in N \otimes \mathbb{C} \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. Then we have a cocycle action $(\gamma, \overline{u})$ of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on N such that $\widetilde{\alpha} = \sigma_{23} \circ (\gamma \otimes \mathrm{id})$ and $\widetilde{u} = \sigma_{234}(\overline{u} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{H}})$. Set $\overline{v} = v + \widetilde{F}^\perp \otimes K \in L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \otimes B(\mathcal{H})$.

Consider a unitary w in $M \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ defined by $w = \sigma_{23}((1 \otimes \overline{v})\overline{u}^*)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&(w_F \otimes F)\widetilde{\alpha}_F(w_F)\widetilde{u}(\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_F)(w^*) \\
&= (1 \otimes 1 \otimes F \otimes F)\sigma_{23}((1 \otimes \overline{v})\overline{u}^* \otimes 1) \cdot \sigma_{23}((\gamma \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id})(\sigma_{23}((1 \otimes \overline{v})\overline{u}^*))) \\
&\qquad \cdot \sigma_{234}(\overline{u} \otimes 1) \cdot \sigma_{234}((\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(\overline{u}(1 \otimes \overline{v}^*))) \\
&= (1 \otimes 1 \otimes F \otimes F)\sigma_{23}((1 \otimes \overline{v})\overline{u}^* \otimes 1) \cdot \sigma_{23}\sigma_{34}((1 \otimes 1 \otimes v)\gamma(\overline{u}^*)) \\
&\qquad \cdot \sigma_{234}(\overline{u} \otimes 1) \cdot \sigma_{234}((\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(\overline{u}(1 \otimes \overline{v}^*))) \\
&= \sigma_{234}\Big((1 \otimes F \otimes F \otimes 1)((1 \otimes v)\overline{u}^* \otimes 1)_{1243} \cdot (1 \otimes 1 \otimes v) \\
&\qquad \cdot \gamma(\overline{u}^*)(\overline{u} \otimes 1) \cdot (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(\overline{u}(1 \otimes \overline{v}^*))\Big) \\
&= \sigma_{234}\Big((1 \otimes F \otimes F \otimes 1)((1 \otimes v)\overline{u}^* \otimes 1)_{1243} \cdot (1 \otimes 1 \otimes v) \\
&\qquad \cdot (\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(\overline{u})(1 \otimes (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(\overline{v}^*))\Big) \\
&= \sigma_{234}\Big((1 \otimes F \otimes F \otimes 1)v_{24}v_{34} \cdot v_{34}^*\overline{u}_{124}^*v_{34} \\
&\qquad \cdot (\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(\overline{u})(1 \otimes (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(\overline{v}^*))\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

We estimate the size of the difference of the right hand side and $1 \otimes K \otimes F \otimes F$ as follows. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(F \otimes F \otimes K)(W_{13}W_{23} - (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(\overline{v}))(F \otimes F \otimes K)\|_{\varphi \otimes \varphi \otimes \tau_{\mathcal{H}}} \\
&= \|(F \otimes F \otimes K)((\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(W) - (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(\overline{v}))(F \otimes F \otimes K)\|_{\varphi \otimes \varphi \otimes \tau_{\mathcal{H}}} \\
&= \|({}_F\Delta_F \otimes \mathrm{id})(\overline{v} - (1 \otimes K)W(1 \otimes K))\|_{\varphi \otimes \varphi \otimes \tau_{\mathcal{H}}} \\
&= \big\|({}_F\Delta_F \otimes \mathrm{id})(v - (\widetilde{F} \otimes K)W(\widetilde{F} \otimes K))\big\|_{\varphi \otimes \varphi \otimes \tau_{\mathcal{H}}} \\
&\leq \big\|({}_F\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(v - (\widetilde{F} \otimes K)W(\widetilde{F} \otimes K))\big\|_{\varphi \otimes \varphi \otimes \tau_{\mathcal{H}}} \\
&= \|F\|_\varphi \big\|v - (\widetilde{F} \otimes K)W(\widetilde{F} \otimes K)\big\|_{\varphi \otimes \tau_{\mathcal{H}}} \\
&< 4\delta^{1/2}\|F\|_\varphi \|\widetilde{F}\|_\varphi,
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(F \otimes F \otimes K)(\overline{v}_{13}\overline{v}_{23} - (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(\overline{v}))\|_{\varphi \otimes \varphi \otimes \tau_{\mathcal{H}}} \\
&\leq \|(F \otimes F \otimes K)(v_{13} - W_{13})v_{23}\|_{\varphi \otimes \varphi \otimes \tau_{\mathcal{H}}} \\
&\qquad + \|(F \otimes K \otimes K)W_{13}(v_{23} - W_{23}(1 \otimes F \otimes K))\|_{\varphi \otimes \varphi \otimes \tau_{\mathcal{H}}} \\
&\qquad + \|(F \otimes F \otimes K)(W_{13}W_{23} - (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(\overline{v}))(F \otimes F \otimes K)\|_{\varphi \otimes \varphi \otimes \tau_{\mathcal{H}}} \\
&< \|F\|_\varphi \|v - (F \otimes K)W(F \otimes K)\|_{\varphi \otimes \tau_{\mathcal{H}}} + \|F\|_\varphi \|v - W(F \otimes K)\|_{\varphi \otimes \tau_{\mathcal{H}}} \\
&\qquad + 4\delta^{1/2}\|F\|_\varphi \|\widetilde{F}\|_\varphi \\
&< 4\delta^{1/2}\|F\|_\varphi^2 + 5\delta^{1/2}\|F\|_\varphi^2 + 4\delta^{1/2}\|F\|_\varphi \|\widetilde{F}\|_\varphi \\
&\leq 13\delta^{1/2}\|F\|_\varphi \|\widetilde{F}\|_\varphi.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Set $\overline{u}_K = \overline{u}(1 \otimes 1 \otimes K)$ and then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(1 \otimes F \otimes F \otimes 1)(v_{34}^* \overline{u}_{124}^* v_{34} - (\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(\overline{u}_K^*))\|_{\tau \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi} \\
&\leq \|(1 \otimes F \otimes F \otimes K)(v_{34}^* - ((F \otimes K)W^*(F \otimes K))_{34} \overline{u}_{124}^* v_{34}\|_{\tau \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi \otimes \tau_{\mathcal{H}}} \\
&\qquad + \big\|(1 \otimes F \otimes F \otimes K) W_{34}^* \overline{u}_{124}^* \\
&\qquad\quad \cdot \big(((F \otimes K)v(F \otimes K))_{34} - ((F \otimes K)W(F \otimes K))_{34}\big)\big\|_{\tau \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi \otimes \tau_{\mathcal{H}}} \\
&\qquad + \|(1 \otimes F \otimes F \otimes K)(W_{34}^* (\overline{u}_K^*)_{124} W_{34} - (\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(\overline{u}_K^*))\|_{\tau \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi \otimes \tau_{\mathcal{H}}} \\
&\leq \|F\|_\varphi \|(F \otimes K)v(F \otimes K) - (F \otimes K)W(F \otimes K)\|_{\varphi \otimes \tau_{\mathcal{H}}} \\
&\qquad + \|F\|_\varphi \|(F \otimes K)v(F \otimes K) - (F \otimes K)W(F \otimes K)\|_{\varphi \otimes \tau_{\mathcal{H}}} \\
&< 4\delta^{1/2} \|F\|_\varphi^2 + 4\delta^{1/2} \|F\|_\varphi^2 \\
&= 8\delta^{1/2} \|F\|_\varphi \|\widetilde{F}\|_\varphi. \qquad (4.3)
\end{aligned}$$

By using (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(w_F \otimes F)\widetilde{\alpha}_F(w_F)\widetilde{u}(\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_F)(w^*) - 1 \otimes K \otimes F \otimes F\|_{\tau \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi} \\
&= \big\|\sigma_{234}\big((1 \otimes F \otimes F \otimes 1)v_{24}v_{34} \cdot v_{34}^* \overline{u}_{124}^* v_{34} \cdot (\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(\overline{u})(1 \otimes (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(\overline{v}^*))\big) \\
&\qquad\quad - 1 \otimes K \otimes F \otimes F\big\|_{\tau \otimes \tau_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi} \\
&= \|v_{24}v_{34} \cdot v_{34}^* \overline{u}_{124}^* v_{34} \cdot (\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(\overline{u})(1 \otimes (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(\overline{v}^*))(1 \otimes F \otimes F \otimes K) \\
&\qquad\quad - 1 \otimes F \otimes F \otimes K\|_{\tau \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi \otimes \tau_{\mathcal{H}}} \\
&< 13\delta^{1/2} \|F\|_\varphi \|\widetilde{F}\|_\varphi \\
&\qquad + \|(1 \otimes (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(\overline{v})) \cdot v_{34}^* \overline{u}_{124}^* v_{34} \cdot (\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(\overline{u})(1 \otimes (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(\overline{v}^*)) \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad \cdot (1 \otimes F \otimes F \otimes K) - 1 \otimes F \otimes F \otimes K\|_{\tau \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi \otimes \tau_{\mathcal{H}}} \\
&< 13\delta^{1/2} \|F\|_\varphi \|\widetilde{F}\|_\varphi + 8\delta^{1/2} \|F\|_\varphi \|\widetilde{F}\|_\varphi \\
&= 21\delta^{1/2} \|F\|_\varphi \|\widetilde{F}\|_\varphi \\
&< \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

□

We have shown that any 2-cocycle can be approximately stabilized in Lemma 4.2. When we consider the stabilization problem in an ultraproduct von Neumann algebra, approximate stabilization yields exact stabilization by the Index Selection Trick.

Lemma 4.3. *Let M be a von Neumann algebra such that M_ω is of type II_1 and (γ, w) a cocycle action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on M^ω preserving M_ω and $w \in M_\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. Assume that γ is of the form $\gamma = \mathrm{Ad}\, U \circ \beta$ where $U \in U(M^\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$ and $\beta \in \mathrm{Mor}(M^\omega, M^\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$ with a semiliftable β_π for all π. Then the 2-cocycle w is a coboundary in M_ω.*

Proof. Take an increasing sequence of projections $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $\mathrm{Projf}(Z(L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})))$ with $F_n \to 1$ strongly, and a decreasing positive numbers $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with $\varepsilon_n \to 0$. Let ϕ be a faithful normal state on M and set $\psi = \phi \circ \tau^\omega$, which is a trace on

M_ω. By using the previous lemma, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can find a unitary v_n in $M_\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ satisfying

$$\big\|\big((v_n \otimes 1)\gamma(v_n)w(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)(v_n^*) - 1\big)(1 \otimes F_n \otimes F_n)\big\|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} < \varepsilon_n.$$

Then set a unitary $\widetilde{v} = (v_n)_n$ and $\widetilde{U} = (U)_n$ in $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M^\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$ and $\widetilde{w} = (w)_n$ in $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M^\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a C^*-subalgebra generated by $\widetilde{v}_{\pi_{i,j}}$, $\widetilde{U}_{\pi_{i,j}}$ $\widetilde{w}_{\pi_{i,j},\rho_{k,\ell}}$ for all $\pi, \rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$, $i, j \in I_\pi$ and $k, \ell \in I_\rho$. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{\beta\}$. Then applying the Index Selection Trick, we have a $*$-homomorphism $\Psi\colon \widetilde{\mathcal{C}} \to M^\omega$ satisfying the conditions in Lemma 3.11 for $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{B}$. Set $v = (\Psi \otimes \mathrm{id})(\widetilde{v}) \in M_\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ and

$$\widetilde{x} = (\widetilde{v} \otimes 1)\gamma(\widetilde{v})\widetilde{w}(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)(\widetilde{v}^*) - 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1.$$

By definition of Ψ,

$$(\Psi \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id})(\widetilde{x}) = (v \otimes 1)\gamma(v)w(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)(v^*) - 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1.$$

The right hand side is equal to 0. Indeed for any $\pi, \rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|(v_\pi \otimes 1_\rho)\gamma_\pi(v_\rho)w_{\pi,\rho}(\mathrm{id}\otimes_\pi\Delta_\rho)(v^*) - 1 \otimes 1_\pi \otimes 1_\rho\big\|^2_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi}\\
&= (\psi \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi)(|(\Psi \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id})(\widetilde{x}_{\pi,\rho})|^2)\\
&= (\phi \circ \tau^\omega \circ \Psi \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi)(|\widetilde{x}_{\pi,\rho}|^2)\\
&= \lim_{n\to\omega}(\phi \circ \tau^\omega \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi)(|(\widetilde{x}_n)_{\pi,\rho}|^2)\\
&\leq \lim_{n\to\omega} \varepsilon_n^2\\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

The previous 2-cohomology vanishing result yields two results about approximately inner (cocycle) actions, which play crucial roles in our study. We separately discuss them in the following subsections. We prepare the equivalence relation $\sim$ for sequences of normal functionals. Let $(\phi_n)_n$ and $(\psi_n)_n$ be sequences of normal functionals on a von Neumann algebra. We write $(\phi_n)_n \sim (\psi_n)_n$ or simply $\phi_n \sim \psi_n$ when $\lim\limits_{n\to\omega} \|\phi_n - \psi_n\| = 0$.

4.2. **Cocycle actions on central sequence algebras**

Let M be a von Neumann algebra such that M_ω is of type II_1 and (α, u) an approximately inner cocycle action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on M. Let Φ^α_π be the left inverse of (α, u) and $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$. Then there exists a unitary $U \in M^\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ satisfying the conditions in Lemma 3.7. Then $\alpha = \mathrm{Ad}\, U(\cdot \otimes 1)$ on M. Set $\gamma = \mathrm{Ad}\, U^* \circ \alpha^\omega$ and a unitary $w = (U^* \otimes 1)\alpha^\omega(U^*)u(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)(U)$. Then (γ, w) is a cocycle action on M^ω fixing M. Note that each γ_π is semiliftable. Indeed, let $(u_n)_n$ be a representing sequence of U. By the proof of Lemma 3.7, we know that $(\mathrm{Ad}\, u_n^* \circ \alpha_\pi, \Phi^\alpha_\pi \circ \mathrm{Ad}\, u_n)$ converges to $(\cdot \otimes 1_\pi, \mathrm{id} \otimes \tau_\pi)$. This result and the equality $\Phi^\gamma_\pi = \Phi^{\alpha^\omega}_\pi \circ \mathrm{Ad}\, U$ yield the semiliftability of $(\gamma_\pi, \Phi^\gamma_\pi)$.

The map γ_π preserves M_ω by Lemma 3.7. Since γ fixes M, $w \in M' \cap M^\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$, but in fact w is a 2-cocycle whose entries are evaluated in M_ω.

Lemma 4.4. *The unitary w is in $M_\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$.*

Proof. Let $\pi, \rho \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ and $\phi \in M_*$. Let $(U^n)_n$ be a representing sequence of U and set $w^n = (U^{n*} \otimes 1)\alpha^\omega(U^{n*})u(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(U^n)$. Then $(w^n)_n$ is a representing sequence of w. We show that $\lim\limits_{n\to\omega} \|[\phi \otimes \tau_\pi \otimes \tau_\rho, w^n_{\pi,\rho}]\| = 0$. This is verified as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
&w^{n*}_{\pi,\rho}(\phi \otimes \tau_\pi \otimes \tau_\rho)w^n_{\pi,\rho}\\
&= (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(U^{n*})u^*\alpha^\omega(U^n)(U^n \otimes 1)(\phi \otimes \tau_\pi \otimes \tau_\rho)(U^{n*} \otimes 1)\alpha^\omega(U^{n*})u(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(U^n)\\
&\sim (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(U^{n*})u^*\alpha^\omega(U^n)(\phi \circ \Phi^{\alpha^\omega}_\pi \otimes \tau_\rho)\alpha^\omega(U^{n*})u(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(U^n)\\
&= (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(U^{n*})u^*\big((U(\phi \otimes \tau_\rho)U^*) \circ (\Phi^{\alpha^\omega}_\pi \otimes \mathrm{id}_\rho)\big)u(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(U^n)\\
&\sim (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(U^{n*})u^*\big(\phi \circ \Phi^\alpha_\rho \circ (\Phi^{\alpha^\omega}_\pi \otimes \mathrm{id}_\rho)\big)u(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(U^n)\\
&= \sum_{\sigma \prec \pi\cdot\rho} \sum_{T \in \mathrm{ONB}(\sigma,\pi\cdot\rho)} \frac{d_\sigma}{d_\pi d_\rho}(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(U^{n*})\big((1 \otimes T)\phi \circ \Phi^{\alpha^\omega}_\sigma(1 \otimes T^*)\big)(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(U^n)\\
&= \sum_{\sigma \prec \pi\cdot\rho} \sum_{T \in \mathrm{ONB}(\sigma,\pi\cdot\rho)} \frac{d_\sigma}{d_\pi d_\rho}(1 \otimes T)\phi \circ (U^{n*}_\sigma \Phi^{\alpha^\omega}_\sigma U^n_\sigma)(1 \otimes T^*)\\
&\sim \sum_{\sigma \prec \pi\cdot\rho} \sum_{T \in \mathrm{ONB}(\sigma,\pi\cdot\rho)} \frac{d_\sigma}{d_\pi d_\rho}(1 \otimes T)(\phi \otimes \tau_\sigma)(1 \otimes T^*)\\
&= \phi \otimes \tau_\pi \otimes \tau_\rho,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the composition rule of the left inverses in Lemma 2.5 and the property of U in Lemma 3.7. □

Hence the restriction of (γ, w) on M_ω is a cocycle action. Since γ is semiliftable, we can apply Lemma 4.3. Hence there exists a unitary $\overline{v} \in M_\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ such that

$$(\overline{v} \otimes 1)\gamma(\overline{v})w(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(\overline{v}^*) = 1.$$

Since $w = (U^* \otimes 1)\alpha^\omega(U^*)u(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(U)$, we have

$$(\overline{v}U^* \otimes 1)\alpha^\omega(\overline{v}U^*)u(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(U\overline{v}^*) = 1.$$

Setting $V = U\overline{v}$, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.5. *Let (α, u) be an approximately inner cocycle action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on a von Neumann algebra M such that M_ω is of type II_1. Then there exists a unitary $V \in M^\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ such that*

(1) For a representing sequence $(v_n)_n$ of V, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\omega} \|(\phi \otimes \tau_\pi) \circ \operatorname{Ad} v_n^* - \phi \circ \Phi^\alpha_\pi\| = 0 \quad \text{for all } \phi \in M_*,\ \pi \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathbb{G}),$$

where Φ^α_π is the left inverse of (α, u).

(2) $\gamma = \operatorname{Ad} V^* \circ \alpha^\omega$ is an action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on M^ω fixing M and preserving M_ω.

(3) $u = (V \otimes 1)\gamma(V)(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(V^*)$

(4) $\tau^\omega \circ \Phi^\gamma_\pi = (\tau^\omega \otimes \tau_\pi)$ for all $\pi \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$, where Φ^γ_π is the left inverse of γ.

(5) $(\tau^\omega \otimes \tau_\pi) \circ \gamma_\pi(x) = \tau^\omega(x)$ for all $x \in M^\omega$ and $\pi \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$.

Proof. Let $V = U\overline{v}$ as before. Let $(U^n)_n$ and $(\overline{v}^n)_n$ be representing sequences of U and $\overline{v}$, repectively. Set $(v^n)_n = (U^n\overline{v}^n)_n$ which represents V.

(1). It is verified as

$$\begin{aligned}(\phi \otimes \tau_\pi) \circ \operatorname{Ad} v^{n*} &= (\phi \otimes \tau_\pi) \circ \operatorname{Ad} \overline{v}^{n*} U^{n*} \\ &\sim (\phi \otimes \tau_\pi) \circ \operatorname{Ad} U^{n*} \\ &\sim \phi \circ \Phi_\pi^\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

The conditions (2) and (3) have been already shown.

(4). The left inverse of γ is given by $\Phi_\pi^\gamma = \Phi_\pi^{\alpha^\omega} \circ \operatorname{Ad} V$. Then for $\phi \in M_*$ and $x \in M^\omega \otimes B(H_\pi)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\tau^\omega(\Phi_\pi^\gamma(x))) &= \phi(\tau^\omega(\Phi_\pi^\alpha(VxV^*))) \\ &= \lim_{n\to\omega} \phi(\Phi_\pi^\alpha(v_n x_n {v_n}^*)) \\ &= \lim_{n\to\omega} (\phi \otimes \tau_\pi)(x_n) \\ &= \phi((\tau^\omega \otimes \tau_\pi)(x)).\end{aligned}$$

(5). It is a direct consequence of (4).

□

Let (α, u) be an approximately inner cocycle action on M. By the previous lemma, there exists a unitary $V \in M^\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ such that

$$(V^* \otimes 1)\alpha^\omega(V^*)u(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(V) = 1.$$

Therefore we can perturb the cocycle action to an action on M^ω. By taking a representing sequence of V, we can make u close to 1 with an arbitrarily small error in M. A problem is that we have no estimates of perturbation unitaries. For the sake of solving that, we will use the Rohlin type theorem presented in Theorem 5.9.

4.3. **Intertwining cocycles**

In this subsection, we study two approximately inner actions. By the 2-cohomology vanishing result, we can take a 1-cocycle intertwining them in an ultraproduct von Neumann algebra.

Lemma 4.6. *Let M be a von Neumann algebra such that M_ω is of type II_1. Let α and β be approximately inner actions of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on M. Then there exists an α^ω-cocycle W in $M^\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ with $\beta = \operatorname{Ad} W \circ \alpha$ on $M \subset M^\omega$.*

We prove this result after proving Lemma 4.7. We denote the left inverses of α and β by $\{\Phi_\pi^\alpha\}_{\pi\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})}$ and $\{\Phi_\pi^\beta\}_{\pi\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})}$, respectively. Take unitaries U and V in $M^\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ such that they satisfy the conditions in Lemma 3.7 for α and β, respectively. Then we have $\alpha = \operatorname{Ad} U(\cdot \otimes 1)$, $\beta = \operatorname{Ad} V(\cdot \otimes 1)$ on M. Define a map $\gamma \in \mathrm{Mor}(M^\omega, M^\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}))$ by

$$\gamma(x) = U_{12}^*\alpha^\omega(V^*)(\alpha^\omega(x) \otimes 1)\alpha^\omega(V)U_{12} \quad \text{for } x \in M^\omega.$$

Set $\widetilde{\alpha}(x) = \alpha(x) \otimes 1$ and then $\widetilde{\alpha}$ is an action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}$ on M. Since γ is the perturbation of the action $\widetilde{\alpha}$ by the unitary $U_{12}^* \alpha^\omega(V^*)$, a 2-cocycle $w \in M^\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}})^{\otimes 2}$ is given by

$$w = U_{12}^* \alpha^\omega(V^*) \widetilde{\alpha}^\omega \left(U_{12}^* \alpha^\omega(V^*)\right) (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}})(\alpha^\omega(V) U_{12}).$$

Then (γ, w) is a cocycle action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}$ on M^ω. The map γ is a composition of the maps $\operatorname{Ad} U_{12}^* \circ \widetilde{\alpha}^\omega$ and $\operatorname{Ad} V^*(\cdot \otimes 1)$. Since they preserve M_ω, so does γ. We prove $w \in M_\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}})^{\otimes 2}$ as follows.

Lemma 4.7. *The unitary w is in $M_\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}})^{\otimes 2}$.*

Proof. Let $(u^n)_n$ and $(v^n)_n$ be representing sequences of U and V, respectively. Set

$$w_n = u_{12}^{n*} \alpha(v^{n*}) \widetilde{\alpha} \left(u_{12}^{n*} \alpha(v^{n*})\right) (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}})(\alpha(v^n) u_{12}^n).$$

Then $(w^n)_n$ is a representing sequence of w. Let $\phi \in M_*$ and $\pi, \rho, \sigma, \zeta \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$. We show $\lim\limits_{n \to \omega} \|[\phi \otimes \tau_\pi \otimes \tau_\rho \otimes \tau_\sigma \otimes \tau_\zeta, w^n]\| = 0$. In order to do, we estimate

$$\psi_n = w^{n*} (\phi \otimes \tau_\pi \otimes \tau_\rho \otimes \tau_\sigma \otimes \tau_\zeta) w^n.$$

Use $u_\pi^n (\theta \otimes \tau_\pi) u_\pi^{n*} \sim \theta \circ \Phi_\pi^\alpha$, $v_\pi^n (\theta \otimes \tau_\pi) v_\pi^{n*} \sim \theta \circ \Phi_\pi^\beta$ for all $\theta \in M_*$ and then

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_n &\sim (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}})(u_{12}^{n*} \alpha(v^{n*})) \widetilde{\alpha} \left(\alpha(v^n) u_{12}^n\right) \alpha(v^n) \\
&\quad \cdot (\phi \circ \Phi_\pi^\alpha \otimes \tau_\rho \otimes \tau_\sigma \otimes \tau_\zeta) \\
&\quad \cdot \alpha(v^{n*}) \widetilde{\alpha} \left(u_{12}^{n*} \alpha(v^{n*})\right) (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}})(\alpha(v^n) u_{12}^n) \\
&= (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}})(u_{12}^{n*} \alpha(v^{n*})) \alpha_\pi\big(\alpha(v^n) u_{12}^n\big)_{1245} \alpha_\pi(v^n) \\
&\quad \cdot (\phi \circ \Phi_\pi^\alpha \otimes \tau_\rho \otimes \tau_\sigma \otimes \tau_\zeta) \\
&\quad \cdot \alpha_\pi(v^{n*}) \alpha_\pi\big(u_{12}^{n*} \alpha(v^{n*})\big)_{1245} (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}})(\alpha(v^n) u_{12}^n) \\
&= (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}})(u_{12}^{n*} \alpha(v^{n*})) \alpha_\pi\big(\alpha(v^n) u_{12}^n\big)_{1245} \\
&\quad \cdot (v^n (\phi \otimes \tau_\rho) v^{n*} \otimes \tau_\sigma \otimes \tau_\zeta) \circ (\Phi_\pi^\alpha \otimes \mathrm{id}_\rho \otimes \mathrm{id}_\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}_\zeta) \\
&\quad \cdot \alpha_\pi\big(u_{12}^{n*} \alpha(v^{n*})\big)_{1245} (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}})(\alpha(v^n) u_{12}^n) \\
&\sim (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}})(u_{12}^{n*} \alpha(v^{n*})) \alpha_\pi\big(\alpha(v^n) u_{12}^n\big)_{1245} \\
&\quad \cdot (\phi \circ \Phi_\rho^\beta \otimes \tau_\sigma \otimes \tau_\zeta) \circ (\Phi_\pi^\alpha \otimes \mathrm{id}_\rho \otimes \mathrm{id}_\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}_\zeta) \\
&\quad \cdot \alpha_\pi\big(u_{12}^{n*} \alpha(v^{n*})\big)_{1245} (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}})(\alpha(v^n) u_{12}^n) \\
&= (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}})(u_{12}^{n*} \alpha(v^{n*})) \alpha_\pi\big(\alpha(v^n)\big)_{1245} \\
&\quad \cdot \big(u_{13}^n (\phi \circ \Phi_\rho^\beta \otimes \tau_\sigma \otimes \tau_\zeta) u_{13}^{n*}\big) \circ (\Phi_\pi^\alpha \otimes \mathrm{id}_\rho \otimes \mathrm{id}_\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}_\zeta) \\
&\quad \cdot \alpha_\pi\big(\alpha(v^{n*})\big)_{1245} (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}})(\alpha(v^n) u_{12}^n) \\
&\sim (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}})(u_{12}^{n*} \alpha(v^{n*})) \alpha_\pi\big(\alpha_\sigma(v_\zeta^n)\big)_{1245} \\
&\quad \cdot (\phi \otimes \tau_\zeta) \circ (\Phi_\rho^\beta \otimes \mathrm{id}_\zeta) \circ \Phi_\sigma^\alpha \circ (\Phi_\pi^\alpha \otimes \mathrm{id}_\rho \otimes \mathrm{id}_\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}_\zeta) \\
&\quad \cdot \alpha_\pi\big(\alpha_\sigma(v_\zeta^{n*})\big)_{1245} (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}})(\alpha(v^n) u_{12}^n) \\
&= (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}})(u_{12}^{n*} \alpha(v^{n*}))
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\qquad \cdot \big(v_\zeta \cdot (\phi \otimes \tau_\zeta) \circ (\Phi^\beta_\rho \otimes \mathrm{id}_\zeta) \cdot v^*_\zeta\big) \circ \Phi^\alpha_\sigma \circ (\Phi^\alpha_\pi \otimes \mathrm{id}_\rho \otimes \mathrm{id}_\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}_\zeta)\\
&\qquad \cdot (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}\times\widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}})(\alpha(v^n)u^n_{12})\\
&\sim (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}\times\widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}})(u^{n*}_{12}\alpha(v^{n*}))\\
&\qquad \cdot \phi \circ \Phi^\beta_\rho \circ \Phi^\beta_\zeta \circ \Phi^\alpha_\sigma \circ (\Phi^\alpha_\pi \otimes \mathrm{id}_\rho \otimes \mathrm{id}_\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}_\zeta)\\
&\qquad \cdot (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}\times\widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}})(\alpha(v^n)u^n_{12}).
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$
\Phi^\alpha_\sigma \circ \Phi^\alpha_\pi = \sum_{\xi \prec \pi\cdot\sigma} \sum_{S \in \mathrm{ONB}(\xi, \pi\cdot\sigma)} \frac{d_\xi}{d_\pi d_\sigma}(1 \otimes S)\Phi^\alpha_\xi(1 \otimes S^*)
$$

on $M \otimes B(H_\pi) \otimes B(H_\sigma)$ and

$$
\Phi^\beta_\rho \circ \Phi^\beta_\zeta = \sum_{\eta \prec \zeta\cdot\rho} \sum_{T \in \mathrm{ONB}(\eta, \zeta\cdot\rho)} \frac{d_\eta}{d_\zeta d_\rho}(1 \otimes \Sigma_{\rho,\zeta}T)\Phi^\beta_\eta(1 \otimes (\Sigma_{\rho,\zeta}T)^*)
$$

on $M \otimes B(H_\rho) \otimes B(H_\zeta)$, where $\Sigma_{\rho,\zeta}$ is the flip unitary of H_ρ and H_ζ. Note that ρ sits right from ζ in that lemma, but it does left here. The flip arises for this reason. Also note that $\Sigma_{\rho,\zeta}T$ may not be an intertwiner between η and $\rho \cdot \zeta$. Using these, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Phi^\beta_\rho \circ \Phi^\beta_\zeta \circ \Phi^\alpha_\sigma \circ (\Phi^\alpha_\pi \otimes \mathrm{id}_\rho \otimes \mathrm{id}_\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}_\zeta)\\
&= \sum_{\xi,\eta}\sum_{S,T} \frac{d_\xi}{d_\pi d_\sigma}\frac{d_\eta}{d_\zeta d_\rho}(1 \otimes \Sigma_{\rho,\zeta}T)\Phi^\beta_\eta(1 \otimes (\Sigma_{\rho,\zeta}T)^*) \circ (1 \otimes S)\Phi^\alpha_\xi(1 \otimes S^*)\\
&= \sum_{\xi,\eta}\sum_{S,T} \frac{d_\xi}{d_\pi d_\sigma}\frac{d_\eta}{d_\zeta d_\rho}\Sigma_{\rho,\zeta}(1 \otimes S \cdot T)\big(\Phi^\beta_\eta \circ (\Phi^\alpha_\xi \otimes \mathrm{id}_\eta)\big)(1 \otimes (S\cdot T)^*)\Sigma_{\rho,\zeta},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the indices S, T runs $\mathrm{ONB}(\xi, \pi\cdot\sigma)$ and $\mathrm{ONB}(\eta, \zeta\cdot\rho)$, respectively and $S \cdot T \in (\xi \cdot \eta, \pi \cdot \zeta \cdot \sigma \cdot \rho)$ is naturally defined via S, T. Let $x \in L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}})$ and then we have

$$
\Delta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}\times\widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}}(x)\Sigma_{\rho,\zeta}(S \cdot T) = \Sigma_{\rho,\zeta}(S \cdot T)x_{\xi,\eta}.
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi &\sim \sum_{\xi,\eta}\sum_{S,T} \frac{d_\xi}{d_\pi d_\sigma}\frac{d_\eta}{d_\zeta d_\rho}\Sigma_{\rho,\zeta}(1 \otimes S\cdot T) \cdot u^{n*}_\xi \alpha_\xi(v^{n*}_\eta)\\
&\qquad\qquad \cdot \big(\phi \circ \Phi^\beta_\eta \circ (\Phi^\alpha_\xi \otimes \mathrm{id}_\eta)\big) \cdot \alpha_\xi(v^n_\eta)u^n_\xi \cdot (1 \otimes (S\cdot T)^*)\Sigma_{\rho,\zeta}\\
&= \sum_{\xi,\eta}\sum_{S,T} \frac{d_\xi}{d_\pi d_\sigma}\frac{d_\eta}{d_\zeta d_\rho}\Sigma_{\rho,\zeta}(1 \otimes S\cdot T) \cdot u^{n*}_\xi\\
&\qquad\qquad \cdot \big((v^{n*}_\eta(\phi \circ \Phi^\beta_\eta) \cdot v^n_\eta) \circ (\Phi^\alpha_\xi \otimes \mathrm{id}_\eta)\big) \cdot u^n_\xi \cdot (1 \otimes (S\cdot T)^*)\Sigma_{\rho,\zeta}\\
&\sim \sum_{\xi,\eta}\sum_{S,T} \frac{d_\xi}{d_\pi d_\sigma}\frac{d_\eta}{d_\zeta d_\rho}\Sigma_{\rho,\zeta}(1 \otimes S\cdot T) \cdot u^{n*}_\xi \cdot \big((\phi \otimes \tau_\eta) \circ (\Phi^\alpha_\xi \otimes \mathrm{id}_\eta)\big) \cdot u^n_\xi\\
&\qquad\qquad \cdot (1 \otimes (S\cdot T)^*)\Sigma_{\rho,\zeta}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\sim \sum_{\xi,\eta}\sum_{S,T}\frac{d_\xi}{d_\pi d_\sigma}\frac{d_\eta}{d_\zeta d_\rho}\Sigma_{\rho,\zeta}(1\otimes S\cdot T)\cdot(\phi\otimes\tau_\xi\otimes\tau_\eta)\cdot(1\otimes(S\cdot T)^*)\Sigma_{\rho,\zeta}\\ &=\phi\otimes\tau_\pi\otimes\tau_\rho\otimes\tau_\sigma\otimes\tau_\zeta.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Lemma 4.6. Since the discrete Kac algebra $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}\times\widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}$ is amenable, we can apply Lemma 4.3 to the cocycle action (γ, w). Then there exists a unitary $c\in M_\omega\otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}\times\widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}})$ such that

$$c_{123}\gamma(c)w(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}\times\widehat{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathrm{opp}}})(c^*)=1. \tag{4.4}$$

Set the unitaries $c^\ell=c_{\cdot\otimes\mathbf{1}}$, $c^r=c_{\mathbf{1}\otimes\cdot}$ in $M_\omega\otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. By definition we have

$$\gamma_{\cdot\otimes\mathbf{1}}=\mathrm{Ad}\,U^*\circ\alpha^\omega,\quad \gamma_{\mathbf{1}\otimes\cdot}=\mathrm{Ad}\,V^*(\cdot\otimes1).$$

Hence applying $1\otimes1\otimes e_{\mathbf{1}}\otimes1\otimes e_{\mathbf{1}}$, $1\otimes e_{\mathbf{1}}\otimes1\otimes e_{\mathbf{1}}\otimes1$ to (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&c^\ell_{12}U^*_{12}\alpha^\omega(c^\ell)U_{12}w_{\cdot\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\cdot\otimes\mathbf{1}}(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)(c^{\ell*})=1,\\ &c^r_{12}V^*_{12}c^r_{13}V_{12}w_{\mathbf{1}\otimes\cdot\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\cdot}(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta^{\mathrm{opp}})(c^{r*})=1.\end{aligned}$$

The equalities

$$w_{\cdot\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\cdot\otimes\mathbf{1}}=U^*_{12}\alpha^\omega(U^*)(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)(U),\quad w_{\mathbf{1}\otimes\cdot\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\cdot}=V^*_{12}V^*_{13}(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta^{\mathrm{opp}})(V)$$

yield

$$c^\ell_{12}U^*_{12}\alpha^\omega(c^\ell U^*)(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)(Uc^{\ell*})=1,\quad c^r_{12}V^*_{12}c^r_{13}V^*_{13}(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta^{\mathrm{opp}})(Vc^{r*})=1.$$

Hence $c^\ell U^*$ is an α^ω-cocycle and Vc^{r*} is a unitary representation of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$. Set $v=c^\ell U^*$ and $\overline{v}=Vc^{r*}$. Set the perturbed action $\alpha^v=\mathrm{Ad}\,v\circ\alpha^\omega$. We claim that the unitary representation $\overline{v}$ is fixed by α^v, and then it follows that $W=\overline{v}v$ is an α^ω-cocycle. If we prove this claim, the unitary W is a desired one. Indeed, for $x\in M$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ad}\,W\circ\alpha(x)&=\mathrm{Ad}\,\overline{v}\circ\alpha^v(x)=\overline{v}v\alpha^\omega(x)v^*\overline{v}^*=\overline{v}vU(x\otimes1)U^*v^*\overline{v}^*\\ &=\overline{v}c^\ell(x\otimes1)c^{\ell*}\overline{v}^*=\overline{v}(x\otimes1)\overline{v}^*=V(x\otimes1)V^*=\beta(x).\end{aligned}$$

We prove the claim as follows. Applying $1\otimes1\otimes e_{\mathbf{1}}\otimes e_{\mathbf{1}}\otimes1$ to (4.4), we have

$$c^\ell_{12}U^*_{12}\alpha^\omega(c^r)U_{12}w_{\cdot\otimes\cdot\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\cdot}c^*_{123}=1.$$

The equality $w_{\cdot\otimes\cdot\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\cdot}=1$ yields

$$c^\ell_{12}U^*_{12}\alpha^\omega(c^r)U_{12}c^*_{123}=1. \tag{4.5}$$

Again applying $1\otimes e_{\mathbf{1}}\otimes1\otimes1\otimes e_{\mathbf{1}}$ to (4.4), we have

$$c^r_{12}V^*_{12}c^\ell_{13}V_{12}w_{\cdot\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\cdot\otimes\cdot\otimes\mathbf{1}}c^*_{132}=1.$$

The equality

$$w_{\cdot\otimes\mathbf{1}\otimes\cdot\otimes\cdot\otimes\mathbf{1}}=V^*_{12}U^*_{13}\alpha^\omega(V)_{132}U_{13}$$

and (4.5) implies

$$\begin{aligned}1 &= c^r_{12}V^*_{12}c^\ell_{13}V_{12}V^*_{12}U^*_{13}\alpha^\omega(V)_{132}U_{13}c^*_{132}\\ &= c^r_{12}V^*_{12}c^\ell_{13}U^*_{13}\alpha^\omega(V)_{132}U_{13}c^*_{132}\\ &= c^r_{12}V^*_{12}c^\ell_{13}U^*_{13}\alpha^\omega(V)_{132}U_{13}\cdot U^*_{13}\alpha^\omega(c^{r*})_{132}U_{13}c^{\ell*}_{13}\\ &= c^r_{12}V^*_{12}c^\ell_{13}U^*_{13}\alpha^\omega(Vc^{r*})_{132}U_{13}c^{\ell*}_{13}\\ &= \overline{v}^*_{12}\alpha^v(\overline{v})_{132}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\alpha^v(\overline{v}) = \overline{v}_{13}$ and we have proved Lemma 4.6. □

5. ROHLIN TYPE THEOREM

The Rohlin theorem in [Oc1, Theorem 6.1] has been a main ingredient to show vanishing results on 1 and 2-cohomology for strongly free cocycle actions of discrete amenable groups. Even for amenable discrete Kac algebras we can prove the Rohlin type theorem which is, however, not a generalization of the Rohlin theorem in [Oc1]. As one difference, which comes from difficulty of reducing a cocycle action to an action, we give a Rohlin tower which has a good estimate only for cocycle actions whose 2-cocycles are very small. Another difference is that we treat not paving families but one sufficiently large projection. Since our classification result is deduced from the Evans-Kishimoto type intertwining argument, we do not need a model action splitting method. Hence it is unnecessary to utilize a paving family. Also even in proving vanishing results on 2-cohomology, we do not need such a family. We use the Rohlin type theorem only to find a unitary perturbing a 1-cocycle to a smaller 1-cocycle by using the Shapiro lemma. Although it may seem to be an incomplete form, it is in fact a sufficiently powerful tool for our strategy.

5.1. **Local quantization principle**

We begin with the local quantization principle proved by Popa [P1, Lemma A.1.1], [P2, Theorem A.1.2].

Theorem 5.1 (Popa)**.** *Let $A \subset B$ be an inclusion of finite von Neumann algebras. Let τ be a faithful normal trace of B. Assume that elements $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset B$ are orthogonal to $A \vee (A' \cap B)$ with respect to τ. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a finite index set J and a partition of unity $\{q_r\}_{r\in J} \subset A$ satisfying*

$$\Big\| \sum_{r\in J} q_r x_i q_r \Big\|_\tau < \varepsilon \quad \textit{for all } 1 \le i \le n.$$

Let M be a von Neumann algebra. Let $\gamma\colon M_\omega \to M_\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ be a strongly free semiliftable action with the left inverses $\{\Phi_\pi\}_{\pi\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})}$. We assume that $\tau^\omega \circ \Phi_\pi = \tau^\omega \otimes \tau_\pi$ on $M_\omega \otimes B(H_\pi)$ for all $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$. Take a faithful state $\phi \in M_*$ and set $\psi = \phi\circ\tau^\omega$. Consider the inclusion $M_\omega \subset M_\omega \rtimes_\gamma \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$. Then the dual state $\hat{\psi}$ is tracial by Proposition 2.11. Let S be a countably generated von Neumann subalgebra of M^ω, $A = S' \cap M_\omega$ and $B = M_\omega \rtimes_\gamma \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$. Since γ is strongly free, we have the inclusion $A \vee (A' \cap B) \subset M_\omega$ by Lemma 2.13. Let $E_{\hat{\gamma}}\colon M_\omega \rtimes_\gamma \widehat{\mathbb{G}} \to M_\omega$ be the conditional expectation defined by averaging the dual action $\hat{\gamma}$, and then it preserves the trace $\hat{\psi}$. Let $E_{A\vee(A'\cap B)}$ be the trace preserving conditional expectation from B onto $A\vee(A'\cap B)$. Then it factors through M_ω with $E_{\hat{\gamma}}$. Hence by definition of $E_{\hat{\gamma}}$, we have $E_{A\vee(A'\cap B)}(\lambda_{\pi_{i,j}})=0$ for all $\pi\neq\mathbf{1}$ and $i,j\in I_\pi$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a finite subset of $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})\setminus\{\mathbf{1}\}$. We apply the local quantization principle to the above A, B and $\{\lambda_{\pi_{i,j}}\}_{\pi\in\mathcal{F},i,j\in I_\pi}$. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$, we get a finite partition of unity $\{q_r\}_{r\in J}\subset S'\cap M_\omega$ satisfying

$$\Big\|\sum_{r\in J}\gamma(q_r)\lambda_{\pi_{i,j}}\gamma(q_r)\Big\|_{\hat{\psi}}<\varepsilon$$

for all $\pi\in\mathcal{F}$ and $i,j\in I_\pi$. Since $\lambda_{\pi_{i,j}}\gamma(q_r)=\sum_{k\in I_\pi}\gamma(\gamma_{\pi_{i,k}}(q_r))\lambda_{\pi_{k,j}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\psi}\big((\gamma(q_r\gamma_{\pi_{i,\ell}}(q_r))\lambda_{\pi_{\ell,j}})^*\gamma(q_r\gamma_{\pi_{i,k}}(q_r))\lambda_{\pi_{k,j}}\big)&=d_\pi^{-1}\psi\big(\Phi_\pi\big(|q_r\gamma_{\pi_{i,k}}(q_r)|^2\otimes e_{\pi_{\ell,k}}\big)\big)\\&=d_\pi^{-1}(\psi\otimes\tau_\pi)\big(|q_r\gamma_{\pi_{i,k}}(q_r)|^2\otimes e_{\pi_{\ell,k}}\big)\\&=\delta_{\ell,k}d_\pi^{-1}\psi\big(|q_r\gamma_{\pi_{i,k}}(q_r)|^2\big),\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i\in I_\pi}\Big\|\sum_{r\in J}\gamma(q_r)\lambda_{\pi_{i,j}}\gamma(q_r)\Big\|_{\hat{\psi}}^2\\=&\sum_{i\in I_\pi}\sum_{r\in J}\Big\|\gamma(q_r)\lambda_{\pi_{i,j}}\gamma(q_r)\Big\|_{\hat{\psi}}^2\\=&\sum_{i\in I_\pi}\sum_{r\in J}\Big\|\sum_{k\in I_\pi}\gamma(q_r\gamma_{\pi_{i,k}}(q_r))\lambda_{\pi_{k,j}}\Big\|_{\hat{\psi}}^2\\=&\sum_{r\in J}\sum_{i,k,\ell\in I_\pi}\hat{\psi}\big((\gamma(q_r\gamma_{\pi_{i,\ell}}(q_r))\lambda_{\pi_{\ell,j}})^*\gamma(q_r\gamma_{\pi_{i,k}}(q_r))\lambda_{\pi_{k,j}}\big)\\=&\sum_{r\in J}\sum_{i,k,\ell\in I_\pi}\delta_{\ell,k}d_\pi^{-1}\psi\big(|q_r\gamma_{\pi_{i,k}}(q_r)|^2\big)\\=&\sum_{r\in J}\big\|(q_r\otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(q_r)\big\|_{\psi\otimes\tau_\pi}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Thus for $\pi\in\mathcal{F}$,

$$\sum_{r\in J}\big\|(q_r\otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(q_r)\big\|_{\psi\otimes\tau_\pi}^2<d_\pi\varepsilon^2.$$

Summing up the above inequality with $\pi\in\mathcal{F}$, we obtain

$$\sum_{r\in J}\sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{F}}\big\|(q_r\otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(q_r)\big\|_{\psi\otimes\tau_\pi}^2<\Big(\sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{F}}d_\pi\Big)\varepsilon^2.$$

We use a Chebyshev inequality as follows. Define an index subset

$$J_0=\Big\{r\in J\mid\sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{F}}\big\|(q_r\otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(q_r)\big\|_{\psi\otimes\tau_\pi}^2<(\sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{F}}d_\pi)\varepsilon\|q_r\|_\psi^2\Big\}.$$

For $r \in J_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{F}} \big|(q_r\otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(q_r)\big|_{\psi\otimes\tau_\pi}\Big)^2 \leq& |\mathcal{F}| \sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{F}} \big|(q_r\otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(q_r)\big|_{\psi\otimes\tau_\pi}^2\\ \leq& |\mathcal{F}| \sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{F}} \|\gamma_\pi(q_r)\|_{\psi\otimes\tau_\pi}^2 \big\|(q_r\otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(q_r)\big\|_{\psi\otimes\tau_\pi}^2\\ =& |\mathcal{F}|\|q_r\|_\psi^2 \sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{F}} \big\|(q_r\otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(q_r)\big\|_{\psi\otimes\tau_\pi}^2\\ <& |\mathcal{F}|\|q_r\|_\psi^2 \Big(\sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{F}} d_\pi\Big)\varepsilon\|q_r\|_\psi^2\\ =& |\mathcal{F}|\Big(\sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{F}} d_\pi\Big)\varepsilon|q_r|_\psi^2.\end{aligned}$$

Hence the following inequality holds.

$$\sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{F}} \big|(q_r\otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(q_r)\big|_{\psi\otimes\tau_\pi} \leq \Big(|\mathcal{F}|\sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{F}} d_\pi\Big)^{1/2}\varepsilon^{1/2}|q_r|_\psi.$$

On the size of $\sum_{r\in J\setminus J_0} q_r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{r\in J\setminus J_0}|q_r|_\psi <& \Big(\sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{F}} d_\pi\Big)^{-1}\varepsilon^{-1} \sum_{r\in J\setminus J_0}\sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{F}} \big\|(q_r\otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(q_r)\big\|_{\psi\otimes\tau_\pi}^2\\ \leq& \Big(\sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{F}} d_\pi\Big)^{-1}\varepsilon^{-1} \sum_{r\in J}\sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{F}} \big\|(q_r\otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(q_r)\big\|_{\psi\otimes\tau_\pi}^2\\ <& \Big(\sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{F}} d_\pi\Big)^{-1}\varepsilon^{-1}\Big(\sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{F}} d_\pi\Big)\varepsilon^2\\ =& \varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

We summarize these arguments.

Lemma 5.2. *Let M be a von Neumann algebra. Let γ be a strongly free semiliftable action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on M_ω whose left inverse Φ_π satisfies $\tau^\omega\circ\Phi_\pi = \tau^\omega\otimes\tau_\pi$ for all $\pi\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$. Let ϕ be a faithful normal state on M and set $\psi = \phi\circ\tau^\omega$. Then for any countably generated von Neumann algebra $S\subset M^\omega$, any finite subset $\mathcal{F}\subset\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$, $\mathbf{1}\notin\mathcal{F}$ and any $0<\varepsilon<1$, there exists $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and a partition of unity $\{q_r\}_{r=0}^n\subset S'\cap M_\omega$ with the following properties.*

(1) $|q_0|_\psi<\varepsilon$.

(2) $\sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{F}} \big|(q_r\otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(q_r)\big|_{\psi\otimes\tau_\pi} < \varepsilon|q_r|_\psi$ *for all* $1\leq r\leq n$ *and* $\pi\in\mathcal{F}$.

We can strengthen this result as follows.

Lemma 5.3. *Let M be a von Neumann algebra. Let γ be a strongly free semiliftable action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on M_ω whose left inverse Φ_π satisfies $\tau^\omega\circ\Phi_\pi = \tau^\omega\otimes\tau_\pi$ for all $\pi\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$. Let ϕ be a faithful normal state on M and set $\psi=\phi\circ\tau^\omega$.*

Then for any countably generated von Neumann algebra $S \subset M^\omega$, any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$, $\mathbf{1} \notin \mathcal{F}$ and any $0 < \delta < 1$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a partition of unity $\{e_r\}_{r=0}^n \subset S' \cap M_\omega$ with the following properties.

(1) $|e_0|_\psi \leq \delta$.

(2) $(e_r \otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(e_r) = 0$ for all $1 \leq r \leq n$ and $\pi \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proof. This is proved by a similar argument to one in [Oc1] as follows. We may assume $S \subset M^\omega$ is γ-invariant by considering a von Neumann algebra generated by S and $\gamma_{\rho_{k,\ell}}(S)$ for all $\rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ and $k, \ell \in I_\rho$.

Step A. Let $\mu > 0$ and $f \in \mathrm{Proj}(S' \cap M_\omega)$, $f \neq 0$. We show that there exists $f' \in \mathrm{Proj}(S' \cap M_\omega)$, $0 \neq f' \leq f$, such that

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{F}} \left|(f' \otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(f')\right|_{\psi \otimes \tau_\pi} < 2\mu |f'|_\psi,$$

for all $\pi \in \mathcal{F}$.

Let $\overline{S}$ be a von Neumann subalgebra in M^ω which is generated by S and $\gamma_{\rho_{i,j}}(f)$ for all $\rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ and $i, j \in I_\rho$. By the previous lemma, there exists a partition of unity $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_m$ in $\overline{S}' \cap M_\omega$ such that

(1) $|f_0|_\psi \leq 2^{-1}|f|_\psi$,

(2) $\displaystyle\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{F}} \left|(f_i \otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(f_i)\right|_{\psi \otimes \tau_\pi} < \mu |f|_\psi |f_i|_\psi$, $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Let $\bar{f}_i = f f_i \in \mathrm{Proj}(S' \cap M_\omega)$. Since $f_i \otimes 1_\rho$ commutes $\gamma_\rho(f)$ for all $\rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$, we have $(\bar{f}_i \otimes 1_\rho)\gamma_\rho(\bar{f}_i) = (f \otimes 1_\rho)\gamma_\rho(f)(f_i \otimes 1_\rho)\gamma_\rho(f_i)$. Hence we have $\left|(\bar{f}_i \otimes 1_\rho)\gamma_\rho(\bar{f}_i)\right|_{\psi \otimes \tau_\pi} \leq \left|(f_i \otimes 1_\rho)\gamma_\rho(f_i)\right|_{\psi \otimes \tau_\pi}$. Suppose that for each $i = 1, \dots, m$

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{F}} \left|(\bar{f}_i \otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(\bar{f}_i)\right|_{\psi \otimes \tau_\pi} \geq 2\mu |\bar{f}_i|_\psi.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{F}} \left|(f_i \otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(f_i)\right|_{\psi \otimes \tau_\pi} \geq & \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{F}} \left|(\bar{f}_i \otimes 1_\rho)\gamma_\rho(\bar{f}_i)\right|_{\psi \otimes \tau_\pi} \\
\geq & 2\mu \sum_{i=1}^m |\bar{f}_i|_\psi \\
= & 2\mu |(1 - f_0)f|_\psi \\
\geq & 2\mu (|f|_\psi - |f_0|_\psi) \\
\geq & \mu |f|_\psi.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{F}} \left|(f_i \otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(f_i)\right|_{\psi \otimes \tau_\pi} < & \mu |f|_\psi \sum_{i=1} |f_i|_\psi \\
\leq & \mu |f|_\psi.
\end{aligned}$$

This derives a contradiction and hence for some $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, the equality

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{F}} \left|(\bar{f}_i \otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(\bar{f}_i)\right|_{\psi \otimes \tau_\pi} < 2\mu |\bar{f}_i|_\psi$$

holds and we take $f' = \bar{f}_i$.

Step B. We show that for any $f \in \operatorname{Proj}(S' \cap M_\omega)$ and any $\mu > 0$, there exists $e \in \operatorname{Proj}(S' \cap M_\omega)$ with

(1) $e \leq f$,
(2) $\left|(e \otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(e)\right|_{\psi \otimes \tau_\pi} \leq \mu |e|_\psi$ for all $\pi \in \mathcal{F}$,
(3) $|e|_\psi \geq (1 + \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{F} \cup \overline{\mathcal{F}}} 2d_\pi^2)^{-1} |f|_\psi$.

Set $T_\pi(x) = \Phi_{\overline{\pi}}(x \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}})$ for $x \in M^\omega$. Note $T_\pi(S' \cap M_\omega) \subset S' \cap M_\omega$ for all $\pi \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ as is seen below. Let $x \in S' \cap M_\omega$. Since T_π preserves M_ω, $T_\pi(x) \in M_\omega$. Take an element $y \in S$. The γ-invariance of S implies

$$yT_\pi(x) = \Phi_\pi(\gamma_\pi(y)(x \otimes 1_\pi)) = \Phi_\pi((x \otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(y)) = T_\pi(x)y.$$

This shows $T_\pi(x) \in S' \cap M_\omega$. Now the family of projections $e \in S' \cap M_\omega$ satisfying (1) and (2) is not empty and inductively ordered, so let e be maximal with these properties. We show that e also satisfies

$$e \vee \bigvee_{\pi \in \mathcal{F}} s(T_\pi(e)) \vee \bigvee_{\pi \in \mathcal{F}} s(T_{\overline{\pi}}(e)) \vee (1 - f) = 1. \tag{5.1}$$

Otherwise let e' be a nonzero projection in $S' \cap M_\omega$ orthogonal to the left member of (5.1). We claim that $(e \otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(e') = 0 = (e' \otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(e)$ for $\pi \in \mathcal{F} \cup \overline{\mathcal{F}}$. Since

$$\Phi_\pi\big(\gamma_\pi^\omega(e')(e \otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi^\omega(e')\big) = e'\Phi_\pi(e \otimes 1_\pi)e' = e'T_\pi(e)e' = 0,$$

we have $(e \otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(e') = 0$ for $\pi \in \mathcal{F} \cup \overline{\mathcal{F}}$ by faithfulness of Φ_π. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_\pi\big(\gamma_\pi(e)(e' \otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(e)\big) &= e\Phi_\pi\big(e' \otimes 1_\pi\big)e \\
&= e(1 \otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi}^*)(\gamma_{\overline{\pi}}(e') \otimes 1_\pi)(1 \otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi})e \\
&= (1 \otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi}^*)\big((e \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}})\gamma_{\overline{\pi}}(e')(e \otimes 1_\pi) \otimes 1_\pi\big)(1 \otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi}) \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

we also have $(e' \otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(e) = 0$ for $\pi \in \mathcal{F} \cup \overline{\mathcal{F}}$. By Step A, under e' we can find a nonzero projection $e'' \in S' \cap M_\omega$ with $\left|(e'' \otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(e'')\right|_{\psi \otimes \tau_\pi} \leq \mu |e''|_\psi$. Then e'' satisfies $e'' \perp e$, $e'' \leq f$ and $(e \otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(e'') = 0 = (e'' \otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(e)$ for all $\pi \in \mathcal{F} \cup \overline{\mathcal{F}}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|(\bar{e} \otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(\bar{e})\right|_{\psi \otimes \tau_\pi} &= \left|(e \otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(e) + (e'' \otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(e'')\right|_{\psi \otimes \tau_\pi} \\
&= \left|(e \otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(e)\right|_{\psi \otimes \tau_\pi} + \left|(e'' \otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(e'')\right|_{\psi \otimes \tau_\pi} \\
&\leq \mu |e|_\psi + \mu |e''|_\psi \\
&= \mu |\bar{e}|_\psi.
\end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction to the maximality of e, and hence (5.1) holds. Now we estimate the size of e. Here we make use of tensor products to treat translations of projections. We index $\mathcal{F}\cup\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ as $\{\pi_1,\cdots,\pi_N\}$. Consider the tensor product algebra $\widetilde{M^\omega}=M^\omega\otimes B(\otimes_{k=1}^N H_{\pi_k})$. Set a product state $\widetilde{\psi}=\psi\otimes\otimes_{k=1}^N\tau_{\pi_k}$, which is tracial on $M_\omega\otimes B(\otimes_{k=1}^N H_{\pi_k})$. We regard M^ω and $M^\omega\otimes B(H_{\pi_k})$ as subalgebras of $\widetilde{M^\omega}$ via the natural embedding. For each π_k, take a finite group $\mathfrak{U}_k\cong\mathbb{Z}_{d_{\pi_k}}\rtimes\mathbb{Z}_{d_{\pi_k}}$ consisting of unitaries in $B(H_{\pi_k})$ which acts on H_{π_k} irreducibly. Our claim is the following one.

$$e\vee\bigvee_{k=1}^N\bigvee_{v\in\mathfrak{U}_k}(1\otimes v)\gamma_{\pi_k}(e)(1\otimes v^*)\vee(1-f)=1. \tag{5.2}$$

If not so, we have a nonzero projection $p\in\widetilde{M^\omega}$ which is orthogonal to the above left projection. Hence $p\leq f-e$ and satisfies $p\cdot(1\otimes v)\gamma_{\pi_k}(e)(1\otimes v^*)=0$ for all $k=1,\dots,N$ and $v\in\mathfrak{U}_k$. Irreducibility of the action of $\mathfrak{U}_k$ implies the equality $\tau_{\pi_k}=d_{\pi_k}^{-2}\sum_{v\in\mathfrak{U}_k}\operatorname{Ad}v$ on $B(H_{\pi_k})$. Hence $pT_{\overline{\pi}_k}(e)=p\cdot(\mathrm{id}\otimes\tau_{\pi_k})(\gamma_{\pi_k}(e))=0$ for all k. It shows that p must be orthogonal to e, $1-f$ and $\vee_{\pi\in\mathcal{F}\cup\overline{\mathcal{F}}}s(T_\pi(e))$, but this contradicts to (5.1). Therefore the above claim holds. Applying the product trace $\widetilde{\psi}$ to the both sides of (5.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}1\leq&|e|_\psi+|1-f|_\psi\\&+\sum_{k=1}^N\sum_{v\in\mathfrak{U}_k}(\psi\otimes\tau_{\pi_k})\big((1\otimes v)\gamma_{\pi_k}(e)(1\otimes v^*)\big)\\\leq&|e|_\psi+1-|f|_\psi+\sum_{k=1}^N\sum_{v\in\mathfrak{U}_k}(\psi\otimes\tau_{\pi_k})\big(\gamma_{\pi_k}(e)\big)\\=&1-|f|_\psi+(1+\sum_{k=1}^N 2d_{\pi_k}^2)|e|_\psi.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|e|_\psi\geq(1+\sum_{k=1}^N 2d_{\pi_k}^2)^{-1}|f|_\psi.$$

Step C. Let $q\in\mathbb{N}$ be such that $(1-(1+\sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{F}\cup\overline{\mathcal{F}}}2d_\pi^2)^{-1})^q<\delta$. We show that for any $\mu>0$ there exists a partition of unity $\{e_k\}_{k=0}^q\subset S'\cap M_\omega$ such that

(1) $|e_0|_\psi\leq\delta$.

(2) $\big|(e_k\otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(e_k)\big|_{\psi\otimes\tau_\pi}<\mu|e_k|_\psi$, for all $k=1,\dots,q$ and $\pi\in\mathcal{F}$.

Set $f_1=1$. According to Step B, we construct projections e_k and f_{k+1} successively for $k=1,\dots,q$ such that

(1) $e_k\leq f_k$,

(2) $f_{k+1}=f_k-e_k$,

(3) $\big|(e_k\otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(e_k)\big|_{\psi\otimes\tau_\pi}\leq\mu|e_k|_\psi$ for all $\pi\in\mathcal{F}$.

(4) $|e_k|_\psi\geq(1+\sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{F}\cup\overline{\mathcal{F}}}2d_\pi^2)^{-1}|f_k|_\psi$.

Then we have $|f_{q+1}|_\psi\leq(1-(1+\sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{F}\cup\overline{\mathcal{F}}}2d_\pi^2)^{-1})^q<\delta$ and letting $e_0=f_{q+1}$, Step C is proved.

Step D. We finish the proof by using the Index Selection Trick.

Note that the partition number q depends not on μ but on δ and $\mathcal{F}$. Letting $\mu = 1/n$ and take a partition of unity $\{e_k^n\}_{k=0}^q \subset S' \cap M_\omega$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

(1) $|e_0^n|_\psi \leq \delta$.

(2) $\big|(e_k^n \otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(e_k^n)\big|_{\psi\otimes\tau_\pi} < (1/n)|e_k^n|_\psi$, for all $k = 1, \dots, q$ and $\pi \in \mathcal{F}$.

Set the elements $\overline{e}_i = (e_i^n)_n$ in $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, S' \cap M_\omega)$ for $0 \leq i \leq q$. Then apply the Index Selection Trick for $\mathcal{C} = C^*(\{\overline{e}_i\}_{i=0}^q)$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{\gamma_\pi\}_{\pi\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})}$. Let Ψ be the index selection map with respect to them. Set $e_i = \Psi(\overline{e}_i)$ which is in $S' \cap M_\omega$. Then we have $|e_0|_\psi \leq \delta$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\big|(e_i \otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(e_i)\big|_{\psi\otimes\tau_\pi} &= (\psi \otimes \tau_\pi)\big(\big|(e_i \otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(e_i)\big|\big) \\
&= (\phi \circ \tau^\omega \circ \Psi \otimes \tau_\pi)\big(\big|(\overline{e}_i \otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(\overline{e}_i)\big|\big) \\
&= \lim_{n\to\omega}(\phi \circ \tau^\omega \otimes \tau_\pi)\big(\big|(e_i^n \otimes 1_\pi)\gamma_\pi(e_i^n)\big|\big) \\
&\leq \lim_{n\to\omega} 1/n \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

for $\pi \in \mathcal{F}$. □

5.2. **Tower bases and diagonal elements**

In the previous subsection, we have obtained a projection in M_ω which behaves like a tower base with respect to an action γ as in the case of group actions. Here one shall note that it gives projections in not M_ω but von Neumann algebras $M_\omega \otimes B(H_\pi)$, $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$. In the following lemma, we clarify the special properties of such a projection in a general situation.

Lemma 5.4. *Let M be a von Neumann algebra and (α, u) a cocycle action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on M. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a finite subset of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$. If a projection e in M satisfies $[e\otimes 1\otimes 1, u] = 0$ and $(e \otimes 1_\rho)\alpha_\rho(e) = 0$ for all $\rho \in \overline{\mathcal{K}} \cdot \mathcal{K} \setminus \mathbf{1}$, then*

(1) $(\alpha_\pi(e) \otimes 1_\sigma)(\alpha_\sigma(e))_{1,3} = 0$ for all $\pi \neq \sigma \in \mathcal{K}$.

(2) $(e \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes 1_\pi)(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id})(\alpha_\pi(e)) = u_{\overline{\pi},\pi}(e \otimes {}_{\overline{\pi}}\Delta_\pi(e_{\mathbf{1}}))u_{\overline{\pi},\pi}^*$ for all $\pi \in \mathcal{K}$.

(3) The element $d_\pi^2\Phi_{\overline{\pi}}(e \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}})$ is a projection which is equal to

$$q_\pi = \inf\{q \in \mathrm{Proj}(M) \mid (q \otimes 1_\pi)\alpha_\pi(e) = \alpha_\pi(e),\ [q \otimes 1 \otimes 1, u] = 0\}.$$

(4) For all $\pi \in \mathcal{K}$, $d_\pi^2(\alpha_\pi(e)\otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}})u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}(1\otimes T_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}T_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}^*)u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}^*(\alpha_\pi(e)\otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}}) = \alpha_\pi(e)\otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}}$.

Proof. (1). It suffices to show $(\Phi_\pi \otimes \mathrm{id})(\alpha_\pi(e)_{12}\alpha_\sigma(e)_{13}\alpha_\pi(e)_{12}) = 0$. Since $\pi \neq \sigma$, $\mathbf{1} \not\prec \overline{\pi} \cdot \sigma$ and then

$$\begin{aligned}
(e \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes 1_\sigma)\alpha_{\overline{\pi}}(\alpha_\sigma(e)) &= (e \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes 1_\sigma)u_{\overline{\pi},\sigma}(\mathrm{id} \otimes {}_{\overline{\pi}}\Delta_\sigma)(\alpha(e))u_{\overline{\pi},\sigma}^* \\
&= u_{\overline{\pi},\sigma}(e \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes 1_\sigma)(\mathrm{id} \otimes {}_{\overline{\pi}}\Delta_\sigma)(\alpha(e))u_{\overline{\pi},\sigma}^* \\
&= \sum_{\rho\prec\overline{\pi}\cdot\sigma} u_{\overline{\pi},\sigma}(e \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes 1_\sigma)(\mathrm{id} \otimes {}_{\overline{\pi}}\Delta_\sigma)(\alpha_\rho(e))u_{\overline{\pi},\sigma}^* \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Using this equality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\Phi_\pi\otimes\mathrm{id})(\alpha_\pi(e)_{12}\alpha_\sigma(e)_{13}\alpha_\pi(e)_{12})\\
&=(e\otimes 1_\sigma)(\Phi_\pi\otimes\mathrm{id})(\alpha_\sigma(e)_{13})(e\otimes 1_\sigma)\\
&=(e\otimes 1_\sigma)(1\otimes T^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}\otimes 1_\sigma)(u^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}\otimes 1_\sigma)\alpha_{\overline{\pi}}(\alpha_\sigma(e))_{124}(u_{\overline{\pi},\pi}\otimes 1_\sigma)\\
&\qquad\qquad\cdot(1\otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi}\otimes 1_\sigma)(e\otimes 1_\sigma)\\
&=(1\otimes T^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}\otimes 1_\sigma)(u^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}\otimes 1_\sigma)((e\otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}}\otimes 1_\sigma)\alpha_{\overline{\pi}}(\alpha_\sigma(e)))_{124}(u_{\overline{\pi},\pi}\otimes 1_\sigma)\\
&\qquad\qquad\cdot(1\otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi}\otimes 1_\sigma)(e\otimes 1_\sigma)\\
&=0.
\end{aligned}$$

Since Φ_π is faithful, we have $\alpha_\pi(e)_{12}\alpha_\sigma(e)_{13}=0$.

(2). It is verified as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
(e\otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}}\otimes 1_\pi)\alpha_{\overline{\pi}}(\alpha_\pi(e))&=(e\otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}}\otimes 1_\pi)u_{\overline{\pi},\pi}(\mathrm{id}\otimes{}_{\overline{\pi}}\Delta_\pi)(\alpha(e))u^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}\\
&=\sum_{\rho\prec\overline{\pi}\cdot\pi}u_{\overline{\pi},\pi}(\mathrm{id}\otimes{}_{\overline{\pi}}\Delta_\pi)((e\otimes 1_\rho)\alpha_\rho(e))u^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}\\
&=u_{\overline{\pi},\pi}(\mathrm{id}\otimes{}_{\overline{\pi}}\Delta_\pi)((e\otimes e_{\mathbf{1}})\alpha_{\mathbf{1}}(e))u^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}\\
&=u_{\overline{\pi},\pi}(e\otimes{}_{\overline{\pi}}\Delta_\pi(e_{\mathbf{1}}))u^*_{\overline{\pi},\pi}.
\end{aligned}$$

(3). Applying the map $\Phi_{\overline{\pi}}\otimes\mathrm{id}$ to the equality in (2), by Lemma 2.4 we have

$$(d_\pi^2\Phi_{\overline{\pi}}(e\otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}})\otimes 1_\pi)\alpha_\pi(e)=\alpha_\pi(e).$$

Set $p_\pi=d_\pi^2\Phi_{\overline{\pi}}(e\otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}})$. Since e commutes with u, so does with $\alpha(u)$. Hence p_π commutes with u. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
p_\pi^2&=p_\pi d_\pi^2\Phi_{\overline{\pi}}(e\otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}})\\
&=p_\pi d_\pi^2(1\otimes T^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}})u^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}(\alpha_\pi(e)\otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}})u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}(1\otimes T_{\pi,\overline{\pi}})\\
&=d_\pi^2(1\otimes T^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}})u^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}((p_\pi\otimes 1_\pi)\alpha_\pi(e)\otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}})u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}(1\otimes T_{\pi,\overline{\pi}})\\
&=d_\pi^2(1\otimes T^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}})u^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}(\alpha_\pi(e)\otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}})u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}(1\otimes T_{\pi,\overline{\pi}})\\
&=p_\pi.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence p_π is a projection and $p_\pi\geq q_\pi$. The inequality $p_\pi\leq q_\pi$ is verified as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
q_\pi p_\pi&=q_\pi d_\pi^2\Phi_{\overline{\pi}}(e\otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}})\\
&=d_\pi^2 q_\pi(1\otimes T^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}})u^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}(\alpha_\pi(e)\otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}})u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}(1\otimes T_{\pi,\overline{\pi}})\\
&=d_\pi^2(1\otimes T^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}})u^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}((q_\pi\otimes 1)\alpha_\pi(e)\otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}})u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}(1\otimes T_{\pi,\overline{\pi}})\\
&=d_\pi^2(1\otimes T^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}})u^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}(\alpha_\pi(e)\otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}})u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}(1\otimes T_{\pi,\overline{\pi}})\\
&=p_\pi.
\end{aligned}$$

(4). Since $d_\pi^2\Phi_{\overline{\pi}}(e\otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}})=d_\pi^2(1\otimes T^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}})u^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}(\alpha_\pi(e)\otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}})u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}(1\otimes T_{\pi,\overline{\pi}})$ is a projection, the operator $v:=d_\pi(\alpha_\pi(e)\otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}})u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}(1\otimes T_{\pi,\overline{\pi}})$ is a partial isometry.

Hence $f := vv^*$ is a projection. Clearly we have $f \leq \alpha_\pi(e) \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}}$. In fact they are equal as is shown below,

$$\begin{aligned}(\Phi_\pi \otimes \mathrm{id})(f) &= d_\pi^2 (\Phi_\pi \otimes \mathrm{id})((\alpha_\pi(e) \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}}) u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}} (1 \otimes {}_\pi\Delta_{\overline{\pi}}(e_{\mathbf{1}})) u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}^* (\alpha_\pi(e) \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}})) \\ &= d_\pi^2 (e \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}}) (\Phi_\pi \otimes \mathrm{id})(u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}} (1 \otimes {}_\pi\Delta_{\overline{\pi}}(e_{\mathbf{1}})) u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}^*)(e \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}}) \\ &= e \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}} \\ &= (\Phi_\pi \otimes \mathrm{id})(\alpha_\pi(e) \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}}),\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 2.4. The faithfulness of Φ_π yields $f = \alpha_\pi(e) \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}}$. $\square$

We call a projection e a *tower base* of the tower along with K if e satisfies the conditions from (1) to (4) in this lemma.

Definition 5.5. Let (α, u) be a cocycle action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on a von Neumann algebra M. The *diagonal* of u is the element a in $M \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ defined by

$$(a \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \Delta(e_{\mathbf{1}})) = u(1 \otimes \Delta(e_{\mathbf{1}})).$$

The diagonal $a \in M \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ has the following explicit form. For all $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$,

$$a_\pi = d_\pi \epsilon_\pi (1 \otimes 1_\pi \otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi}^*)(u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}} \otimes 1_\pi)(1 \otimes T_{\pi,\overline{\pi}} \otimes 1_\pi),$$

where $\epsilon_\pi \in \{\pm 1\}$ is defined in §2.2.

Lemma 5.6. *Let a_π, $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$, be as above. Then one has*

(1) $(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id})(a_\pi^*)(a_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes 1_\pi)(1 \otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi}) = 1 \otimes T_{\overline{\pi},\pi}$*,*
(2) $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \tau_\pi)(a_\pi^* a_\pi) = 1$*,*
(3) $\Phi_\pi(a_\pi a_\pi^*) = 1$*,*
(4) $d_\pi^2 (\Phi_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_\pi)(x \otimes \Delta(e_{\mathbf{1}})) = a_\pi^* \alpha_\pi(x) a_\pi$ *for all* $x \in M$*,*
(5) $a_\pi^* a_\pi = d_\pi^2 (\Phi_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_\pi)(1 \otimes {}_{\overline{\pi}}\Delta_\pi(e_{\mathbf{1}}))$*.*

Proof. (1). Since u is a 2-cocycle, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(1 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta(e_{\mathbf{1}}))(\alpha(a^*) \otimes 1)(a \otimes 1 \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \Delta(e_{\mathbf{1}}) \otimes 1) \\ &= (1 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta(e_{\mathbf{1}}))\alpha(u^*)(u \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \Delta(e_{\mathbf{1}}) \otimes 1) \\ &= (1 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta(e_{\mathbf{1}}))\alpha(u^*)(u \otimes 1)(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(u)(1 \otimes \Delta(e_{\mathbf{1}}) \otimes 1) \\ &= (1 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta(e_{\mathbf{1}}))(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(u)(1 \otimes \Delta(e_{\mathbf{1}}) \otimes 1) \\ &= (1 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta(e_{\mathbf{1}}))(1 \otimes \Delta(e_{\mathbf{1}}) \otimes 1).\end{aligned}$$

Applying $\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi$ to the both sides, we obtain the desired equality by using $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(\Delta(e_{\mathbf{1}})) = 1$.

(2). It is verified as

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{id} \otimes \tau_\pi)(a_\pi^* a_\pi) &= (1 \otimes T_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}^*)(a_\pi^* a_\pi \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}})(1 \otimes T_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}) \\ &= (1 \otimes T_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}^*)(u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}^* u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}})(1 \otimes T_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}) \\ &= (1 \otimes T_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}^*)(1 \otimes T_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}) \\ &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

(3). It is verified as

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_\pi(a_\pi a_\pi^*) &= d_\pi^2 \Phi_\pi\big((\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{id}\otimes\tau_{\overline{\pi}})((a\otimes 1)(1\otimes\Delta(e_{\mathbf{1}}))(a^*\otimes 1))\big)\\ &= d_\pi^2(\Phi_\pi\otimes\tau_{\overline{\pi}})(u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}(1\otimes\Delta(e_{\mathbf{1}}))u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}^*)\\ &= 1,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 2.4.

(4). It is verified as

$$\begin{aligned}&d_\pi^2(\Phi_{\overline{\pi}}\otimes\mathrm{id})(x\otimes{}_{\overline{\pi}}\Delta_\pi(e_{\mathbf{1}}))\\ &= d_\pi^2(1\otimes T_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}^*\otimes 1_\pi)(u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}^*\otimes 1_\pi)(\alpha_\pi(x)\otimes{}_{\overline{\pi}}\Delta_\pi(e_{\mathbf{1}}))(u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}\otimes 1_\pi)(1\otimes T_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}\otimes 1_\pi)\\ &= d_\pi^2(1\otimes T_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}^*\otimes 1_\pi)(a_\pi^*\otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}}\otimes 1_\pi)(\alpha_\pi(x)\otimes{}_{\overline{\pi}}\Delta_\pi(e_{\mathbf{1}}))(a_\pi\otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}}\otimes 1_\pi)(1\otimes T_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}\otimes 1_\pi)\\ &= a_\pi^*\alpha_\pi(x)a_\pi.\end{aligned}$$

(5). It is obtained by putting $x = 1$ in (4). □

With diagonals, we obtain the following result for a tower base.

Lemma 5.7. *If a projection $e\in M$ satisfies the condition of Lemma 5.4, then*

(1) $d_\pi^2(\alpha_\pi(e)a_\pi\otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}})(1\otimes{}_\pi\Delta_{\overline{\pi}}(e_{\mathbf{1}}))(a_\pi^*\alpha_\pi(e)\otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}}) = \alpha_\pi(e)\otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}}$.

(2) $\alpha_\pi(e)a_\pi a_\pi^*\alpha_\pi(e) = \alpha_\pi(e)$, *in particular* $\alpha_\pi(e)a_\pi$ *is a partial isometry.*

(3) $d_\pi^2(\Phi_{\overline{\pi}}\otimes\mathrm{id}_\pi)(e\otimes_{\overline{\pi}}\Delta_\pi(e_{\mathbf{1}})) = a_\pi^*\alpha_\pi(e)a_\pi$ *is a projection.*

(4) $\big(d_\pi\alpha_\pi(e)a_\pi\big)_{i,k}\big(d_\pi a_\pi^*\alpha_\pi(e)\big)_{\ell,j} = \delta_{k,\ell}d_\pi\big(\alpha_\pi(e)\big)_{i,j}$ *for all* $\pi\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ *and* $i,j,k,\ell\in I_\pi$.

(5) Decompose $a^*\alpha(e)a_K$ *as*

$$a^*\alpha(e)a_K = \sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{K}}\sum_{i,j\in I_\pi} d_\pi^{-1} f_{\overline{\pi}_{i,j}}\otimes e_{\pi_{i,j}}.$$

Then one has

$$f_{\overline{\pi}_{i,j}}^* = f_{\overline{\pi}_{j,i}},\quad f_{\overline{\pi}_{i,j}}f_{\overline{\rho}_{k,\ell}} = \delta_{\pi,\rho}\delta_{j,k}f_{\overline{\pi}_{i,\ell}}$$

for all $\pi,\rho\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$, $i,j\in I_\pi$ *and* $k,\ell\in I_\rho$.

Proof. (1). It is a direct consequence of Lemma 5.4 (4).

(2). Apply $\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi$ to the both side of (1).

(3). It is derived by using (2) and Lemma 5.6 (4).

(4). Recall a system of matrix units $\{e_{\overline{\pi}_{\overline{i},\overline{j}}}\}_{i,j\in I_\pi}$ defined in §2.2. Set functionals $\omega_{\pi_{i,j}} = \mathrm{Tr}_\pi e_{\pi_{j,i}}$, $\omega_{\overline{\pi}_{\overline{k},\overline{\ell}}} = \mathrm{Tr}_{\overline{\pi}} e_{\overline{\pi}_{\overline{\ell},\overline{k}}}$. Apply $\mathrm{id}\otimes\omega_{\pi_{i,j}}\otimes\omega_{\overline{\pi}_{\overline{k},\overline{\ell}}}$ to the both sides of (1). Then the left hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&(\mathrm{id}\otimes\omega_{\pi_{i,j}}\otimes\omega_{\overline{\pi}_{\overline{k},\overline{\ell}}})(d_\pi^2(\alpha_\pi(e)a_\pi\otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}})(1\otimes\Delta_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}(e_{\mathbf{1}}))(a_\pi^*\alpha_\pi(e)\otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}}))\\ &= d_\pi^2(\mathrm{id}\otimes\omega_{\pi_{i,j}})(\alpha_\pi(e)a_\pi d_\pi^{-1}(1\otimes e_{\pi_{k,\ell}})a_\pi^*\alpha_\pi(e))\\ &= d_\pi\big(\alpha_\pi(e)a_\pi\big)_{i,k}\big(a_\pi^*\alpha_\pi(e)\big)_{\ell,j}\end{aligned}$$

and the right hand side is equal to $\delta_{k,\ell}\big(\alpha_\pi(e)\big)_{i,j}$.

(5). The self-adjointness yields $f_{\overline{\pi}_{i,j}}^* = f_{\overline{\pi}_{j,i}}$. By (4), $f_{\overline{\pi}_{i,j}} = d_\pi\big(a_\pi^*\alpha_\pi(e)a_\pi\big)_{i,j}$ satisfies the desired equality. □

In paticular, (5) shows the similarity of projections $a^*\alpha(e)a_K$ and $\Delta_K(e_{\mathbf{1}})$. We presume that the projection $a^*\alpha(e)a_K$ makes a copy of finite dimensional algebra $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})\overline{K}$ in M. This is a reason for giving indices not $f_{\pi_{i,j}}$ but $f_{\overline{\pi}_{i,j}}$. We close this subsection with the next useful lemma. It shows that the support projection of each tower element is invariant by perturbation if e commutes with u and $\alpha(v)$.

Lemma 5.8. *Let (α, u) be a cocycle action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on a von Neumann algebra M. Let $v \in U(M \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$ and $(\widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{u})$ the perturbed cocycle action of (α, u) by v. Let a and $\widetilde{a}$ be the diagonals of u and $\widetilde{u}$, respectively. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a finite subset of* $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$. *Assume that $e \in \mathrm{Proj}(M)$ satisfies $[e \otimes 1 \otimes 1, u] = 0 = [e \otimes 1 \otimes 1, \alpha(v)]$ and $(e \otimes 1_\rho)\alpha_\rho(e) = 0$ for all $\rho \in \overline{\mathcal{K}} \cdot \mathcal{K} \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\}$. Then one has*

$$(\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(a^*\alpha(e)a_\pi) = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(\widetilde{a}^*\widetilde{\alpha}(e)\widetilde{a}_\pi).$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.7, the elements $q_\pi = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(a^*\alpha(e)a_\pi)$ and $\widetilde{q}_\pi = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(\widetilde{a}^*\widetilde{\alpha}(e)\widetilde{a}_\pi)$ are the projections which are given by

$$q_\pi = \inf\{q \in \mathrm{Proj}(M) \mid (q \otimes 1_\pi)\alpha_\pi(e) = \alpha_\pi(e),\ [q \otimes 1 \otimes 1, u] = 0\},$$
$$\widetilde{q}_\pi = \inf\{q \in \mathrm{Proj}(M) \mid (q \otimes 1_\pi)\widetilde{\alpha}_\pi(e) = \widetilde{\alpha}_\pi(e),\ [q \otimes 1 \otimes 1, \widetilde{u}] = 0\}.$$

Since $q_\pi = d_\pi^2 \Phi_{\overline{\pi}}(e \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(q_\pi \otimes 1)v &= (d_\pi^2 \Phi_{\overline{\pi}}(e \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}}) \otimes 1)v \\ &= d_\pi^2 (\Phi_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id})((e \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes 1)\alpha_{\overline{\pi}}(v)) \\ &= d_\pi^2 (\Phi_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes \mathrm{id})(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}}(v)(e \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}} \otimes 1)) \\ &= v(d_\pi^2 \Phi_{\overline{\pi}}(e \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}}) \otimes 1) \\ &= v(q_\pi \otimes 1).\end{aligned}$$

Hence q_π commutes with v. Similarly we can show that q_π commutes with $\alpha(v)$, and so does with $\widetilde{u}$. Then we have $(q_\pi \otimes 1_\pi)\widetilde{\alpha}_\pi(e) = \widetilde{\alpha}_\pi(e)$. It yields $q_\pi \geq \widetilde{q}_\pi$. We prove $q_\pi \leq \widetilde{q}_\pi$. Since e commutes with $\widetilde{\alpha}(v) = (v \otimes 1)\alpha(v)(v^* \otimes 1)$, $\widetilde{q}_\pi$ commutes with v and $\alpha(v)$, and hence so does with u, and then we have $(\widetilde{q}_\pi \otimes 1_\pi)\alpha_\pi(e) = \alpha_\pi(e)$. This equality yields $q_\pi \leq \widetilde{q}_\pi$. ☐

5.3. **Rohlin type theorem**

We present a Rohlin type theorem. In order to simplify our arguments, we treat only McDuff factors.

Theorem 5.9. *Let M be a McDuff factor and (α, u) an approximately inner and strongly free cocycle action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on M. Take a unitary $v \in M^\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ such that*

(i) $\alpha = \mathrm{Ad}\, v$ on $M \subset M^\omega$,
(ii) $(v^* \otimes 1)(\alpha^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(v^*)u(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(v) = 1$,
(iii) $\gamma = \mathrm{Ad}\, v^* \circ \alpha^\omega$ is an action preserving M_ω,
(iv) $(\tau^\omega \otimes \tau_\pi) \circ \gamma_\pi = \tau^\omega$ for all $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$.

Let ϕ be a faithful normal state on M and set $\psi = \phi \circ \tau^\omega$. Let $0 < \delta, \kappa < 1$ and $F \in \mathrm{Projf}(Z(L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})))$. Take $K \in \mathrm{Projf}(Z(L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})))$ which is (F, δ)-invariant *and satisfies $K \geq e_{\mathbf{1}}$. Set $\mathcal{F} = \operatorname{supp}(F)$ and $\mathcal{K} = \operatorname{supp}(K)$. Assume that the 2-cocycle u is small in the following sense,*

$$\|u_{\pi,\rho} - 1 \otimes 1_\pi \otimes 1_\rho\|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} < \kappa$$

for all $\pi \in \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{K}$ and $\rho \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}$. Then for any countable set $S \subset M^\omega$, there exists a projection E in $S' \cap M_\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ satisfying the following conditions.

1. *$E = E(1 \otimes K)$.*
2. *(approximate equivariance)*
$$\big|\gamma_F(E) - (\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_K)(E)\big|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} < 5\delta^{1/2}|F|_\varphi.$$
3. *Decompose E as*
$$E = \sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{K}} \sum_{i,j\in I_\rho} d_\rho^{-1} f_{\overline{\rho}_{i,j}} \otimes e_{\rho_{i,j}}.$$
Then $\{f_{\overline{\rho}_{i,j}}\}_{i,j\in I_\rho}$ is a system of matrix units. Moreover, they are orthogonal in the following sense. For all $\rho \neq \pi \in \mathcal{K}$, $i, j \in I_\rho$ and $k, \ell \in I_\pi$,
$$f_{\overline{\rho}_{i,j}} f_{\overline{\pi}_{k,\ell}} = 0.$$
4. *(joint property of U) Let a be the diagonal of u. Set an operator $U = a^*vE$ and decompose as*
$$a^*vEv^*a_K = \sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{K}} \sum_{i,j\in I_\rho} d_\rho^{-1} f^\alpha_{\overline{\rho}_{i,j}} \otimes e_{\rho_{i,j}},$$
$$U = \sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{K}} \sum_{i,j\in I_\rho} d_\rho^{-1} \mu_{\overline{\rho}_{i,j}} \otimes e_{\rho_{i,j}}.$$
Then we have
$$\mu^*_{\overline{\rho}_{i,j}} \mu_{\overline{\pi}_{k,\ell}} = \delta_{\rho,\pi}\delta_{i,k} f_{\overline{\rho}_{j,\ell}}, \quad \mu_{\overline{\rho}_{i,j}} \mu^*_{\overline{\pi}_{k,\ell}} = \delta_{\rho,\pi}\delta_{j,\ell} f^\alpha_{\overline{\rho}_{i,k}},$$
for all $\rho, \pi \in \mathcal{K}$, $i, j \in I_\rho$ and $k, \ell \in I_\pi$. In particular $U^*U = E$.
5. *$Ev^*aa^*vE = E$.*
6. *For each $\rho \in \mathcal{K}$, the projection $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi_\rho)(E)$ is in $S' \cap M_\omega$ and satisfies*
$$(\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi_\rho)(E) = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi_\rho)(a^*vEv^*a).$$
7. *(partition of unity)*
$$(\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(E) = 1 = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(a^*vEv^*a).$$
8. *(Shapiro lemma) Set $\mu = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(U)$ and then μ is a unitary. If the weights $\psi \otimes \varphi$ and $\psi \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi$ are invariant for $\operatorname{Ad} v$ and $\operatorname{Ad}(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(v)$, respectively, then the following inequality holds.*
$$|v_F \gamma^\omega_F(\mu) - \mu \otimes F|_{\psi\otimes\varphi} < (9\delta^{1/4} + 3\kappa^{1/2})|F|_\varphi.$$

In this situation, we call a projection E and a unitary μ a *Rohlin projection* and a *Shapiro unitary*, respectively.

Lemma 5.10. *Let $\pi \in \mathcal{K}$. If $\|u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}} - 1 \otimes 1_\pi \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}}\|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} < \kappa$, then we have $\|a_\pi - 1 \otimes 1_\pi\|_{\psi\otimes\varphi} < \kappa$.*

Proof. Set a functional $\theta_\pi = T^*_{\pi,\overline{\pi}} \cdot T_{\pi,\overline{\pi}}$ on $B(H_\pi \otimes H_{\overline{\pi}})$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\psi \otimes \varphi_\pi)(|a_\pi - 1 \otimes 1_\pi|^2) &= d_\pi^2 (\psi \otimes \theta_\pi)(|a_\pi - 1 \otimes 1_\pi|^2 \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}}) \\ &= d_\pi^2 (\psi \otimes \theta_\pi)(|u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}} - 1 \otimes 1_\pi \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}}|^2) \\ &\leq (\psi \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi)(|u_{\pi,\overline{\pi}} - 1 \otimes 1_\pi \otimes 1_{\overline{\pi}}|^2) \\ &< \kappa^2,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $d_\pi^2 \theta_\pi \leq \varphi_\pi \otimes \varphi_{\overline{\pi}}$. □

Define a set $\mathfrak{J}$ which consists of a projection E in $M_\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ satisfying the conditions (1), (3), (4), (5), (6) in Theorem 5.9, and in addition, the following ones,

(a) $(\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(E) = (\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(vEv^*) \in \mathbb{C}K$,
(b) $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi_\rho)(E) = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi_\rho)(a^* vEva)$ for all $\rho \in \mathcal{K}$.

Define functions a and b from $\mathfrak{J}$ to $\mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$\begin{aligned} a_E &= |F|_\varphi^{-1} \big|\gamma_F(E) - (\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_K)(E)\big|_{\psi \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi}, \\ b_E &= |E|_{\psi \otimes \varphi}.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.11. *Let E be an element of $\mathfrak{J}$. Assume $b_E < 1 - \delta^{1/2}$. Then there exists $E' \in \mathfrak{J}$ satisfying the following inequalities.*

(1) $a_{E'} - a_E \leq 3\delta^{1/2}(b_{E'} - b_E)$,
(2) $0 < (\delta^{1/2}/2)|E' - E|_{\psi \otimes \varphi} \leq b_{E'} - b_E$.

Proof. We may assume that the entries of E, v and u are in S and S is α^ω-invariant. Take a projection e from $S' \cap M_\omega$ such that $(e \otimes 1_\rho)\gamma_\rho(e) = 0$ for all $\rho \in \overline{\mathcal{K}} \cdot \mathcal{K} \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\}$ by Lemma 5.3. Since e commutes with v, $(e \otimes 1_\rho)\alpha^\omega_\rho(e) = 0$ also holds. By Lemma 5.8, we have

$$(\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(\gamma_\rho(e)) = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(a_\rho^* \alpha^\omega_\rho(e) a_\rho) \in S' \cap M_\omega.$$

Let N be a von Neumann subalgebra in M^ω which is generated by M and the entries of $\{\alpha^\omega_\rho(e)\}_{\rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})}$. Applying the Fast Reindexation Trick for N and S, we have a map $\Psi \in \mathrm{Mor}(\widetilde{N}, M^\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$ as in Lemma 3.10. Set $f = \Psi(e)$ and then $f \in S' \cap M_\omega$. Since $(f \otimes 1_\rho)\alpha^\omega_\rho(f) = (\Psi \otimes \mathrm{id})((e \otimes 1_\rho)\alpha^\omega_\rho(e)) = 0$ for $\rho \in \overline{\mathcal{K}} \cdot \mathcal{K} \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\}$, the equality $(f \otimes 1_\rho)\gamma_\rho(f) = 0$ holds. Then by Lemma 5.8, we have

$$(\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(\gamma_\rho(f)) = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(a_\rho^* \alpha^\omega_\rho(f) a_\rho) = \Psi\big((\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(a_\rho^* \alpha^\omega_\rho(e) a_\rho)\big).$$

This shows the following splitting property of τ^ω for $x \in S \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$,

$$\begin{aligned} &(\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})\big(x((\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(\gamma_\rho(f)) \otimes 1)\big) \\ &= (\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})\big(x\big(\Psi\big((\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(a_\rho^* \alpha^\omega_\rho(e) a_\rho)\big) \otimes 1\big)\big) \\ &= (\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(x) \cdot (\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})\big(\Psi\big((\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(a_\rho^* \alpha^\omega_\rho(e) a_\rho)\big) \otimes 1\big) \\ &= (\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(x) \cdot \big(\tau^\omega((\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(\gamma_\rho(f))) \otimes 1\big).\end{aligned}$$

Set a projection $f' = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(\gamma_K(f))$ in $S' \cap M_\omega$. The equality $(\tau^\omega \otimes \tau_\rho) \circ \gamma_\rho = \tau^\omega$ yields $\tau^\omega((\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(\gamma_\rho(f))) = \tau_\omega(f) d_\rho^2$ for $\rho \in \mathcal{K}$, in particular $|f'|_\psi = |f'|_{\tau_\omega} = \tau_\omega(f)|K|_\varphi$. Then set a projection $E' \in M_\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ by

$$E' = E(f'^\perp \otimes 1) + \gamma_K(f).$$

We verify that E' is a desired projection. At first, we will show $E' \in \mathfrak{J}$. The condition (1) in Theorem 5.9 is trivial. The conditions (3), (4) and (5) hold by Lemma 5.7. The condition (6) follows from Lemma 5.8 on $\gamma_K(f)$. We verify the remained conditions (a) and (b). We claim $(\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(\gamma_K(f)) = \tau_\omega(f)K$. Indeed, by applying Proposition 2.10 to the action γ on M_ω, we have $(\tau_\omega \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \gamma_\rho = \tau_\omega$. Then the condition (a) is verified as

$$\begin{aligned}(\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(E') &= (\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(E(f'^\perp \otimes 1) + \gamma_K(f)) \\ &= (\tau_\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(E)(\tau_\omega(f'^\perp) \otimes 1) + \tau_\omega(f)K\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(vE'v^*) &= (\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(vEv^*(f'^\perp \otimes 1) + \alpha_K^\omega(f)) \\ &= (\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(vEv^*)(\tau_\omega(f'^\perp) \otimes 1) + \tau_\omega(f)K \\ &= (\tau_\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(E)(\tau_\omega(f'^\perp) \otimes 1) + \tau_\omega(f)K.\end{aligned}$$

Next we verify the condition (b). Since $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(\gamma_\rho(f)) = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(a^* \alpha^\omega(e) a_\rho)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(E'_\rho) &= (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(E_\rho) f'^\perp + (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(\gamma_\rho(f)) \\ &= (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(a^* v E v^* a_\rho) f'^\perp + (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(a^* \alpha^\omega(e) a_\rho) \\ &= (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(a^* v E' v^* a_\rho).\end{aligned}$$

Hence $E' \in \mathfrak{J}$. Now we estimate $a_{E'}$ and $b_{E'}$. First we have

$$\begin{aligned}|E' - E|_{\psi \otimes \varphi} &= |-E(f' \otimes 1) + \gamma_K(f)|_{\psi \otimes \varphi} \\ &\leq |E(f' \otimes 1)|_{\psi \otimes \varphi} + |\gamma_K(f)|_{\psi \otimes \varphi} \\ &= |(\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(E) f'|_\psi + |f'|_\psi \\ &\leq 2|f'|_\psi.\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}b_{E'} &= |E(f'^\perp \otimes 1) + \gamma_K(f)|_{\psi \otimes \varphi} \\ &= |E(f'^\perp \otimes 1)|_{\psi \otimes \varphi} + |\gamma_K(f)|_{\psi \otimes \varphi} \\ &= \psi(f'^\perp)|E|_{\psi \otimes \varphi} + |f'|_\psi \\ &= \psi(f'^\perp) b_E + |f'|_\psi,\end{aligned}$$

we have the condition (2) in Lemma 5.11 as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} b_{E'} - b_E &= \psi(f')(1 - b_E) \\ &> \delta^{1/2} |f'|_\psi \\ &\geq \frac{\delta^{1/2}}{2} |E' - E|_{\psi\otimes\varphi}. \end{aligned}$$

Secondly we verify the condition (1) in Lemma 5.11. By direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\gamma_F \otimes \mathrm{id})(E') - (\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_K)(E') \\ =& (\gamma_F \otimes \mathrm{id})(E(f'^{\perp} \otimes 1)) - (\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_K)(E(f'^{\perp} \otimes 1)) \\ &+ (\gamma_F \otimes \mathrm{id})(\gamma_K(f)) - (\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_K)(\gamma_K(f)) \\ =& (\gamma_F \otimes \mathrm{id})(E)(\gamma_F(f'^{\perp}) \otimes 1 - f'^{\perp} \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \qquad (5.3) \\ &+ (\gamma_F \otimes \mathrm{id})(E)(f'^{\perp} \otimes 1 \otimes 1) - (\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_K)(E(f'^{\perp} \otimes 1)) \qquad (5.4) \\ &+ (\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_K)(\gamma_{K^\perp}(f)). \qquad (5.5) \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the trace norms of the above three terms.

On (5.3), we know $[(\gamma_F \otimes \mathrm{id})(E), \gamma_F(f'^{\perp}) \otimes 1 - f'^{\perp} \otimes F \otimes 1] = 0$, and then

$$\begin{aligned} |(5.3)|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} &= \big|(\gamma_F \otimes \mathrm{id})(E)(\gamma_F(f'^{\perp}) \otimes 1 - f'^{\perp} \otimes F \otimes 1)\big|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} \\ &= (\psi \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi)\big((\gamma_F \otimes \mathrm{id})(E)\big(\big|\gamma_F(f'^{\perp}) - f'^{\perp} \otimes F\big| \otimes 1\big)\big) \\ &= (\psi \otimes \varphi)\big(\gamma_F((\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(E))\big|\gamma_F(f'^{\perp}) - f'^{\perp} \otimes F\big|\big) \\ &\leq (\psi \otimes \varphi)\big(\big|\gamma_F(f'^{\perp}) - f'^{\perp} \otimes F\big|\big) \\ &= (\psi \otimes \varphi)\big(\big|\gamma_F(f') - f' \otimes F\big|\big) \\ &= \big|(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)\big((\gamma_F \otimes \mathrm{id})(\gamma_K(f)) - (\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta)(\gamma_K(f))\big)\big|_{\psi\otimes\varphi} \\ &= \big|(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)\big(-(\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_{K^\perp})(\gamma_K(f)) + (\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_K)(\gamma_{K^\perp}(f))\big)\big|_{\psi\otimes\varphi} \\ &\leq \big|(\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_{K^\perp})(\gamma_K(f))\big|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} + \big|(\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_K)(\gamma_{K^\perp}(f))\big|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} \end{aligned}$$

holds. On the last terms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \big|(\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_{K^\perp})(\gamma_K(f))\big|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} &= (\psi \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi)\big((\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_{K^\perp})(\gamma_K(f))\big) \\ &= (\varphi \otimes \varphi)\big((\tau^\omega \otimes_F \Delta_{K^\perp})(\gamma_K(f))\big) \\ &= \tau_\omega(f)(\varphi \otimes \varphi)((F \otimes K^\perp)\Delta(K)) \\ &< |f|_\psi \delta |F|_\varphi |K|_\varphi \\ &= \delta |F|_\varphi |f'|_\psi, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the (F, δ)-invariance of K. Similarly we get

$$\big|(\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_K)(\gamma_{K^\perp}(f))\big|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} < \delta |F|_\varphi |f'|_\psi.$$

Hence we obtain

$$|(5.3)|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} < 2\delta |F|_\varphi |f'|_\psi.$$

On (5.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}|(5.4)|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} &= \big|\big((\gamma_F\otimes\mathrm{id})(E)-(\mathrm{id}\otimes_F\Delta_K)(E)\big)(f'^{\perp}\otimes 1\otimes 1)\big|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi}\\ &\leq \big|(\gamma_F\otimes\mathrm{id})(E)-(\mathrm{id}\otimes_F\Delta_K)(E)\big|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi}\\ &= |F|_{\varphi}a_E.\end{aligned}$$

On (5.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}|(5.5)|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} &= \big|(\mathrm{id}\otimes_F\Delta_K)(\gamma_{K^{\perp}}(f))\big|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi}\\ &= \psi(f)\big|{}_F\Delta_K(K^{\perp})\big|_{\varphi\otimes\varphi}\\ &< \delta|F|_{\varphi}|f'|_{\psi}.\end{aligned}$$

Summarizing these calculations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|F|_{\varphi}a_{E'} &\leq |(5.3)|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi}+|(5.4)|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi}+|(5.5)|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi}\\ &< 2\delta|F|_{\varphi}|f'|_{\psi}+|F|_{\varphi}a_E+\delta|F|_{\varphi}|f'|_{\psi}\\ &= |F|_{\varphi}a_E+3\delta|F|_{\varphi}|f'|_{\psi}\\ &\leq |F|_{\varphi}a_E+3\delta^{1/2}|F|_{\varphi}(b_{E'}-b_E).\end{aligned}$$

Hence the condition (1) in Lemma 5.11 holds. □

Proof of Theorem 5.9.

Consider a subset $\mathcal{S}\subset\mathcal{J}$ whose element E satisfies $a_E\leq 3\delta^{1/2}b_E$. We order $\mathcal{S}$ by $E\prec E'$ if $E=E'$ or the inequalities (1) and (2) in Lemma 5.11 hold. Since $\mathcal{S}$ contains 0, $\mathcal{S}$ is nonempty. The order of $\mathcal{S}$ is inductive as is shown below. By Lemma 5.11 (2), the map b is an order preserving isomorphism on a totally ordered subset $\mathcal{L}\subset\mathcal{S}$ onto a subset in $[0,1]$. Hence $\mathcal{L}$ is cofinal. Then again with (2), the cofinal subsequence of $\mathcal{L}$ strongly converges to a projection. We can easily observe that $\mathcal{S}$ is strongly closed. Hence the supremum of $\mathcal{L}$ exists in $\mathcal{S}$. By Zorn's lemma, there exists a maximal element $\overline{E}$ in $\mathcal{S}$. Assume $b_{\bar{E}}<1-\delta^{1/2}$ and then by Lemma 5.11 we can take an element $E'\in\mathcal{J}$ which satisfies the conditions in the lemma for $\overline{E}$. It is easy to see that $E'\in\mathcal{S}$, $\bar{E}\prec E'$ and $\bar{E}\neq E'$, but this is a contradiction. Hence we have $b_{\bar{E}}\geq 1-\delta^{1/2}$. Set a projection $p=1-(\mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi)(\overline{E})=1-(\mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi)(a^*v\overline{E}v^*a)$ in $S'\cap M_{\omega}$ and then we have $\tau_{\omega}(p)\leq\delta^{1/2}$. Then set a projection $E=\overline{E}+p\otimes e_{\mathbf{1}}$. Since $K\geq e_{\mathbf{1}}$, $E=E(1\otimes K)$. We verify all the conditions in Theorem 5.9. The conditions (3), (4), (5), (6), (7) are immediately verified.

On the condition (2), we estimate as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}&\big|\gamma_F(E)-(\mathrm{id}\otimes_F\Delta_K)(E)\big|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi}\\ &\leq \big|\gamma_F(\overline{E})-(\mathrm{id}\otimes_F\Delta_K)(\overline{E})\big|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi}+\big|\gamma_F(p)\otimes e_{\mathbf{1}}-p\otimes_F\Delta_K(e_{\mathbf{1}})\big|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi}\\ &\leq 3\delta^{1/2}|F|_{\varphi}b_{\overline{E}}+2|p|_{\psi}|F|_{\varphi}\\ &\leq 5\delta^{1/2}|F|_{\varphi}.\end{aligned}$$

Finally we show the condition (8). By the conditions (4) and (7), the element $\mu = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(U)$ is a unitary. We claim the following inequalities.

$$\|(a^* - 1 \otimes K)vE\|_{\psi\otimes\varphi} < \kappa, \tag{5.6}$$

$$\|\gamma_F\big((a^* - 1 \otimes K)vE\big)\|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} < \kappa\|F\|_\varphi, \tag{5.7}$$

$$\|(u_{F,K} - 1 \otimes F \otimes K)(\mathrm{id} \otimes\Delta)(vE)\|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} < (4\delta^{1/2} + \kappa^2)^{1/2}\|F\|_\varphi. \tag{5.8}$$

The inequality (5.7) is an immediate consequence from (5.6) since $\psi \otimes \tau_\pi \circ \gamma = \psi$ for all $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$. The inequality (5.6) is proved as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(a^* - 1 \otimes K)vE\|^2_{\psi\otimes\varphi} \\
&= (\psi \otimes \varphi)(Ev^*|a^* - 1 \otimes K|^2 vE) \\
&= (\psi \otimes \varphi)(Ev^*aa^*vE + E) - 2\Re(\psi \otimes \varphi)(Ev^*avE) \\
&= 2|E|_{\psi\otimes\varphi} - 2\Re(\psi \otimes \varphi)(v^*avE) \\
&= 2|E|_{\psi\otimes\varphi} - 2\Re(\psi \otimes \varphi)(avEv^*) \\
&= 2|E|_{\psi\otimes\varphi} - 2\Re(\phi \circ \tau^\omega \otimes \varphi)(avEv^*) \\
&= 2|E|_{\psi\otimes\varphi} - 2\Re(\phi \otimes \varphi)\big(a(\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(v\overline{E}v^* + p \otimes e_{\mathbf{1}})\big) \\
&= 2|E|_{\psi\otimes\varphi} - 2\Re(\phi \otimes \varphi)\big(a(b_{\overline{E}}|K|^{-1}_\varphi(1 \otimes K) + \tau_\omega(p)(1 \otimes e_{\mathbf{1}})\big) \\
&= 2|E|_{\psi\otimes\varphi} - 2\Re b_{\overline{E}}|K|^{-1}_\varphi(\phi \otimes \varphi)(a_K) - 2\tau_\omega(p) \\
&= b_{\overline{E}}|K|^{-1}_\varphi(2|K|_\varphi - 2\Re(\phi \otimes \varphi)(a_K)) \\
&\le |K|^{-1}_\varphi\|a_K - 1 \otimes K\|^2_{\phi\otimes\varphi} \\
&< \kappa^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 5.10. The inequality (5.8) is obtained as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(u_{F,K} - 1 \otimes F \otimes K)(\mathrm{id} \otimes\Delta)(vE)\|^2_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} \\
&= (\psi \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi)((\mathrm{id} \otimes\Delta)(Ev^*)|u_{F,K} - 1 \otimes F \otimes K|^2(\mathrm{id} \otimes\Delta)(vE)) \\
&= (\psi \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi)(|u_{F,K} - 1 \otimes F \otimes K|^2(\mathrm{id} \otimes\Delta)(vEv^*)) \\
&= (\phi \circ \tau^\omega \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi)(|u_{F,K} - 1 \otimes F \otimes K|^2(\mathrm{id} \otimes\Delta)(vEv^*)) \\
&= (\phi \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi)(|u_{F,K} - 1 \otimes F \otimes K|^2(\tau^\omega \otimes \Delta)(vEv^*)) \\
&= (\phi \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi)(|u_{F,K} - 1 \otimes F \otimes K|^2 \\
&\qquad\qquad \cdot (b_{\overline{E}}|K|^{-1}_\varphi(1 \otimes \Delta(K)) + \tau_\omega(p)(1 \otimes \Delta(e_{\mathbf{1}})))) \\
&\le b_{\overline{E}}|K|^{-1}_\varphi\|u_{F,K} - 1 \otimes F \otimes K\|^2_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} \\
&\qquad\qquad + \tau_\omega(p)\|(u_{F,K} - 1 \otimes F \otimes K)(1 \otimes \Delta(e_{\mathbf{1}}))\|^2_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} \\
&\le b_{\overline{E}}|K|^{-1}_\varphi\kappa^2|\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{K}| + 4\tau_\omega(p)\|1 \otimes_F \Delta_K(e_{\mathbf{1}})\|^2_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} \\
&\le \kappa^2 b_{\overline{E}}|K|^{-1}_\varphi|F|_\varphi|K|_\varphi + 4\tau_\omega(p)|F|_\varphi \\
&< \kappa^2|F|_\varphi + 4\delta^{1/2}|F|_\varphi.
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$v_F\gamma_F(\mu) - \mu \otimes F = w|v_F\gamma_F(\mu) - \mu \otimes F|$$

be the polar decomposition with the partial isometry $w \in M^\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|v_F\gamma_F(\mu) - \mu \otimes F|_{\psi\otimes\varphi} \\
&= (\psi \otimes \varphi)(w^*(v_F\gamma_F(\mu) - \mu \otimes F)) \\
&= (\psi \otimes \varphi)\big(w^*(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)((v_F \otimes K)\gamma_F(a^*vE) - (\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta)(a^*vE))\big) \\
&= (\psi \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi)\big((w^* \otimes K)(v_F \otimes K)\gamma_F((a^* - 1 \otimes K)vE) \qquad (5.9) \\
&\qquad + (w^* \otimes K)\big((v_F \otimes K)\gamma_F(v)(\gamma_F(E) - (\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_K)(E))\big) \qquad (5.10) \\
&\qquad + (w^* \otimes K)\big(\big((v_F \otimes K)\gamma_F(v) - (\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_K)(v)\big)(\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_K)(E)\big) \qquad (5.11) \\
&\qquad + (w^* \otimes 1)\big((\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_K)(vE) - (\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta)(vE)\big) \qquad (5.12) \\
&\qquad + (w^* \otimes 1)(\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta)((1 \otimes K - a^*)vE)\big). \qquad (5.13)
\end{aligned}
$$

On (5.9), using (5.7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|(5.9)| &= \big|(\psi \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi)\big((w^* \otimes K)(v_F \otimes K)\gamma_F((a^* - 1 \otimes K)vE)\big)\big| \\
&= \big|(\psi \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi)\big(\gamma_F(E)(w^* \otimes K)(v_F \otimes K)\gamma_F((a^* - 1 \otimes K)vE)\big)\big| \\
&\leq \big\|(v_F^* \otimes K)(w \otimes K)\gamma_F(E)\big\|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi}\big\|\gamma_F((a^* - 1 \otimes K)vE)\big\|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} \\
&\leq \|F\|_\varphi\big\|\gamma_F((a^* - 1 \otimes K)vE)\big\|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} \\
&< \kappa|F|_\varphi.
\end{aligned}
$$

On (5.10), the term $\gamma_F(E) - (\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_K)(E)$ is in the centralizer of the weight $\psi \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi$. Using approximate equivalence of E, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|(5.10)| &= \big|(\psi \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi)\big((w^* \otimes K)\big((v_F \otimes K)\gamma_F(v)(\gamma_F(E) - (\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_K)(E))\big)\big)\big| \\
&\leq \|(w^* \otimes K)(v_F \otimes K)\gamma_F(v)\|\big|\gamma_F(E) - (\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_K)(E)\big|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} \\
&< 5\delta^{1/2}|F|_\varphi.
\end{aligned}
$$

On (5.11), using $u = (v \otimes 1)\gamma(v)(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(v^*)$ and (5.8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|(5.11)| \\
&= \big|(\psi \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi)\big((w^* \otimes K)\big((v_F \otimes K)\gamma_F(v) - (\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_K)(v)\big)(\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_K)(E)\big)\big| \\
&= \big|(\psi \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi)\big((\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_K)(E)(w^* \otimes K)(u_{F,K} - 1 \otimes F \otimes K)(\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_K)(vE)\big)\big| \\
&\leq \|(w \otimes K)(\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_K)(E)\|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi}\|(u_{F,K} - 1 \otimes F \otimes K)(\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_K)(vE)\|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} \\
&< \|F\|_\varphi(4\delta^{1/2} + \kappa)^{1/2}\|F\|_\varphi \\
&= (4\delta^{1/2} + \kappa)^{1/2}|F|_\varphi.
\end{aligned}
$$

On (5.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|(5.12)| &= \bigl|(\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi)\bigl((w^*\otimes 1)\bigl((\mathrm{id}\otimes_F\Delta_K)(vE)-(\mathrm{id}\otimes_F\Delta)(vE)\bigr)\bigr)\bigr| \\
&= \bigl|(\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi)\bigl(-(w^*\otimes 1)(\mathrm{id}\otimes_F\Delta_{K^\perp})(vE)\bigr)\bigr| \\
&= \bigl|(\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi)\bigl(-(\mathrm{id}\otimes_F\Delta_{K^\perp})(E)(w^*\otimes 1)(\mathrm{id}\otimes_F\Delta_{K^\perp})(vE)\bigr)\bigr| \\
&\leq \|(w\otimes 1)(\mathrm{id}\otimes_F\Delta_{K^\perp})(E)\|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi}\|(\mathrm{id}\otimes_F\Delta_{K^\perp})(vE)\|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} \\
&\leq \|F\|_\varphi\|(\mathrm{id}\otimes_F\Delta_{K^\perp})(E)\|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} \\
&= \|F\|_\varphi(\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi)\bigl((\mathrm{id}\otimes_F\Delta_{K^\perp})(\overline{E}+p\otimes e_{\mathbf{1}})\bigr)^{1/2} \\
&= \|F\|_\varphi(\phi\circ\tau^\omega\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi)\bigl((\mathrm{id}\otimes_F\Delta_{K^\perp})(\overline{E}+p\otimes e_{\mathbf{1}})\bigr)^{1/2} \\
&= \|F\|_\varphi(\phi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi)\bigl((\mathrm{id}\otimes_F\Delta_{K^\perp})(b_{\overline{E}}|K|_\varphi^{-1}1\otimes K+\tau_\omega(p)\otimes e_{\mathbf{1}})\bigr)^{1/2} \\
&\leq \|F\|_\varphi\bigl(b_{\overline{E}}|K|_\varphi^{-1}(\varphi\otimes\varphi)((F\otimes K^\perp)\Delta(K))+\tau_\omega(p)|F|_\varphi\bigr)^{1/2} \\
&< \|F\|_\varphi\bigl(b_{\overline{E}}|K|_\varphi^{-1}\delta|F|_\varphi|K|_\varphi+\tau_\omega(p)|F|_\varphi\bigr)^{1/2} \\
&\leq |F|_\varphi(\delta+\delta^{1/2})^{1/2} \\
&< 2^{1/2}\delta^{1/4}|F|_\varphi.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally on (5.13), using (5.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|(5.13)| &= \bigl|(\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi)\bigl((w^*\otimes 1)(\mathrm{id}\otimes_F\Delta)((1\otimes K-a_K^*)vE)\bigr)\bigr| \\
&= \bigl|(\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi)\bigl((\mathrm{id}\otimes_F\Delta)(E)(w^*\otimes 1)(\mathrm{id}\otimes_F\Delta)((1\otimes K-a_K^*)vE)\bigr)\bigr| \\
&\leq \|(w\otimes 1)(\mathrm{id}\otimes_F\Delta)(E)\|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi}\|(\mathrm{id}\otimes_F\Delta)((1\otimes K-a_K^*)vE)\|_{\psi\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} \\
&\leq \|F\|_\varphi\|F\|_\varphi\|(1\otimes K-a_K^*)vE\|_{\psi\otimes\varphi} \\
&< \kappa|F|_\varphi.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|v_F\gamma_F(\mu)-\mu\otimes F|_{\psi\otimes\varphi} &\leq |(5.9)|+|(5.10)|+|(5.11)|+|(5.12)|+|(5.13)| \\
&< \bigl(\kappa+5\delta^{1/2}+(4\delta^{1/2}+\kappa)^{1/2}+2^{1/2}\delta^{1/4}+\kappa\bigr)|F|_\varphi \\
&\leq (9\delta^{1/4}+3\kappa^{1/2})|F|_\varphi.
\end{aligned}$$

□

6. COHOMOLOGY VANISHING II

6.1. **2-cohomology vanishing in McDuff factors of type II_1**

In Lemma 4.3, we have proved the 2-cohomology vanishing result in an ultraproduct von Neumann algebra. This result ensures the existence of a unitary v which is able to perturb a 2-cocycle u to a much smaller 2-cocycle $\widetilde{u}$, but the problem is how small v is. In the construction of v in the proof of Lemma 4.2, we see that v is not small even when u is small. It is, however, an approximate 1-cocycle and then the Rohlin type theorem enables us to perturb v to a small new unitary v' by a 1-coboundary constructed from a Shapiro unitary. Since the perturbation of v does not change 2-cocycle $\widetilde{u}$ essentially, we can perturb u to make it much smaller by a small unitary v'. Successive perturbations yield a vanishing result of 2-cocycles in the original von Neumann algebra. We mention that this strategy has been seen in the context of [Oc2].

From now on, we assume that M is a McDuff factor of type II_1 with the tracial state τ. Then the technical assumption in Theorem 5.9 (8) automatically stands up for $\phi = \tau$. The trace $\tau \circ \tau^\omega$ on M^ω is also denoted by τ. We choose a suitable net of Følner sets as follows. If $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ is finite, we set $F_0 = K_0 = 1$ and $\delta_0 = 0$. When $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ is infinite, for each $n \geq 0$ we will take finitely supported central projections F_n, K_n in $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ and $\delta_n > 0$ inductively such that

(1) $\{F_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and $\{K_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ are increasing and strongly converge to 1,
(2) $\{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is decreasing and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \delta_n = 0$,
(3) K_n is (F_n, δ_n)-invariant and $K_n \geq e_{\mathbf{1}}$,
(4) $(9\delta_n^{1/4} + 3\delta_{n+1}^{1/2})|F_n|_\varphi < (1/2)\delta_{n-1}$ $(n \geq 1)$.

Fix a sequence of ascending finitely supported central projections $\{S_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ in $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ with $S_0 = e_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\cup_{n=0}^\infty \mathrm{supp}(S_n) = \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$. Let $F_0 = e_{\mathbf{1}} = K_0$ and $\delta_0 = 1$. First take F_1 and $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $F_1 \geq F_0 \vee K_0 \vee \overline{K_0} \vee S_1$ and $9\delta_1^{1/4}|F_1|_\varphi < (1/2)\delta_0$. Then take an (F_1, δ_1)-invariant finitely supported central projection K_1 with $K_1 \geq e_{\mathbf{1}}$. Second take F_2 and $\delta_2 > 0$ such that $F_2 \geq F_1 \vee K_1 \vee \overline{K_1} \vee S_2$ and $(9\delta_1^{1/4} + 3\delta_2^{1/2})|F_1|_\varphi < (1/2)\delta_0$ and $9\delta_2^{1/4}|F_2|_\varphi < (1/2)\delta_1$. Suppose we have chosen finitely supported central projections F_n, K_n in $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ and $\delta_n > 0$ with $9\delta_n^{1/4}|F_n|_\varphi < (1/2)\delta_{n-1}$. Then take F_{n+1} and δ_{n+1} such that $F_{n+1} \geq F_n \vee K_n \vee \overline{K_n} \vee S_{n+1}$, $(9\delta_n^{1/4} + 3\delta_{n+1}^{1/2})|F_n|_\varphi < (1/2)\delta_{n-1}$ and $9\delta_{n+1}^{1/4}|F_{n+1}|_\varphi < (1/2)\delta_n$. Then take K_{n+1} which is (F_{n+1}, δ_{n+1})-invariant and $K_{n+1} \geq e_{\mathbf{1}}$. Set $\mathcal{F}_n = \mathrm{supp}(F_n)$ and $\mathcal{K}_n = \mathrm{supp}(K_n)$. Since $F_n \geq S_n$, $\cup_{n=0}^\infty \mathcal{F}_n = \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$.

Let (α, u) be an approximately inner cocycle action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on M. By Lemma 4.5, we can take a unitary v in $M^\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ such that $\alpha = \mathrm{Ad}\, v$ on $M \subset M^\omega$,

$$(v^* \otimes 1)\alpha^\omega(v^*)u(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(v) = 1,$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|(\phi \otimes \tau_\pi) \circ \mathrm{Ad}\, v_\pi^* \circ \alpha_\pi - \phi\| = 0 \quad \text{for all } \phi \in M_*,\ \pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G}).$$

Then $\gamma = \mathrm{Ad}\, v^* \circ \alpha^\omega$ is an action on M^ω fixing M and preserving M_ω. Assume that

$$\|u_{\pi,\rho} - 1 \otimes 1_\pi \otimes 1_\rho\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} < \delta_{n+1}$$

for all $\pi, \rho \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$. Then v is an approximate coboundary, and by Theorem 5.9 there exists a unitary $\mu \in M^\omega$ such that

$$|v_{F_n}\gamma_{F_n}(\mu) - \mu \otimes F_n|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} < (9\delta_n^{1/4} + 3\delta_{n+1}^{1/2})|F_n|_\varphi < \delta_{n-1}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mu \otimes F_n)v_{F_n}^* \alpha_{F_n}^\omega(\mu^*) - 1 \otimes F_n|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} &= |(\mu \otimes F_n)\gamma_{F_n}(\mu^*)v_{F_n}^* - 1 \otimes F_n|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} \\ &= |\mu \otimes F_n - v_{F_n}\gamma_{F_n}(\mu)|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} \\ &< \delta_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Set a perturbed unitary $\widetilde{v} = (\mu \otimes 1)v^*\alpha^\omega(\mu^*)$ and then we have

$$(\widetilde{v} \otimes 1)\alpha^\omega(\widetilde{v})u(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(\widetilde{v}^*) = 1,$$
$$|\widetilde{v}_{F_n} - 1 \otimes F_n|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} < \delta_{n-1}.$$

Let $(\widetilde{v}_m)_m$ be a representing sequence of $\widetilde{v}$. Then there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|((\widetilde{v}_m)_\pi \otimes 1_\rho)\alpha_\pi((\widetilde{v}_m)_\rho)u_{\pi,\rho}(\mathrm{id} \otimes_\pi \Delta_\rho)(\widetilde{v}_m^*) - 1 \otimes 1_\pi \otimes 1_\rho\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} < \delta_{n+2},$$
$$|\widetilde{v}_m(1 \otimes F_n) - 1 \otimes F_n|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} < \delta_{n-1}$$

for all $\pi, \rho \in \mathcal{F}_{n+2}$. Hence we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *Let M be a McDuff factor of type II_1 and (α, u) an approximately inner strongly free cocycle action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on M. If the inequality*

$$\|u_{\pi,\rho} - 1 \otimes 1_\pi \otimes 1_\rho\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} < \delta_{n+1}$$

holds for all $\pi, \rho \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$, then there exists a unitary $w \in M \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ such that

(1) for all $\pi, \rho \in \mathcal{F}_{n+2}$,

$$\left\|(w_\pi \otimes 1_\rho)\alpha_\pi(w_\rho)u_{\pi,\rho}(\mathrm{id} \otimes_\pi \Delta_\rho)(w^*) - 1 \otimes 1_\pi \otimes 1_\rho\right\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} < \delta_{n+2},$$

(2) $|w_{F_n} - 1 \otimes F_n|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} < \delta_{n-1}$.

Then as similar to the proof of [Oc1, Theorem 7.6], we obtain a 2-cohomology vanishing result in M.

Theorem 6.2 (2-cohomology vanishing theorem)**.** *Let M be a McDuff factor of type II_1 with the tracial state τ. Let (α, u) be an approximately inner strongly free cocycle action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on M. Then u is a coboundary. Moreover, assume for fixed $n \geq 2$, the inequality*

$$\|u_{\pi,\rho} - 1 \otimes 1_\pi \otimes 1_\rho\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} < \delta_{n+1}$$

holds for all $\pi, \rho \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$. Then there exists a unitary $w \in M \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ such that

(1) $(w \otimes 1)\alpha(w)u(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(w^*) = 1$,

(2) $|w_{F_n} - 1 \otimes F_n|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} < \delta_{n-2}$.

Proof. It suffices to prove the theorem only in the case that $\left\|u_{\pi,\rho} - 1 \otimes 1_\pi \otimes 1_\rho\right\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} < \delta_{n+1}$ holds for all $\pi, \rho \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$. By the previous lemma, there exists a unitary $w \in M \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ such that

(i) for all $\pi, \rho \in \mathcal{F}_{n+2}$,

$$\left\|(w_\pi \otimes 1_\rho)\alpha_\pi(w_\rho)u_{\pi,\rho}(\mathrm{id} \otimes_\pi \Delta_\rho)(w^*) - 1 \otimes 1_\pi \otimes 1_\rho\right\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} < \delta_{n+2},$$

(ii) $|w_{F_n} - 1 \otimes F_n|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} < \delta_{n-1}$.

Set $u^n = u$, $w^n = w$, $\alpha^{n+1} = \mathrm{Ad}\, w^n \circ \alpha$ and $u^{n+1} = (w_\pi \otimes 1_\rho)\alpha_\pi(w_\rho)u(\mathrm{id} \otimes_\pi \Delta_\rho)(w^*)$. Then (α^{n+1}, u^{n+1}) is a strongly free cocycle action on M with $\|u^{n+1}_{\pi,\rho} - 1 \otimes 1_\pi \otimes 1_\rho\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} < \delta_{n+2}$ for all $\pi, \rho \in \mathcal{F}_{n+2}$. With a repetition of the above argument, we get a family of cocycle actions $\{(\alpha^m, u^m)\}_{m\geq n}$ and unitaries $\{w^m\}_{m\geq n}$ satisfying the following conditions

$(1.m)$ $\alpha^{m+1} = \mathrm{Ad}\, w^m \circ \alpha^m$,

(2.m) $u^{m+1} = (w^m \otimes 1)\alpha^m(w^m)u^m(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)(w^{m*})$,
(3.m) $\|u^m_{\pi,\rho} - 1 \otimes 1_\pi \otimes 1_\rho\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} < \delta_{m+1}$ for all $\pi, \rho \in \mathcal{F}_{m+1}$,
(4.m) $|w^m_{F_m} - 1 \otimes F_m|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} < \delta_{m-1}$.
Then set $\overline{w}^m = w^m w^{m-1} \dots w^n$ and we have $\alpha^{m+1} = \mathrm{Ad}\,\overline{w}^m \circ \alpha$ and $u^{m+1} = (\overline{w}^m \otimes 1)\alpha(\overline{w}^m)u(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)(\overline{w}^{m*})$. By the condition (4.$m$), it is easy to see that the sequence of unitaries $\{\overline{w}^m\}_{m\geq n}$ strongly converges to a unitary $\overline{w}$. Then by (3.m), $(\overline{w} \otimes 1)\alpha(\overline{w})u(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)(\overline{w}^*) = 1$ holds. Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\overline{w}^m_{F_n} - 1 \otimes F_n|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} &\leq |w^m_{F_n} - 1 \otimes F_n|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} + \dots + |w^n_{F_n} - 1 \otimes F_n|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} \\ &< \delta_{m-1} + \dots + \delta_{n-1} \\ &\leq \delta_{n-1}(1 + 1/2 + 1/2^2 + \dots) \\ &< \delta_{n-2}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we are done. □

By virtue of 2-cohomology vanishing, we can show the following results.

Corollary 6.3. *Let M be a McDuff factor of type II_1 with the tracial state τ and α an approximately inner strongly free action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on M. Let v be a unitary in $M \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. Assume for fixed $n \geq 2$, the inequality*

$$\|(v_\pi \otimes 1_\rho)\alpha_\pi(v_\rho)(\mathrm{id}\otimes_\pi\Delta_\rho)(v^*) - 1 \otimes 1_\pi \otimes 1_\rho\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} < \delta_{n+1}$$

holds for all $\pi, \rho \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$. Then there exists a unitary $w \in M \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ such that
(1) $(wv \otimes 1)\alpha(wv)(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)(((wv)^*) = 1$,
(2) $|w_{F_n} - 1 \otimes F_n|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} < \delta_{n-2}$.

Proof. Let $\widetilde{\alpha} = \mathrm{Ad}\,v \circ \alpha$ and $\widetilde{u} = (v \otimes 1)\alpha(v)(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)(v^*)$. Apply the previous theorem to the cocycle action $(\widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{u})$ and it is done. □

With Lemma 4.6 and the previous corollary, we can show the following result.

Corollary 6.4. *Let M be a McDuff factor of type II_1 with the tracial state τ, and α, β approximately inner strongly free actions. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, finite sets $\mathcal{F} \Subset \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ and $T \Subset M$, there exists an α-cocycle v satisfying*

$$\left\|\beta_\pi(x) - \mathrm{Ad}\,v_\pi(\alpha_\pi(x))\right\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} < \varepsilon$$

for all $\pi \in \mathcal{F}$ and $x \in T$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.6, we can find an α^ω-cocycle $W \in M^\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ with $\beta = \mathrm{Ad}\,W \circ \alpha$ on M. Let $(w^\nu)_{\nu=0}^\infty$ be a representing sequence of W. Since $(W \otimes 1)\alpha^\omega(W)(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)(W^*) = 1$, for all $\pi, \rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ we have

$$\lim_{\nu\to\omega}\left\|(w^\nu_\pi \otimes 1_\rho)\alpha_\pi(w^\nu_\rho)(\mathrm{id}\otimes_\pi\Delta_\rho)(w^{\nu*}) - 1 \otimes 1_\pi \otimes 1_\rho\right\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} = 0.$$

Take a large n so that $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}_n$ and $\sup_{x\in T}(1 + 2\sqrt{2}\|x\|)\delta_{n-2}^{1/2} < \varepsilon$. Also take a large ν so that $\left\|\beta_\pi(x) - \mathrm{Ad}\,w^\nu_\pi(\alpha_\pi(x))\right\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} < \delta_{n-2}$ and

$$\left\|(w^\nu_\pi \otimes 1_\rho)\alpha_\pi(w^\nu_\rho)(\mathrm{id}\otimes_\pi\Delta_\rho)(w^{\nu*}) - 1 \otimes 1_\pi \otimes 1_\rho\right\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} < \delta_{n+1}$$

for all $\pi, \rho \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$ and $x \in T$. Apply the previous corollary and then we have a unitary $\overline{v} \in M \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ such that $|\overline{v}_{F_n} - 1 \otimes F_n|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} < \delta_{n-2}$ and $\overline{v}w^\nu$ is an α-cocycle. Set $v = \overline{v}w^\nu$ and then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\beta_\pi(x) - \operatorname{Ad} v_\pi(\alpha_\pi(x))\right\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} \\
&\leq \left\|\beta_\pi(x) - \operatorname{Ad} w_\pi^\nu(\alpha_\pi(x))\right\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} + \left\|\operatorname{Ad} w_\pi^\nu(\alpha_\pi(x)) - \operatorname{Ad} v_\pi(\alpha_\pi(x))\right\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} \\
&\leq \left\|\beta_\pi(x) - \operatorname{Ad} w_\pi^\nu(\alpha_\pi(x))\right\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} + 2\|w_\pi^\nu - v_\pi\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi}\|x\| \\
&= \left\|\beta_\pi(x) - \operatorname{Ad} w_\pi^\nu(\alpha_\pi(x))\right\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} + 2\|1 \otimes 1_\pi - \overline{v}_\pi\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi}\|x\| \\
&\leq \left\|\beta_\pi(x) - \operatorname{Ad} w_\pi^\nu(\alpha_\pi(x))\right\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} + 2\sqrt{2}|1 \otimes 1_\pi - \overline{v}_\pi|_{\tau\otimes\varphi}^{1/2}\|x\| \\
&< \delta_{n-2} + 2\sqrt{2}\delta_{n-2}^{1/2}\|x\| \\
&< \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\pi \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and $x \in T$. ☐

6.2. **Shapiro unitary**

We represent a Rohlin type theorem for two actions in order to study a commutation property of a Shapiro unitary, which is stated in Theorem 6.5 (9). We will explain a motivation for the study by considering a simple case. Let α be an action on a von Neumann algebra M and v an α-cocycle. Put $\beta = \operatorname{Ad} v \circ \alpha$. Let $K \in \operatorname{Proj}(Z(L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})))$ as before. Assume that α^ω has a Rohlin projection $E \in M^\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})K$ in the sense of Theorem 5.9. In addition, we assume that the projection vEv^* is a Rohlin projection for β. Now take a finite subset $T \subset M$. Suppose that $[E_\rho \otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}}, \alpha_\rho(\alpha_{\overline{\rho}}(x))] = 0$ and $[v_\rho \otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}}, \alpha_\rho(\alpha_{\overline{\rho}}(x))] = 0$ for all $x \in T$ and $\rho \in \mathcal{K}$. Then the Shapiro unitary $\mu = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi)(vE)$ commutes with all $x \in T$. We present an approximate version of the above argument.

Theorem 6.5. *Let M be a McDuff factor of type II_1 with the tracial state τ and α an approximately inner strongly free action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on M. Let $0 < \delta < 1$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $F \in \operatorname{Projf}(Z(L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})))$. Take an (F, δ)-invariant $K \in \operatorname{Projf}(Z(L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})))$ with $K \geq e_{\mathbf{1}}$. Set $\mathcal{F} = \operatorname{supp}(F)$ and $\mathcal{K} = \operatorname{supp}(K)$. Let v be a unitary α-cocycle in $M \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ and set a perturbed strongly free action $\beta = \operatorname{Ad} v \circ \alpha$. Then for any countable set $S \subset M^\omega$ and a finite subset $T \subset M_1$, there exist projections E^α and E^β in $M^\omega \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ satisfying the following conditions.*

(1) $E^\alpha = E^\alpha(1 \otimes K)$, $E^\beta = E^\beta(1 \otimes K)$.

(2) The following splitting properties of τ^ω,

$$(\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(xE^\alpha) = (\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(x)(\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(E^\alpha),$$
$$(\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(xE^\beta) = (\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(x)(\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(E^\beta)$$

hold for all $x \in S \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})K$.

(3) (approximate equivariance)

$$\left|\alpha_F^\omega(E^\alpha) - (\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_K)(E^\alpha)\right|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} < 5\delta^{1/2}|F|_\varphi,$$
$$\left|\beta_F^\omega(E^\beta) - (\mathrm{id} \otimes_F \Delta_K)(E^\beta)\right|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} < 5\delta^{1/2}|F|_\varphi.$$

(4) *Decompose E^α and E^β as*

$$E^\alpha = \sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{K}} \sum_{i,j\in I_\rho} d_\rho^{-1} f^\alpha_{\overline{\rho}_{i,j}} \otimes e_{\rho_{i,j}},$$

$$E^\beta = \sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{K}} \sum_{i,j\in I_\rho} d_\rho^{-1} f^\beta_{\overline{\rho}_{i,j}} \otimes e_{\rho_{i,j}}.$$

Then $\{f^\alpha_{\overline{\rho}_{i,j}}\}$ and $\{f^\beta_{\overline{\rho}_{i,j}}\}$ satisfy

$$f^\alpha_{\overline{\rho}_{i,j}} f^\alpha_{\overline{\pi}_{k,\ell}} = \delta_{\rho,\pi}\delta_{j,k} f^\alpha_{\overline{\rho}_{i,\ell}}, \quad f^\beta_{\overline{\rho}_{i,j}} f^\beta_{\overline{\pi}_{k,\ell}} = \delta_{\rho,\pi}\delta_{j,k} f^\beta_{\overline{\rho}_{i,\ell}}$$

for all $\rho,\pi\in\mathcal{K}$, $i,j\in I_\rho$ and $k,\ell\in I_\pi$.

(5) *(joint property of U) Set $U = vE^\alpha$ and decompose U as*

$$U = \sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{K}} \sum_{i,j\in I_\rho} d_\rho^{-1} \mu_{\overline{\rho}_{i,j}} \otimes e_{\rho_{i,j}}.$$

Then we have

$$\mu^*_{\overline{\rho}_{i,j}} \mu_{\overline{\pi}_{k,\ell}} = \delta_{\rho,\pi}\delta_{i,k} f^\alpha_{\overline{\rho}_{j,\ell}},$$

$$\mu_{\overline{\rho}_{i,j}} \mu^*_{\overline{\pi}_{k,\ell}} = \delta_{\rho,\pi}\delta_{j,\ell} f^\beta_{\overline{\rho}_{i,k}}$$

for all $\rho,\pi\in\mathcal{K}$, $i,j\in I_\rho$ and $k,\ell\in I_\pi$. In particular, $U^*U = E^\alpha$ and $UU^* = E^\beta$ holds.

(6) *For each $\rho\in\mathcal{K}$, the projections $(\mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi)(E^\alpha_\rho)$ and $(\mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi)(E^\beta_\rho)$ are equal. In addition, they are in $S'\cap M_\omega$.*

(7) *(partition of unity)*

$$(\mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi)(E^\alpha) = 1 = (\mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi)(E^\beta).$$

(8) *(Shapiro lemma) Set $\mu = (\mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi)(U)$ and then μ is a unitary satisfying*

$$|v_F\alpha^\omega(\mu) - \mu\otimes F|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} < 9\delta^{1/4}|F|_\varphi.$$

(9) *Further assume*

$$\|\beta_\pi(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}}(x)) - \alpha_\pi(\alpha_{\overline{\pi}}(x))\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} < \varepsilon$$

for all $x\in T$ and $\pi\in\mathcal{K}$, then the unitary μ satisfies

$$|[\mu,x]|_\tau < \varepsilon$$

for all $x\in T$.

Proof. As in Lemma 4.5, we take a unitary $V\in M^\omega\otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ such that $\alpha = \mathrm{Ad}\,V$ on M and V^* is an α^ω-cocycle. Set the strongly free action $\gamma = \mathrm{Ad}\,V^*\circ\alpha^\omega$. We use the same notations in Theorem 5.9. We may assume that S contains the entries of $\alpha_\pi(x)$ for all $\pi\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ and $x\in T$. Recall the set $\mathfrak{J}$ defined in §5.3. We denote by $\mathfrak{I}$ the subset of $\mathfrak{J}$ whose elements satisfy the following conditions. For $\overline{E}\in\mathfrak{I}$,

(i) $[\overline{E},\gamma(x)] = 0$ for all $x\in S$.

(ii) The projections $\overline{E}^\alpha := V\overline{E}V^*$ and $\overline{E}^\beta := vV\overline{E}V^*v^*$ satisfy the conditions (1), (2), (4), (5) and (6) in Theorem 6.5.

(iii) The equality $(\mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi)(\overline{E}_\rho^\alpha) = (\mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi)(\overline{E}_\rho) = (\mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi)(\overline{E}_\rho^\beta)$ holds for all $\rho \in \mathcal{K}$.

(iv) $(\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(\overline{E}^\alpha) = b_{\overline{E}}|K|_\varphi^{-1}K = (\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(\overline{E}^\beta)$.

Recall a subset $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{J}$ defined in the proof of Theorem 5.9. Then we can easily see that $\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{S}$ is an inductive ordered set as similar to the proof of Theorem 5.9. Let $\overline{E}$ be a maximal element of $\mathcal{I}$. Since the proof of Lemma 5.11 is applicable for $\mathcal{I}$ with the additional assumption (i), the projection $p = 1 - (\mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi)(\overline{E})$ satisfies $\tau_\omega(p) \leq \delta^{1/2}$. Let $E^\alpha = VEV^*$ and $E^\beta = vVEV^*v^*$ where $E = \overline{E} + p \otimes e_{\mathbf{1}}$. Then the conditions (1), (2), (4), (5), (6), (7) of Theorem 6.5 are satisfied. Since V^* is an α^ω-cocycle, the condition (3) follows from the tracial property of τ. On (8), a similar proof to that of Theorem 5.9 (8) is applicable to (α, E^α) and an α-cocycle v. Finally we verify (9). For $\rho \in \mathcal{K}$ and $x \in T$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|[v_\rho \otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}}, \alpha_\rho(\alpha_{\overline{\rho}}(x))](\overline{E}_\rho^\alpha \otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}})\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi}^2 \\
&= (\tau \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi)\big((\overline{E}_\rho^\alpha \otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}})|[v_\rho \otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}}, \alpha_\rho(\alpha_{\overline{\rho}}(x))]|^2(\overline{E}_\rho^\alpha \otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}})\big) \\
&= (\tau \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi)\big(|[v_\rho \otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}}, \alpha_\rho(\alpha_{\overline{\rho}}(x))]|^2((\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(\overline{E}_\rho^\alpha) \otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}})\big) \\
&= (\tau \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi)\big(|[v_\rho \otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}}, \alpha_\rho(\alpha_{\overline{\rho}}(x))]|^2(b_{\overline{E}}|K|_\varphi^{-1}1_\rho \otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}})\big) \\
&= b_{\overline{E}}|K|_\varphi^{-1}\big\|[v_\rho \otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}}, \alpha_\rho(\alpha_{\overline{\rho}}(x))]\big\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi}^2 \\
&< \varepsilon^2|K|_\varphi^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using it, for $\rho \in \mathcal{K}$ and $x \in T$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|[U_\rho \otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}}, \alpha_\rho(\alpha_{\overline{\rho}}(x))]|_{\tau\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} &= |[vE^\alpha \otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}}, \alpha_\rho(\alpha_{\overline{\rho}}(x))]|_{\tau\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} \\
&= |[v\overline{E}^\alpha \otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}}, \alpha_\rho(\alpha_{\overline{\rho}}(x))]|_{\tau\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} \\
&\leq |[v_\rho \otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}}, \alpha_\rho(\alpha_{\overline{\rho}}(x))](\overline{E}_\rho^\alpha \otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}})|_{\tau\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} \\
&\qquad + |(v_\rho \otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}})[\overline{E}_\rho^\alpha \otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}}, \alpha_\rho(\alpha_{\overline{\rho}}(x))]|_{\tau\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} \\
&= |[v_\rho \otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}}, \alpha_\rho(\alpha_{\overline{\rho}}(x))](\overline{E}_\rho^\alpha \otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}})|_{\tau\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} \\
&\leq \|[v_\rho \otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}}, \alpha_\rho(\alpha_{\overline{\rho}}(x))](\overline{E}_\rho^\alpha \otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}})\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} \\
&\qquad \cdot \|\overline{E}_\rho^\alpha \otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}}\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} \\
&< \varepsilon\|K\|_\varphi^{-1}\|\overline{E}_\rho^\alpha\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi}d_\rho \\
&= \varepsilon\|K\|_\varphi^{-1}b_{\overline{E}}^{1/2}\|K\|_\varphi^{-1}d_\rho^2 \\
&\leq \varepsilon d_\rho^2|K|_\varphi^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Set a state $\theta_\rho = T_{\rho,\overline{\rho}}^* \cdot T_{\rho,\overline{\rho}}$ on $B(H_\rho \otimes H_{\overline{\rho}})$. Then we have $\varphi_\rho(a) = d_\rho^2\theta_\rho(a \otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}})$ for all $a \in B(H_\rho)$ and $d_\rho^2\theta_\rho \leq \varphi_\rho \otimes \varphi_{\overline{\rho}}$ as positive functionals. We claim that

$$d_\rho^2|(\mathrm{id}\otimes\theta_\rho)(x)|_\tau \leq |x|_{\tau\otimes\varphi_\rho\otimes\varphi_{\overline{\rho}}} \quad \text{for all } x \in M^\omega \otimes B(H_\rho \otimes H_{\overline{\rho}}).$$

Let $(\mathrm{id}\otimes\theta_\rho)(x) = w|(\mathrm{id}\otimes\theta_\rho)(x)|$ and $x = w'|x|$ be the polar decompositions. Then the claim is verified as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_\rho^2|(\mathrm{id}\otimes\theta_\rho)(x)|_\tau\\
=\,&d_\rho^2\tau(w^*(\mathrm{id}\otimes\theta_\rho)(x))\\
=\,&d_\rho^2(\tau\otimes\theta_\rho)((w^*\otimes 1_\rho\otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}})x)\\
=\,&d_\rho^2(\tau\otimes\theta_\rho)((w^*\otimes 1_\rho\otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}})w'|x|^{1/2}|x|^{1/2})\\
\leq\,&d_\rho^2(\tau\otimes\theta_\rho)\big((w^*\otimes 1_\rho\otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}})w'|x|w'^*(w\otimes 1_\rho\otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}})\big)^{1/2}(\tau\otimes\theta_\rho)(|x|)^{1/2}\\
\leq\,&(\tau\otimes\varphi_\rho\otimes\varphi_{\overline{\rho}})\big((w^*\otimes 1_\rho\otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}})w'|x|w'^*(w\otimes 1_\rho\otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}})\big)^{1/2}(\tau\otimes\varphi_\rho\otimes\varphi_{\overline{\rho}})(|x|)^{1/2}\\
=\,&(\tau\otimes\varphi_\rho\otimes\varphi_{\overline{\rho}})\big(|x|^{1/2}w'^*(ww^*\otimes 1_\rho\otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}})w'|x|^{1/2}\big)^{1/2}(\tau\otimes\varphi_\rho\otimes\varphi_{\overline{\rho}})(|x|)^{1/2}\\
\leq\,&(\tau\otimes\varphi_\rho\otimes\varphi_{\overline{\rho}})(|x|)^{1/2}(\tau\otimes\varphi_\rho\otimes\varphi_{\overline{\rho}})(|x|)^{1/2}\\
=\,&|x|_{\tau\otimes\varphi_\rho\otimes\varphi_{\overline{\rho}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|[\mu,x]|_\tau &= |[(\mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi)(U),x]|_\tau\\
&=\Big|\sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{K}}\big[d_\rho^2(\mathrm{id}\otimes\theta_\rho)(U_\rho\otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}}),x\big]\Big|_\tau\\
&=\Big|\sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{K}}d_\rho^2(\mathrm{id}\otimes\theta_\rho)([U_\rho\otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}},\alpha_\rho(\alpha_{\overline{\rho}}(x))])\Big|_\tau\\
&\leq\sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{K}}\big|d_\rho^2(\mathrm{id}\otimes\theta_\rho)([U_\rho\otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}},\alpha_\rho(\alpha_{\overline{\rho}}(x))])\big|_\tau\\
&\leq\sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{K}}\big|[U_\rho\otimes 1_{\overline{\rho}},\alpha_\rho(\alpha_{\overline{\rho}}(x))]\big|_{\tau\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi}\\
&\leq\sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{K}}\varepsilon d_\rho^2|K|_\varphi^{-1}\\
&=\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Although a 1-cohomology does not vanish in M in general, it approximately vanishes. The following theorem shows the approximate vanishing with a commutation property of a Shapiro unitary. Since it is easily proved by considering a representing sequence of μ in the previous theorem, we omit the proof.

Theorem 6.6 (Approximate vanishing of 1-cohomology)**.** *Let M be a McDuff factor of type II_1 with the tracial state τ and α a strongly free action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on M. Let $F\in\mathrm{Projf}(Z(L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})))$ and $\delta,\varepsilon>0$. Take an (F,δ)-invariant $K\in\mathrm{Projf}(Z(L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})))$ with $K\geq e_{\mathbf{1}}$. Let T be a finite subset in the unit ball of M. If an α-cocycle v satisfies*

$$\|\operatorname{Ad}v_\rho\circ\alpha_\rho(\alpha_{\overline{\rho}}(x))-\alpha_\rho(\alpha_{\overline{\rho}}(x))\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi}<\varepsilon$$

for all $x \in T$ *and* $\rho \in K$*,then there exists a unitary* w *in* M *satisfying*

(i) $|v_F - (w \otimes 1)\alpha_F(w^*)|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} < 9\delta^{1/4}|F|_\varphi$,

(ii) $\|[w, x]\|_\tau < \varepsilon$, $x \in T$.

7. MAIN THEOREM

7.1. **Intertwining argument**

For a proof of the cocycle conjugacy of two actions, we make use of so-called an intertwining argument initiated by Evans-Kishimoto in [EK]. The results Corollary 6.4 and Theorem 6.6 are necessary for the argument. We briefly explain the outline. Let $\gamma^0 := \alpha$ and $\gamma^{-1} := \beta$ be approximately inner strongly free actions on M. First by Corollary 6.4, we perturb the action γ^{-1} to γ^1 by a γ^{-1}-cocycle v^1 so that γ^1 is close to γ^0. Second by Corollary 6.4, we perturb the action γ^0 to γ^2 by a γ^0-cocycle v^2 so that γ^2 is close to γ^1. We construct families of actions and 1-cocycles inductively and achieve the equality at the limit. However in that process, we have to use Theorem 6.6 in order to treat successive multiplications of unitaries.

Theorem 7.1. *Let* M *be a McDuff factor of type* II_1*. Let* α *and* β *be approximately inner strongly free actions of* $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ *on* M*. Then they are cocycle conjugate, that is, there exist an automorphism* θ *in* $\overline{\mathrm{Int}}(M)$ *and an* α*-cocycle* v *with*

$$\operatorname{Ad} v \circ \alpha = (\theta^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \beta \circ \theta.$$

Proof. Let $S = \{a_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a strongly dense countable subset of the unit ball of M. Put $S_n = \{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\varepsilon_n = 2^{-n}$. Take the sequences of the finitely supported central projections $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, $\{K_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and the positive numbers $\{\delta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ as in §6.1. Set $\gamma^0 = \alpha$, $\gamma^{-1} = \beta$, $\overline{u}_{-1} = \overline{u}_0 = 1$, $\theta_0 = \theta_{-1} = \mathrm{id} \in \mathrm{Int}(M)$ and $T_0 = \{1\} \Subset M$. For each $n \geq 1$, we construct inductively the following members.

(i) an action γ^n of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on M,
(ii) $w_n \in U(M)$,
(iii) $\theta_n \in \mathrm{Int}(M)$,
(iv) an $\operatorname{Ad}(w_n \otimes 1) \circ \gamma^{n-2} \circ \operatorname{Ad} w_n^*$-cocycle u^n,
(v) a $(\theta_n \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \gamma^{\overline{n}} \circ \theta_n^{-1}$-cocycle $\overline{u}^n$, where $\overline{n}$ is equal to 0 or -1 according to that n is even or odd respectively,
(vi) a finite subset $T_n \Subset M$.

The induction conditions are

(1,n) $\|\gamma_\rho^n(x) - \gamma_\rho^{n-1}(x)\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} < \varepsilon_n$ for $x \in S_n$ and $\rho \in \mathcal{F}_n$ $(n \geq 1)$,
(2,n) $\|\gamma_\rho^n(\gamma_{\overline{\rho}}^k(x)) - \gamma_\rho^{n-1}(\gamma_{\overline{\rho}}^k(x))\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} < \varepsilon_n$ for all $x \in T_{n-1}$, $\rho \in \mathcal{K}_n \cup \mathcal{K}_{n+1}$ and $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ $(n \geq 2)$,
(3,n) $|u_{F_n}^n - 1 \otimes F_n|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} < 9\delta_n^{1/2}|F_n|_\varphi$ $(n \geq 3)$,
(4,n) $\|[w_n, x]\|_\tau < \varepsilon_{n-2}$, for $x \in T_{n-2}$ $(n \geq 3)$,
(5,n) $\overline{u}^n = u^n(w_n \otimes 1)\overline{u}^{n-2}(w_n^* \otimes 1)$ $(n \geq 1)$,
(6,n) $\theta_n = \operatorname{Ad} w_n \circ \theta_{n-2}$ $(n \geq 1)$,
(7,n) $\gamma^n = \operatorname{Ad} u^n \circ \operatorname{Ad}(w_n \otimes 1) \circ \gamma^{n-2} \circ \operatorname{Ad} w_n^*$ $(n \geq 1)$,
(8,n) $T_n = T_{n-1} \cup S_n \cup \theta_n(S_n) \cup \{\overline{u}_{\pi_{i,j}}^n \mid \pi \in \mathcal{F}_n, i, j \in I_\pi\}$ $(n \geq 1)$.

1st step.

Since α and β are approximately inner and strongly free, there exists a γ^{-1}-cocycle v^1 with $\left\| \operatorname{Ad} v^1_\rho(\gamma^{-1}_\rho(x)) - \gamma^0_\rho(x)\right\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} < \varepsilon_1$ for all $x \in S_1$ and $\rho \in \mathcal{F}_1$ by Corollary 6.4. Applying Theorem 6.6 to v^1, we can take a unitary $w_1 \in M$ with $\left|v^1_{F_1} - (w_1 \otimes 1)\gamma^{-1}_{F_1}(w_1^*)\right|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} < 9\delta_1^{1/4}|F_1|_\varphi$. Then set $u^1 = \overline{u}^1 = v^1\gamma^{-1}(w_1)(w_1^* \otimes 1)$ and it is an $\operatorname{Ad}(w_1 \otimes 1) \circ \gamma^{-1} \circ \operatorname{Ad} w_1^*$-cocycle. Set an action $\gamma^1 = \operatorname{Ad} v^1 \circ \gamma^{-1} = \operatorname{Ad} u^1 \circ \operatorname{Ad}(w_1 \otimes 1) \circ \gamma^{-1} \circ \operatorname{Ad} w_1^*$, an automorphism $\theta_1 = \operatorname{Ad} w_1$ and T_1 as in $(8, 1)$.

2nd step.

Next take a γ^0-cocycle v^2 with

$$\left\| \operatorname{Ad} v^2_\rho(\gamma^0_\rho(x)) - \gamma^1_\rho(x)\right\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} < \varepsilon_2 \quad \text{for all } x \in S_2,\ \rho \in \mathcal{F}_2,$$

$$\left\| \operatorname{Ad} v^2_\rho \circ \gamma^0_\rho(\gamma^k_{\overline{\rho}}(x)) - \gamma^1_\rho(\gamma^k_{\overline{\rho}}(x))\right\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} < \varepsilon_2 \quad \text{for all } x \in T_1,\ \rho \in \mathcal{K}_2 \cup \mathcal{K}_3,\ k = 0, 1$$

by Corollary 6.4. Then also by Theorem 6.6, we can take a unitary w_2 with $\left|v^2_{F_2} - (w_2 \otimes 1)\gamma^0_{F_2}(w_2^*)\right|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} < 9\delta_2^{1/4}|F_2|_\varphi$. Set $u^2 = v^2\gamma^0(w_2)(w_2^* \otimes 1)$ and $\gamma^2 = \operatorname{Ad} v^2 \circ \gamma^0$. Set $\theta_2 = \operatorname{Ad} w_2$, $\overline{u}^2 = u^2$ and T_2 as in $(8, 2)$.

$(n+1)$ -st step.

Suppose that we have done up to n-th step. By Corollary 6.4, we can take a γ^{n-1}-cocycle v^{n+1} with

$$\left\| \operatorname{Ad} v^{n+1}_\rho(\gamma^{n-1}_\rho(x)) - \gamma^n_\rho(x)\right\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} < \varepsilon_{n+1} \quad \text{for all } x \in S_{n+1},\ \rho \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$$

and for $0 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\left\| \operatorname{Ad} v^{n+1}_\rho \circ \gamma^{n-1}_\rho(\gamma^k_{\overline{\rho}}(x)) - \gamma^n_\rho(\gamma^k_{\overline{\rho}}(x))\right\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} < \varepsilon_{n+1} \text{ for all } x \in T_n,\ \rho \in \mathcal{K}_{n+1} \cup \mathcal{K}_{n+2}.$$

Since we have the condition (2,n) (with $k = n - 1$), i.e.,

$$\left\|\gamma^n_\rho(\gamma^{n-1}_{\overline{\rho}}(x)) - \gamma^{n-1}_\rho(\gamma^{n-1}_{\overline{\rho}}(x))\right\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} < \varepsilon_n \quad \text{for all } x \in T_{n-1},\ \rho \in \mathcal{K}_n \cup \mathcal{K}_{n+1},$$

we obtain for all $x \in T_{n-1}$ and $\rho \in \mathcal{K}_{n+1}$,

$$\left\| \operatorname{Ad} v^{n+1}_\rho \circ \gamma^{n-1}_\rho(\gamma^{n-1}_{\overline{\rho}}(x)) - \gamma^{n-1}_\rho(\gamma^{n-1}_{\overline{\rho}}(x))\right\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi\otimes\varphi} < \varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_{n+1}.$$

Then by Theorem 6.6, there exists a unitary w_{n+1} in M with

$$\left|v^{n+1}_{F_{n+1}} - (w_{n+1} \otimes 1)\gamma^{n-1}_{F_{n+1}}(w_{n+1}^*)\right|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} < 9\delta_{n+1}^{1/4}|F_{n+1}|_\varphi$$

and

$$|[w_{n+1}, x]|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} < \varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_{n+1} < \varepsilon_{n-1} \quad \text{for all } x \in T_{n-1}.$$

Set $u^{n+1} = v^{n+1}\gamma^{n-1}(w_{n+1})(w_{n+1}^* \otimes 1)$ and $\gamma^{n+1} = \operatorname{Ad} v^{n+1} \circ \gamma^{n-1}$. Set $\overline{u}^{n+1}$, θ_{n+1} and T_{n+1} as in $(5, n+1)$, $(6, n+1)$ and $(8, n+1)$, respectively. Then all the conditions have been verified. Thus we have constructed the members in (i),. . . ,(vi) inductively.

We show the existence of $\lim_{m\to\infty}\theta_{2m}$ and $\lim_{m\to\infty}\theta_{2n+1}$. Let $x\in S_n$. For $m\geq n+2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\theta_m(x)-\theta_{m-2}(x)\|_\tau &= \|w_m\theta_{m-2}(x)w_m^*-\theta_{m-2}(x)\|_\tau\\ &= \|[w_m,\theta_{m-2}(x)]\|_\tau\\ &\leq \sqrt{2}|[w_m,\theta_{m-2}(x)]|_\tau^{1/2}\\ &< \sqrt{2}\varepsilon_{m-2}^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have $\|\theta_m^{-1}(x)-\theta_{m-2}^{-1}(x)\|_\tau<\sqrt{2}\varepsilon_{m-2}^{1/2}$. Hence the strong limits $\lim_{m\to\infty}\theta_{2m}(x)$ and $\lim_{m\to\infty}\theta_{2m+1}(x)$ exist for all $x\in S$. It clearly derives the existence of the limits for all $x\in M$. Let $\overline{\theta}_0=\lim_{n\to\infty}\theta_{2n}$ and $\overline{\theta}_1=\lim_{n\to\infty}\theta_{2n+1}$. Then they are approximately inner automorphisms.

Next we show the existence of $\lim_{m\to\infty}\overline{u}^{2m}$ and $\lim_{m\to\infty}\overline{u}^{2m+1}$. For $m\geq n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\overline{u}_{F_n}^{m+2}-\overline{u}_{F_n}^{m}\right|_{\tau\otimes\varphi} &= \left|u_{F_n}^{m+2}(w_{m+2}\otimes 1)\overline{u}_{F_n}^{m}(w_{m+2}^*\otimes 1)-\overline{u}_{F_n}^{m}\right|_{\tau\otimes\varphi}\\ &\leq \left|u_{F_n}^{m+2}-1\otimes F_n\right|_{\tau\otimes\varphi}+\left|[w_{m+2}\otimes F_n,\overline{u}_{F_n}^{m}]\right|_{\tau\otimes\varphi}\\ &\leq \left|u_{F_{m+2}}^{m+2}-1\otimes F_{m+2}\right|_{\tau\otimes\varphi}+\sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{F}_n}\sum_{i,j\in I_\pi}|[w_{m+2},\overline{u}_{\pi_{i,j}}^{m}]\otimes e_{\pi_{i,j}}|_{\tau\otimes\varphi}\\ &< 9\delta_{m+2}^{1/4}|F_{m+2}|_\varphi+\sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{F}_n}\sum_{i,j\in I_\pi}d_\pi|[w_{m+2},\overline{u}_{\pi_{i,j}}^{m}]|_\tau\\ &< 9\delta_{m+2}^{1/4}|F_{m+2}|_\varphi+\sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{F}_n}\sum_{i,j\in I_\pi}d_\pi\varepsilon_{m+2}\\ &\leq \delta_{m+1}+\varepsilon_{m+2}|F_n|_\varphi^2.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\{\overline{u}_\pi^{2n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{\overline{u}_\pi^{2n-1}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are Cauchy sequences for all $\pi\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$, and the strong limits $\hat{u}^0=\lim_{n\to\infty}\overline{u}^{2n}$ and $\hat{u}^1=\lim_{n\to\infty}\overline{u}^{2n+1}$ exist. It is easy to see that $\gamma^{2n}=\mathrm{Ad}\,\overline{u}^{2n}\circ(\theta_{2n}\otimes\mathrm{id})\circ\alpha\circ\theta_{2n}^{-1}$ and $\gamma^{2n+1}=\mathrm{Ad}\,\overline{u}^{2n+1}\circ(\theta_{2n+1}\otimes\mathrm{id})\circ\beta\circ\theta_{2n+1}^{-1}$. Since for fixed n, $\lim_{m\to\infty}\|\gamma_\pi^{2m+1}(x)-\gamma_\pi^{2m}(x)\|_{\tau\otimes\varphi}=0$ for all $x\in S_n$ and $\pi\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$, the next equality holds on $\cup_{n\geq 1}S_n$, and so does on M,

$$\mathrm{Ad}\,\hat{u}^0\circ(\overline{\theta}_0\otimes\mathrm{id})\circ\alpha\circ\overline{\theta}_0^{-1}=\mathrm{Ad}\,\hat{u}^1\circ(\overline{\theta}_1\otimes\mathrm{id})\circ\beta\circ\overline{\theta}_1^{-1}.$$

Moreover, $\hat{u}^0$ and $\hat{u}^1$ are 1-cocycles for $(\overline{\theta}_0\otimes\mathrm{id})\circ\alpha\circ\overline{\theta}_0^{-1}$ and $(\overline{\theta}_1\otimes\mathrm{id})\circ\beta\circ\overline{\theta}_1^{-1}$, respectively. Therefore α and β are cocycle conjugate. ☐

Since strong freeness and freeness are equivalent notions for the AFD factor of type II$_1$ (see Appendix), we obtain the following result.

Corollary 7.2. *Any two free actions of an amenable discrete Kac algebra on the AFD factor of type II$_1$ are cocycle conjugate.*

7.2. **Classification of minimal actions**

We show the uniqueness of minimal actions of a compact Kac algebra $\mathbb{G} = (L^\infty(\mathbb{G}), \delta, h)$ with amenable dual on the AFD factor of type II_1.

Lemma 7.3. *Let M be a finite von Neumann algebra, α an action of a compact Kac algebra $\mathbb{G}$ on M. If $M \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{G}$ is a factor, then any α-cocycle is a coboundary.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [W2, Theorem 12]. Let w be an α-cocycle. Set $N := M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M$, $\widetilde{\alpha} := \mathrm{id} \otimes \alpha$ and $\widetilde{w} := e_{11} \otimes w + e_{22} \otimes 1 \otimes 1$. Then $\widetilde{w}$ is an $\widetilde{\alpha}$-cocycle, and $\beta := \mathrm{Ad}\, \widetilde{w} \circ \widetilde{\alpha}$ is an action of $\mathbb{G}$ on N. Since $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes (M \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{G}) \cong N \rtimes_{\widetilde{\alpha}} \mathbb{G} \cong N \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{G}$, N^β is a factor by [S, Corollary 5] or [Y, Corollary 3.9], and the restriction of any trace on $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M$ is the unique trace on N^β. Since $e_{11} \otimes 1, e_{22} \otimes 1 \in N^\beta$ and their values of trace are $1/2$, they are equivalent. Let $v \in N^\beta$ be such that $v^*v = e_{11} \otimes 1$ and $vv^* = e_{22} \otimes 1$. Then v is of the form $v = e_{12} \otimes u$ for some $u \in U(M)$, and $v \in N^\beta$ implies that $w = (u \otimes 1)\alpha(u^*)$. ☐

Recall that an action α of a compact Kac algebra $\mathbb{G}$ on M is said to be *minimal* if $(M^\alpha)' \cap M = \mathbb{C}$ and the linear span of $\{(\phi \otimes \mathrm{id})(\alpha(M)) \mid \phi \in M_*\}$ is weakly dense in $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ [ILP]. Since this definition is equivalent to $(M^\alpha)' \cap M = \mathbb{C}$ and the factoriality of $M \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{G}$ by [S, Corollary 7] and [Y, Corollary 3.10], any 1-cocycle for a minimal action is a coboundary. Readers are referred to [HY] or [V] for constructions of minimal actions.

Corollary 7.4. *Let $\mathbb{G} = (L^\infty(\mathbb{G}), \delta, h)$ be a compact Kac algebra with amenable dual. Let M be the AFD factor of type II_1 and α a minimal action of $\mathbb{G}$ on M. Then α is dual.*

Proof. On $M \otimes B(H_\pi)$, consider a minimal action $\overline{\alpha}^\pi$ defined by $\overline{\alpha}^\pi(x) = (\alpha \otimes \mathrm{id})(x)_{132}$. Recall the multiplicative unitaries V and $\widetilde{V}$ defined in §2.2 and §2.5, respectively. Since $\widetilde{V}_{23}V_{21}\widetilde{V}_{23}^* = V_{21}V_{31}$, $1 \otimes (V_\pi)_{21} \in M \otimes B(H_\pi) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ is an $\overline{\alpha}^\pi$-cocycle. By Lemma 7.3, there exists $v_\pi \in U(M \otimes B(H_\pi))$ with $(v_\pi^* \otimes 1)\overline{\alpha}^\pi(v_\pi) = 1 \otimes (V_\pi)_{21}$, that is, $\alpha(v_\pi) = (v_\pi)_{13}(1 \otimes V_\pi)$. Define $v \in U(M \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$ by $v(1 \otimes 1_\pi) = v_\pi$ for all $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$. Set $\beta(x) := \mathrm{Ad}\, v(x \otimes 1)$ for $x \in M^\alpha$. We claim that β is a cocycle action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on M^α with a 2-cocycle $u := v_{12}v_{13}(\mathrm{id}_M \otimes \Delta)(v^*)$. Since for $x \in M^\alpha$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha \otimes \mathrm{id})(\beta(x)) &= \alpha(v)(\alpha(x) \otimes 1)\alpha(v^*)\\ &= v_{13}(1 \otimes V)(x \otimes 1 \otimes 1)(1 \otimes V^*)v_{13}^*\\ &= \beta(x)_{13}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence β preserves M^α. We verify $u \in M^\alpha \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(u) &= \alpha(v)_{123}\alpha(v)_{124}(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(\alpha(v^*))_{1234}\\ &= v_{13}V_{23}v_{14}V_{24}(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(V_{23}^*v_{13}^*)_{1234}\\ &= v_{13}V_{23}v_{14}V_{24}(V_{23}V_{24})^*(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(v^*)_{134}\\ &= v_{13}v_{14}(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(v^*)_{134}\\ &= u_{134}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence (β, u) is a cocycle action on M^α. Next we show the freeness of the cocycle action (β, u). Assume that for an element $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})\setminus\{\mathbf{1}\}$, there exists a nonzero $a \in M^\alpha \otimes B(H_\pi)$ such that $\beta_\pi(x)a = a(x \otimes 1_\pi)$ for all $x \in M^\alpha$. Then since $v_\pi^* a \in ((M^\alpha)' \cap M) \otimes B(H_\pi) = \mathbb{C} \otimes B(H_\pi)$, there exists $b \in B(H_\pi)$ such that $a = v_\pi(1 \otimes b)$. Applying $\alpha \otimes \mathrm{id}$ to the both sides, we have $a_{13} = (v_\pi)_{13}(1 \otimes V_\pi)(1 \otimes 1 \otimes b)$ and hence $1 \otimes 1 \otimes b = (V_\pi)_{23}(1 \otimes 1 \otimes b) \in \mathbb{C} \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G})_\pi \otimes B(H_\pi)$, but this is a contradiction. Therefore (β, u) is a free cocycle action on the AFD factor M^α of type II_1. By Theorem 6.2, there exists $w \in U(M^\alpha \otimes L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$ with $(w \otimes 1)(\beta \otimes \mathrm{id})(w)u(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(w^*) = (w_{12}v_{12})(w_{13}v_{13})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(v^* w^*) = 1$. Then wu is a unitary representation of $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$. Since $\alpha(v) = v_{13}V_{23}$ and $\alpha(w) = w_{13}$, it follows $\alpha(wv) = (wv)_{13}V_{23}$. Hence α is a dual action for a free action $\mathrm{Ad}\, w \circ \beta$ on M^α. □

In the end, we prove the following main theorem.

Theorem 7.5. *Let M be the AFD factor of type II_1, and $\mathbb{G} = (L^\infty(\mathbb{G}), \delta, h)$ a compact Kac algebra with amenable dual. Let α and β be minimal actions of $\mathbb{G}$ on M. Then they are conjugate.*

Proof. By the previous corollary, a minimal action of $\mathbb{G}$ on the AFD factor of type II_1 is dual, and α and β are of the form $\hat{\gamma_0}$ and $\hat{\gamma_1}$ where γ_0 and γ_1 are free actions of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on M^α and M^β respectively. Since M^α and M^β are injective factors of type II_1, they are isomorphic by Connes's result [C2]. By Corollary 7.2, γ_0 and γ_1 are cocycle conjugate. Hence their dual actions α and β are conjugate. □

8. Appendix

Let M be a von Neumann algebra, and K a finite dimensional Hilbert space. For $\beta \in \mathrm{Mor}_0(M, M \otimes B(K))$, we prepare several properties.

Definition 8.1. We say that β is

1. *properly outer* if there exists no nonzero $a \in M \otimes B(K)$ such that $\beta(x)a = a(x \otimes 1)$ for all $x \in M$,
2. *centrally trivial* if $\beta^\omega(x) = x \otimes 1$ for all $x \in M_\omega$,
3. *centrally nontrivial* if β is not centrally trivial,
4. *properly centrally nontrivial* if there exists no nonzero element $a \in M \otimes B(K)$ such that $\beta^\omega(x)a = (x \otimes 1)a$ for all $x \in M_\omega$.

Lemma 8.2. *A map $\beta \in \mathrm{Mor}_0(M, M \otimes B(K))$ is properly centrally nontrivial if and only if it is strongly outer.*

Proof. The "if" part is trivial. We show the "only if" part. Let β be a properly centrally nontrivial homomorphism. Assume that β is not strongly outer. Then there exists a nonzero $a \in M^\omega \otimes B(K)$ and a countably generated von Neumann algebra $S \subset M^\omega$ such that $\beta^\omega(x)a = a(x \otimes 1)$ holds for all $x \in S' \cap M_\omega$. We claim that the proper central nontriviality implies

$$1 = \bigvee_{z \in M_\omega} s\big((\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(|\beta^\omega(z) - z \otimes 1|^2)\big).$$

Indeed, if $b \in M \otimes B(K)$ satisfies $(\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(|\beta^\omega(z) - z \otimes 1|^2)b = 0$ for all $z \in M_\omega$, then $(\beta^\omega(z) - z \otimes 1)b = 0$, but this is a contradiction. Hence there exists $z \in M_\omega$ such that it satisfies $(\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(|a^*|^2)(\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(|\beta^\omega(z) - z \otimes 1|^2) \neq 0$. Let $\{e_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ be a system of matrix units for $B(K)$. Decompose a as $a = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j} \otimes e_{i,j}$. Set $N = W^*(z)$, $\widetilde{S} = W^*(S, \{a_{i,j}\}_{1 \le i,j \le n})$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{\beta^\omega\}$. Then by Lemma 3.10, there exists $\Psi \in \mathrm{Mor}(\widetilde{N}, M^\omega)$ such that

1. $\Psi(z) \in \widetilde{S}' \cap M_\omega$,
2. $\tau^\omega(b\Psi(z)) = \tau^\omega(b)\tau^\omega(z)$ for all $b \in \widetilde{S}$,
3. $\beta^\omega(\Psi(z)) = (\Psi \otimes \mathrm{id})(\beta^\omega(z))$,

Set $y = \Psi(z) \in \widetilde{S}' \cap M_\omega$ and then $\beta^\omega(y)a = a(y \otimes 1) = (y \otimes 1)a$. However the following equality

$$\begin{aligned}(\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(|a^*|^2|\beta^\omega(y) - y \otimes 1|^2) &= (\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})\big(|a^*|^2(\Psi \otimes \mathrm{id})(|\beta^\omega(z) - z \otimes 1|^2)\big) \\ &= (\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(|a^*|^2)(\tau^\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(|\beta^\omega(z) - z \otimes 1|^2) \\ &\neq 0\end{aligned}$$

implies $(\beta^\omega(y) - (y \otimes 1))a \neq 0$. This is a contradiction. □

Hence β is

$$\text{strongly outer} \Leftrightarrow \text{properly centrally nontrivial} \Rightarrow \text{centrally nontrivial}. \tag{8.1}$$

A map $\beta \in \mathrm{Mor}(M, M \otimes B(K))$ is said to be *irreducible* if $\beta(M)' \cap (M \otimes B(K)) = \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 8.3. *Let $\beta \in \mathrm{Mor}_0(M, M \otimes B(K))$ be irreducible. Then β is centrally nontrivial if and only if β is properly centrally nontrivial.*

Proof. The "if" part is trivial. We show the "only if" part. Let β be a centrally nontrivial homomorphism. Assume that there exists $a \in M \otimes B(K)$ satisfying $\beta^\omega(x)a = (x \otimes 1)a$ for all $x \in M_\omega$. Let $a = v|a|$ be the polar decomposition. Then it is easy to see that $\beta^\omega(x)vv^* = (x \otimes 1)vv^*$ for all $x \in M_\omega$. Put $p = vv^* \in M \otimes B(K)$. If $u \in U(M)$, then $\beta^\omega(x)\beta(u)p\beta(u^*) = (x \otimes 1)\beta(u)p\beta(u^*)$. Therefore the projection $z = \vee_{u \in U(M)} \beta(u)p\beta(u^*)$ satisfies $\beta^\omega(x)z = (x \otimes 1)z$ for all $x \in M_\omega$. Since $z \in \beta(M)' \cap M \otimes B(K) = \mathbb{C}$, we have $z = 1$, and β is properly centrally nontrivial. □

Hence under the assumption on irreducibility, all the properties of (8.1) are equivalent. In addition, if M is the AFD factor of type II$_1$, they are equivalent to proper outerness.

Lemma 8.4. *Let $\mathcal{R}_0$ be the AFD factor of type II$_1$. Let $\beta \in \mathrm{Mor}_0(\mathcal{R}_0, \mathcal{R}_0 \otimes B(K))$ be irreducible. Then the following properties on β are equivalent:*

(1) central nontriviality,
(2) proper central nontriviality,
(3) strong outerness,
(4) proper outerness.

Proof. We know the equivalence of (1), (2) and (3). It is trivial that (3) implies (4). We show that (4) implies (1). Let τ be the trace on $\mathcal{R}_0$. We assume the following lemma for a moment, and we prove this implication. Let $\mathcal{K}_1 \subset \mathcal{K}_2 \subset \dots$ be an increasing net of finite dimensional subfactors in $\mathcal{R}_0$ with $(\cup_{n\geq 1}\mathcal{K}_n)'' = \mathcal{R}_0$. For each n, there exists a unitary $u_n \in \mathcal{K}_n' \cap \mathcal{R}_0$ with $\|\beta(u_n) - u_n \otimes 1\|_{\tau\otimes\tau_K} > 1/2$. Then the sequence $(u_n)_n$ is central which defines u in $(\mathcal{R}_0)_\omega$. It satisfies $\|\beta^\omega(u) - u \otimes 1\|_{\tau\otimes\tau_K} \geq 1/2$. Hence β^ω is not trivial on $(\mathcal{R}_0)_\omega$. $\square$

We adapt [C3, Lemma 3.4] to the case of a homomorphism as follows.

Lemma 8.5. *Let M be a factor of type II_1 and K a finite dimensional Hilbert space. Let $\beta \in \mathrm{Mor}(M, M \otimes B(K))$ be irreducible. If there exists a finite dimensional subfactor $\mathcal{K} \subset M$ with*

$$\sup\{\|\beta(u) - u \otimes 1\|_{\tau\otimes\tau_K} \mid u \in U(\mathcal{K}' \cap M)\} < 1,$$

then β is not properly outer.

Proof. Consider a weakly closed convex set in $M \otimes B(K)$, $C = \overline{\mathrm{co}}^w\{(u\otimes 1)\beta(u^*) \mid u \in U(\mathcal{K}' \cap M)\}$. In C take a unique point y_0 attaining the minimal distance from 0 with repect to $\|\cdot\|_\tau$. By assumption, $\|y_0 - 1\|_\tau < 1$, in particular, $y_0 \neq 0$. Unicity yields $(u \otimes 1)y_0 = y_0\beta(u)$ for any $u \in U(\mathcal{K}' \cap M)$. Hence we have $(x \otimes 1)y_0 = y_0\beta(x)$ for any $x \in \mathcal{K}' \cap M$. Let $\{e_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ be a system of matrix units for $\mathcal{K}$. Since $\beta(e_{1,1})$ and $e_{1,1} \otimes 1$ are equivalent in $M \otimes B(K)$, there exists a partial isometry $v \in M \otimes B(K)$ with $\beta(e_{1,1}) = vv^*$ and $e_{1,1} = v^*v$. Set a unitary $u = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta(e_{i,1})ve_{1,i}$ in $M \otimes B(K)$. Then we have $u(e_{i,j} \otimes 1) = \beta(e_{i,j})u$. Hence $u(x \otimes 1) = \beta(x)u$ for all $x \in \mathcal{K}$. Let $\gamma = \mathrm{Ad}(u^*) \circ \beta$ and $y_1 = y_0u$. Then γ is trivial on $\mathcal{K}$ and $y_1\gamma(x) = (x \otimes 1)y_1$ holds for $x \in \mathcal{K}' \cap M$. Let $E\colon M \otimes B(K) \to (\mathcal{K}' \cap M) \otimes B(K)$ be a faithful conditional expectation. Then there exists an element a in $\mathcal{K}$ with $z = E((a\otimes 1)y_1) \neq 0$. Since $\gamma(\mathcal{K}' \cap M) \subset (\mathcal{K}' \cap M) \otimes B(K)$, z satisfies $z\gamma(x) = (x \otimes 1)z$ for $x \in \mathcal{K}' \cap M$. In fact, this equality is valid for any $x \in M$ because γ is trivial on $\mathcal{K}$. This shows γ is not outer. Hence $\beta = \mathrm{Ad}(u) \circ \gamma$ is not outer. $\square$

Let (α, u) be a cocycle action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on a von Neumann algebra M. We call (α, u) *centrally free* if α_π is properly centrally nontrivial for each $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G}) \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\}$.

Corollary 8.6. *Let (α, u) be a cocycle action of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ on a von Neumann algebra M. Then the following properties of (α, u) are equivalent:*

1. *central freeness,*
2. *strong freeness.*

In addition, if M is the AFD factor of type II_1, they are also equivalent to
(3) freeness.

Proof. We know that (1) and (2) are equivalent and (2) implies (3). We show that (3) implies (1). By Lemma 2.8, each map α_π, $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$, is irreducible. Then by Lemma 8.4, α_π is properly centrally nontrivial. $\square$

References

[BS] S. Baaj and G. Skandalis, *Unitaires multiplicatifs et dualité pour les produits croisés de C^*-algèbres*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **26** (1993), no. 4, 425–488.

[C1] A. Connes, *Outer conjugacy classes of automorphisms of factors*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **8** (1975), no. 3, 383–419.

[C2] A. Connes, *Classification of injective factors. Cases II_1, II_∞, III_λ, $\lambda \neq 1$*, Ann. of Math. (2) **104** (1976), no. 1, 73–115.

[C3] A. Connes, *Periodic automorphisms of the hyperfinite factor of type II_1*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **39** (1977), no. 1-2, 39–66.

[ES] M. Enock and J.-M. Schwartz, *Kac algebras and duality of locally compact groups*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1992).

[EK] D. E. Evans and A. Kishimoto, *Trace scaling automorphisms of certain stable AF algebras*, Hokkaido Math. J. **26** (1997), no. 1, 211–224.

[HY] T. Hayashi and S. Yamagami, *Amenable tensor categories and their realizations as AFD bimodules*, J. Funct. Anal. **172** (2000), no. 1, 19–75.

[I1] M. Izumi, *Canonical extension of endomorphisms of type III factors*, Amer. J. Math. **125** (2003), no. 1, 1–56.

[I2] M. Izumi, *Finite group actions on C^*-algebras with the Rohlin property I*, Duke Math. J. **122** (2004), no. 2, 233–280.

[ILP] M. Izumi, R. Longo and S. Popa, *A Galois correspondence for compact groups of automorphisms of von Neumann algebras with a generalization to Kac algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **155** (1998), no. 1, 25–63.

[J] V. F. R. Jones, *Actions of finite groups on the hyperfinite type II_1 factor*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **28** (1980), no. 237.

[JT] V. F. R. Jones and M. Takesaki, *Actions of compact abelian groups on semifinite injective factors*, Acta Math. **153** (1984), no. 3-4, 213–258.

[KwST] Y. Kawahigashi, C. E. Sutherland and M. Takesaki, *The structure of the automorphism group of an injective factor and the cocycle conjugacy of discrete abelian group actions*, Acta Math. **169** (1992), no. 1-2, 105–130.

[KwT] Y. Kawahigashi and M. Takesaki, *Compact abelian group actions on injective factors*, J. Funct. Anal. **105** (1992), no. 1, 112–128.

[M1] T. Masuda, *Evans-Kishimoto type argument for actions of discrete amenable groups on McDuff factors*, to appear in Math. Scand., ArXiv: math.OA/0505311 (2005).

[M2] T. Masuda, *Classification of actions of duals of finite groups*, ArXiv:math.OA/0601601 (2006).

[NT] Y. Nakagami and M. Takesaki, *Duality for crossed products of von Neumann algebras*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **731**, Springer, Berlin (1979).

[N] H. Nakamura, *Aperiodic automorphisms of nuclear purely infinite simple C^*-algebras*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **20** (2000), no. 6, 1749–1765.

[Oc1] A. Ocneanu, *Actions of discrete amenable groups on von Neumann algebras*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1138**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1985).

[Oc2] A. Ocneanu, *Prime actions of compact groups on von Neumann algebras*, unpublished.

[OPT] D. Olsen, G. Pedersen and M. Takesaki, *Ergodic actions of compact abelian groups*, J. Operator Theory **3** (1980), no. 2, 237–269.

[OW1] D. Ornstein and B. Weiss, *Ergodic theory of amenable group actions. I. The Rohlin lemma*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **2** (1980), no. 1, 161–164.

[OW2] D. Ornstein and B. Weiss, *Entropy and isomorphism theorems for actions of amenable groups*, J. Analyse Math. **48** (1987), 1–141.

[P1] S. Popa, *Classification of amenable subfactors of type II*, Acta Math. **172** (1994), no. 2, 163–255.

[P2] S. Popa, *Classification of subfactors and their endomorphisms*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **86**, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1995.

[PW] S. Popa and A. Wassermann, *Actions of compact Lie groups on von Neumann algebras* (English. English, French summary), C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **315** (1992), no. 4, 421–426.

[PS] R. T. Powers and E. Størmer, *Free states of the canonical anticommutation relations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **16** (1970), 1–33.

[Ro] J. E. Roberts, *Cross products of von Neumann algebras by group dual*, Symp. Math. **XX** (1976), 335–363.

[Ru] Z.-J. Ruan, *Amenability of Hopf von Neumann algebras and Kac algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **139** (1996), no. 2, 466–499.

[S] Y. Sekine, *An analogue of Paschke's theorem for actions of compact Kac algebras*, Kyushu J. Math. **52** (1998), no. 2, 353–359.

[ST] C. E. Sutherland and M. Takesaki, *Actions of discrete amenable groups on injective factors of type* III_λ, $\lambda \neq 1$, Pacific J. Math. **137** (1989), no. 2, 405–444.

[T] M. Takesaki, *Duality for crossed products and the structure of von Neumann algebras of type III*, Acta. Math. **131** (1973), 249–310.

[V] S. Vaes, *Strictly outer actions of groups and quantum groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **578** (2005), 147–184.

[W1] A. Wassermann, *Coactions and Yang-Baxter equations for ergodic actions and subfactors. Operator algebras and applications*, Vol. 2, 203–236, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **136**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1988).

[W2] A. Wassermann, *Ergodic actions of compact groups on operator algebras. I. General theory*, Ann. of Math. (2) **130** (1989), no. 2, 273–319.

[W3] A. Wassermann, *Ergodic actions of compact groups on operator algebras. II. Classification of full multiplicity ergodic actions*, Canad. J. Math. **40** (1988), no. 6, 1482–1527.

[W4] A. Wassermann, *Ergodic actions of compact groups on operator algebras. III. Classification for* SU(2), Invent. Math. **93** (1988), no. 2, 309–354.

[Y] T. Yamanouchi, *The Connes spectrum for actions of compact Kac algebras and factoriality of their crossed products*, Hokkaido Math. J. **28** (1999), no. 2, 409–434.

Toshihiko Masuda, Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University, 6-10-1 Hakozaki, Fukuoka, 812-8581, JAPAN
E-mail address: `masuda@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp`

Reiji Tomatsu, Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo, 153-8914, JAPAN
E-mail address: `tomatsu@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp`